arXiv:1006.2720v2 [math.NT] 6 Dec 2010

RELATIONS BETWEEN DIEUDONNÉ DISPLAYS AND CRYSTALLINE DIEUDONNÉ THEORY

EIKE LAU

Abstract. We discuss the relation between crystalline Dieudonné theory and Dieudonné displays, with special emphasis on the case of the prime 2. The theory of Dieudonné displays is extended to this case without restriction, which implies that the classification of finite flat group schemes by Breuil-Kisin modules holds for the prime 2 as well.

Introduction

It is known that formal p-divisible groups G over a p-adic ring R are classified by Zink's nilpotent displays [Zi1]. The theory includes a description of the Dieudonné crystal of G in terms of the display associated to G. Recall that a display over R is a projective module over the ring of Witt vectors $W(R)$ equipped with a filtration and with certain semi-linear operators.

Assume that R is a local Artin ring with perfect residue field k of characteristic p. Then $W(R)$ has a unique subring $\mathbb{W}(R)$, called here the Zink ring of R, which is stable under the Frobenius and which sits in an exact sequence

$$0 \to \hat{W}(\mathcal{N}_R) \to \mathbb{W}(R) \to W(k) \to 0.$$

If p is odd or if p annihilates R, Zink obtains in [Zi2] a classification of all p-divisible groups over R by Dieudonné displays; these are displays for $\mathbb{W}(R)$ in place of $W(R)$. The restriction on p is equivalent to $\mathbb{W}(R)$ being stable under the Verschiebung endomorphism v of $W(R)$; this is needed since v appears in the definition of displays.

However, we observe here that with a small modification the theory can be extended to the case $p = 2$ in general: The Zink ring is always stable under the modified Verschiebung $\mathbb{v}(x) = v(u_0 x)$, where u_0 is the unit of $W(R)$ defined by the relation $v(u_0) = p - [p]$. This allows to define Dieudonné displays which classify p-divisible groups over R without restriction on p.

As an application, the classification of p-divisible groups and finite flat group schemes over a complete regular local ring with perfect residue field by Breuil-Kisin modules derived in [VZ] and [La2] holds without restriction on p. In the case of discrete valuation rings this completes the proof of a conjecture of Breuil [Br], which was proved by Kisin [Ki1] if p is odd, and in [Ki2] for connected groups if $p = 2$.

The main object of this article is to relate the crystals associated to a Dieudonné display and to the corresponding p-divisible group. It turns out that the Zink ring and Dieudonné displays can be defined for the following class of rings R, which we call admissible: The order of nilpotence of nilpotent elements in R is bounded, and R_{red} is a perfect ring of characteristic p. Let us call R odd if p is odd or if p annihilates R.

One can work in two directions.

If R is odd, the kernel of the augmentation $\mathbb{W}(R) \to R$ carries natural divided powers. In this case, the evaluation at $\mathbb{W}(R) \to R$ of the Dieudonné crystal of a p-divisible group over R can be extended to a functor

$$\Phi_R : (p\text{-divisible groups over } R) \to (\text{Dieudonné displays over } R).$$

This requires some work because the usual construction gives only a filtered F-V-module over $\mathbb{W}(R)$, which does not in general determine a Dieudonné display. But the definition of Φ_R can be reduced to the case where R is the universal deformation ring of a p-divisible group over a perfect ring. Then the Dieudonné display is determined by the filtered F-V-module because p is not a zero divisor in the Zink ring of R.

We will show that the Dieudonné crystals of a p-divisible group G and of the associated Dieudonné display $\Phi_R(G)$ coincide on divided power extensions of admissible rings which are compatible with the canonical divided powers of p. This is a consequence of the following extension of Φ_R. There is a notion of Dieudonné displays for a divided power extension of admissible rings $S \to R$, called triples in the work of Zink. If R is odd and the divided powers are compatible with the canonical divided powers of p, the evaluation of the Dieudonné crystal of a p-divisible group over R at the divided power extension $\mathbb{W}(S) \to R$ can be extended to a functor

$$\Phi_{S/R} : (p\text{-divisible groups over } R) \to (\text{Dieudonné displays for } S/R).$$

Again this requires some work; the proof comes down to the fact that the Zink ring of the divided power envelope of the diagonal of the universal deformation space considered above has no p-torsion.

The comparison of crystals implies that the functor Φ_R induces an equivalence on infinitesimal deformations over odd admissible rings. By a theorem of Gabber, p-divisible groups over perfect rings are classified by Dieudonné modules, which can also be viewed as displays or Dieudonné displays. These two properties imply that Φ_R is an equivalence of categories if R is odd.

If R is not odd, in addition to $\mathbb{W}(R)$ we also consider the v-stabilised Zink ring $\mathbb{W}^+(R) = \mathbb{W}(R)[v(1)]$, which gives rise to an obvious notion of v-stabilised Dieudonné displays. The preceding constructions give a functor Φ_R^+ from p-divisible groups to v-stabilised Dieudonné displays, which again preserves the Dieudonné crystals on divided power extensions of admissible rings which are compatible with the canonical divided powers of p. But it does not follow that Φ_R^+ preserves infinitesimal deformations since the canonical divided powers of p are not nilpotent.

In the opposite direction, the initial object in display theory is usually a functor from displays to groups. Assume now that R is an admissible ring such that R_{red} is a (perfect) field, without restriction on p. In this case, an explicit formula for the equivalence functor

$$\mathrm{BT}_R : (\text{Dieudonné displays over } R) \to (p\text{-divisible groups over } R)$$

is given in [La1] assuming that R is odd, but this restriction is not necessary once the general definition of Dieudonné displays is available. The formula is stated in terms of the category $\mathscr{J}_R$ of admissible R-algebras A such that A_{red} is a union of finite dimensional k-algebras.

If R is odd, the functors BT_R and Φ_R are mutually inverse simply because the fibered category of p-divisible groups over local Artin schemes has very few automorphisms.

We will show that the Dieudonné crystals of a Dieudonné display $\mathscr{P}$ and of the associated p-divisible group $\mathrm{BT}_R(\mathscr{P})$, restricted to arbitrary divided power extensions of rings in $\mathscr{J}_R$, are naturally isomorphic. The main point is two write down a map between the crystals, which is done by a variant of the duality construction in [La1]. Here one needs that the definition of the Dieudonné crystal of p-divisible groups as a crystalline $\underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1$ can be carried out in the crystalline site formed by spectra of rings in the category $\mathscr{J}_R$.

This comparison of crystals implies that in the case when R is not odd, the composition $\Phi_R^+ \circ \mathrm{BT}_R$ from Dieudonné displays to v-stabilised Dieudonné displays coincides with the natural base change by $\mathbb{W}(R) \to \mathbb{W}^+(R)$.

Finally let us consider a complete regular local ring R with perfect residue field k of characteristic p. Choose a representation $R = \mathfrak{S}/E\mathfrak{S}$ where $\mathfrak{S}$ is a power series ring over $W(k)$ and where E has constant term p. Let σ be a Frobenius lift on $\mathfrak{S}$ that preserves the ideal generated by the variables. The results of [La2] for odd p extend to the general case: If σ satisfies a nilpotence condition, there is a ring homomorphism $\varkappa : \mathfrak{S} \to \mathbb{W}(R)$ which induces an equivalence between Breuil windows relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$ in the sense of [VZ, La2] and Dieudonné displays over R.

Assume in addition that R has characteristic zero. Let S be the p-adic completion of the divided power envelope of $E\mathfrak{S} \subset \mathfrak{S}$. There is an obvious notion of windows relative to $S \to R$. If p is odd let us write $\mathbb{W}^+(R) = \mathbb{W}(R)$. Then $\varkappa$ extends for all p to a homomorphism $\varkappa_S : S \to \mathbb{W}^+(R)$ which induces an equivalence between windows relative to $S \to R$ and v-stabilised Dieudonné displays. This can be shown by a variant of the proof that $\varkappa$ induces an equivalence as above; if R is one-dimesional with odd p, the result also follows from [Zi3]. As a consequence we deduce that for a p-divisible group over R, the value of its Dieudonné crystal at S coincides with the base change of its Breuil window to S.

All rings are commutative with unit unless the contrary is stated. For a p-divisible group G we denote by $\mathbb{D}(G)$ the *covariant* Dieudonné crystal.

The author thanks Xavier Caruso, Tyler Lawson, and Thomas Zink for helpful conversations.

Contents

Introduction 1
1. The Zink ring 4
2. Dieudonné displays 10
3. From p-divisible groups to Dieudonné displays 17
4. Rigidity of p-divisible groups 28
5. From Dieudonné displays to p-divisible groups 31
6. Relation of the crystals 35

7. Breuil-Kisin modules 43
8. Breuil-Kisin modules and crystals 47
9. Proof of Theorem 8.2 48
References 52

1. The Zink ring

In this section we study the Zink ring $\mathbb{W}(R)$, which is introduced in [Zi2] under the notation $\hat{W}(R)$, and variants of $\mathbb{W}(R)$ in the presence of not necessarily nilpotent divided powers, following [Zi3]. The definitions are stated in more generality, allowing arbitrary perfect rings instead of perfect fields. The modified Verschiebung $\mathbb{v}$ for $p = 2$ is new.

1.1. **Preliminaries.** We fix a prime p. A commutative ring without unit N is called bounded nilpotent if there is a number n such that $x^n = 0$ for every $x \in N$. We will consider the following base rings.

Definition 1.1. A ring R is called *admissible* if the nilradical $\mathcal{N}_R$ is bounded nilpotent and if $R_{\mathrm{red}} = R/\mathcal{N}_R$ is a perfect ring of characteristic p. An *admissible local ring* is an admissible ring R such that R_{red} is a field.

Examples of admissible local rings are local Artin rings with perfect residue field. We will also consider projective limits of admissible rings:

Definition 1.2. An *admissible topological ring* is a complete and separated topological ring R with linear topology such that the ideal $\mathcal{N}_R$ of topologically nilpotent elements is open, the ring $R_{\mathrm{red}} = R/\mathcal{N}_R$ is perfect of characteristic p, and for each open ideal N of R contained in $\mathcal{N}_R$ the quotient $\mathcal{N}_R/N$ is bounded nilpotent. Thus R is the projective limit of the admissible rings R/N.

Examples of admissible topological rings are complete local rings with perfect residue field. Admissible topological rings in which $\mathcal{N}_R$ is not topologically nilpotent can arise from divided power envelopes; see Lemma 1.13.

Notation 1.3. For a not necessarily unitary ring A let $W(A)$ be the ring of p-typical Witt vectors of A. We denote by f and v the Frobenius and Verschiebung of $W(A)$. Let $I_A = v(W(A))$, let $w_i : W(A) \to A$ be the i-th Witt polynomial, and let $\hat{W}(A)$ be the group of all elements of $W(A)$ with nilpotent coefficients which are almost all zero.

Let us recall two well-known facts.

Lemma 1.4. *Let A be a perfect ring of characteristic p and let B be a ring with a bounded nilpotent ideal $J \subset B$. Every ring homomorphism $A \to B/J$ lifts to a unique ring homomorphism $W_n(A) \to B$.*

Proof. See [Gr, Chap. IV, Prop. 4.3], where the ideal J is assumed nilpotent, but the proof applies here as well. □

Lemma 1.5 ([Zi3, Lemma 2.2])**.** *Let N be a non-unitary ring which is bounded nilpotent and annihilated by a power of p. Then $W(N)$ is bounded nilpotent and annihilated by a power of p.*

1.2. **The Zink ring.** Let R be an admissible ring. By Lemma 1.4 the exact sequence

$$0 \to W(\mathcal{N}_R) \to W(R) \to W(R_{\mathrm{red}}) \to 0$$

has a unique ring homomorphism section $s : W(R_{\mathrm{red}}) \to W(R)$, which is f-equivariant by its uniqueness. Let

$$\mathbb{W}(R) = sW(R_{\mathrm{red}}) \oplus \hat{W}(\mathcal{N}_R).$$

Since $\hat{W}(\mathcal{N}_R)$ is an f-stable ideal of $W(R)$, the group $\mathbb{W}(R)$ is an f-stable subring of $W(R)$, which we call the Zink ring of R.

Lemma 1.6. *The ring $\mathbb{W}(R)$ is stable under the Verschiebung homomorphism $v : W(R) \to W(R)$ if and only if $p \geq 3$ or $pR = 0$. In this case we have an exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathbb{W}(R) \xrightarrow{v} \mathbb{W}(R) \xrightarrow{w_0} R \to 0.$$

Proof. See [Zi2, Lemma 2]. For some r the ring $R_0 = \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$ is a subring of R, and $\mathbb{W}(R_0) = W(R_0) \cap \mathbb{W}(R)$. The calculation in loc. cit. shows that the element $v(1) \in W(R_0)$ lies in $\mathbb{W}(R_0)$ if and only if $p \geq 3$ or $r = 1$. For $a \in W(R_{\mathrm{red}})$ we have $v(s(f(a))) = v(f(s(a))) = v(1)s(a)$. Since $\hat{W}(\mathcal{N}_R)$ is stable under v and since f is surjective on $W(R_{\mathrm{red}})$, the first assertion of the lemma follows. The sequence is an extension of

$$0 \to W(R_{\mathrm{red}}) \xrightarrow{v} W(R_{\mathrm{red}}) \to R_{\mathrm{red}} \to 0$$

and

$$0 \to \hat{W}(\mathcal{N}_R) \xrightarrow{v} \hat{W}(\mathcal{N}_R) \to \mathcal{N}_R \to 0,$$

which are both exact. □

With a slight modification the exception at the prime 2 can be removed. The element $p - [p]$ of $W(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ lies in the image of v because it maps to zero in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Moreover $v^{-1}(p - [p])$ lies in $1 + W(p\mathbb{Z}_p)$, so this element is a unit in $W(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. We define

$$u_0 = \begin{cases} v^{-1}(p - [p]) & \text{if } p = 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } p \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

The image of u_0 in $W(R)$ is also denoted u_0. For $x \in W(R)$ let

$$\mathbb{v}(x) = v(u_0 x).$$

One could also take $u_0 = v^{-1}(p - [p])$ for all p, which would allow to state results in a uniform way, but for odd primes this seems overcomplicated.

Lemma 1.7. *The ring $\mathbb{W}(R)$ is stable under $\mathbb{v} : W(R) \to W(R)$, and there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathbb{W}(R) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{v}} \mathbb{W}(R) \xrightarrow{w_0} R \to 0.$$

Proof. By Lemma 1.6 we can assume that $p = 2$. For $a \in W(R_{\mathrm{red}})$ we have $\mathbb{v}(s(f(a))) = v(u_0 f(s(a))) = v(u_0)s(a) = (p - [p])s(a)$, which lies in $\mathbb{W}(R)$. Since $\hat{W}(\mathcal{N}_R)$ is stable under $\mathbb{v}$ and since f is surjective on $W(R_{\mathrm{red}})$ it follows that $\mathbb{W}(R)$ is stable under $\mathbb{v}$. The sequence is an extension of

$$0 \to W(R_{\mathrm{red}}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{v}} W(R_{\mathrm{red}}) \to R_{\mathrm{red}} \to 0$$

and

$$0 \to \hat{W}(\mathcal{N}_R) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{v}} \hat{W}(\mathcal{N}_R) \to \mathcal{N}_R \to 0.$$

They are exact because in both cases $\mathbb{v} = v \circ u_0$ where u_0 acts bijectively. $\square$

1.3. **The enlarged Zink ring.**

Let us recall the logarithm of the Witt ring: For a divided power extension of rings $(B \to R, \delta)$ with kernel $\mathfrak{b} \subset B$, the δ-divided Witt polynomials define an isomorphism of $W(B)$-modules

$$\mathrm{Log} : W(\mathfrak{b}) \cong \mathfrak{b}^{\infty}$$

where $x \in W(B)$ acts on $\mathfrak{b}^{\infty}$ by $[b_0, b_1, \ldots] \mapsto [w_0(x)b_0, w_1(x)b_1, \ldots]$. The Frobenius and Verschiebung of $W(\mathfrak{b})$ act on $\mathfrak{b}^{\infty}$ by

$$f([b_0, b_1, \ldots]) = [pb_1, pb_2, \ldots], \qquad v([b_0, b_1, \ldots]) = [0, b_0, b_1, \ldots].$$

Moreover Log induces an injective map $\hat{W}(\mathfrak{b}) \to \mathfrak{b}^{(\infty)}$, which is bijective when the divided powers δ are nilpotent; see [Zi1], Eq. (149) and the subsequent discussion. In general let

$$\tilde{W}(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathrm{Log}^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}^{(\infty)}).$$

This is an f-stable and v-stable ideal of $W(B)$ containing $\hat{W}(\mathfrak{b})$.

Assume now that $(B \to R, \delta)$ is a divided power extension of admissible rings (it suffices to assume that R is admissible and that p is nilpotent in B because then $\mathfrak{b}$ is bounded nilpotent, so B is admissible as well). Let

$$\mathbb{W}(B, \delta) = \mathbb{W}(B) + \tilde{W}(\mathfrak{b}).$$

This is an f-stable subring of $W(B)$, which we call the enlarged Zink ring of B with respect to the divided power ideal $(\mathfrak{b}, \delta)$. If the divided powers δ are nilpotent then $\mathbb{W}(B, \delta) = \mathbb{W}(B)$. We have the following analogues of Lemmas 1.7 and 1.6.

Lemma 1.8. *The ring $\mathbb{W}(B, \delta)$ is stable under $\mathbb{v} : W(R) \to W(R)$, and there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathbb{W}(B, \delta) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{v}} \mathbb{W}(B, \delta) \xrightarrow{w_0} B \to 0.$$

Proof. The ring $\mathbb{W}(B, \delta)$ is stable under $\mathbb{v}$ because so are $\mathbb{W}(B)$ and $\tilde{W}(\mathfrak{b})$; see Lemma 1.7. We have $\mathbb{W}(B, \delta)/\tilde{W}(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathbb{W}(R)$. Thus the exact sequence follows from the exactness of $0 \to \tilde{W}(\mathfrak{b}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{v}} \tilde{\mathbb{W}}(\mathfrak{b}) \to \mathfrak{b} \to 0$ together with the exact sequence of Lemma 1.7. $\square$

Lemma 1.9. *The ring $\mathbb{W}(B, \delta)$ is stable under $v : W(R) \to W(R)$ if $p \geq 3$, or if $p \in \mathfrak{b}$ and the divided powers δ on $\mathfrak{b}$ induce the canonical divided powers on pB. In this case we have an exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathbb{W}(B, \delta) \xrightarrow{v} \mathbb{W}(B, \delta) \xrightarrow{w_0} B \to 0.$$

Proof. If $p \geq 3$ then $\mathbb{W}(B, \delta)$ is stable under v because $\mathbb{W}(B)$ and $\tilde{W}(\mathfrak{b})$ are stable under v; see Lemma 1.6. Assume that $p \in \mathfrak{b}$ and that δ induces the canonical divided powers on pB. Let $\xi = p - v(1) \in W(B)$. This element lies in $W(pB) \subseteq W(\mathfrak{b})$ and satisfies $\mathrm{Log}(\xi) = [p, 0, 0, \ldots]$. Thus $\xi \in \tilde{W}(\mathfrak{b})$, which implies that $v(1) \in \mathbb{W}(B, \delta)$. Using this, the proof of Lemma 1.6 shows that $\mathbb{W}(B, \delta)$ is stable under v. The exact sequence follows as usual. $\square$

1.4. **The v-stabilised Zink ring.** Assume that $p=2$. For an admissible ring R let γ be the canonical divided powers on the ideal pR. We denote the associated enlarged Zink ring by

$$\mathbb{W}^+(R)=\mathbb{W}(R,\gamma)=\mathbb{W}(R)+\tilde{W}(pR)\subseteq W(R).$$

The kernel of the projection $\mathbb{W}^+(R)\to W(R/\mathcal{N}_R)$ will be denoted $\hat{W}^+(\mathcal{N}_R)$. In view of the following lemma we call $\mathbb{W}^+(R)$ the v-stabilised Zink ring.

Lemma 1.10. *Let $p=2$. We have*

$$\mathbb{W}^+(R)=\mathbb{W}(R)+\mathbb{W}(R)v(1).$$

The ring $\mathbb{W}^+(R)$ is equal to $\mathbb{W}(R)$ if and only if $pR=0$. The $\mathbb{W}(R)$-module $\mathbb{W}^+(R)/\mathbb{W}(R)$ is an R_{red}-module generated by $v(1)$.

Proof. By Lemma 1.9 we have $v(1)\in\mathbb{W}^+(R)$. Clearly $pR=0$ implies that $\mathbb{W}^+(R)=\mathbb{W}(R)$. In general we consider the filtration

$$W(p\mathcal{N}_R)\subseteq W(pR)\subseteq W(R)$$

and the graded modules for the induced filtrations on $\mathbb{W}(R)$ and on $\mathbb{W}^+(R)$. The restriction of the divided powers γ to the ideal $p\mathcal{N}_R$ are nilpotent, which implies that

$$\mathbb{W}^+(R)\cap W(p\mathcal{N}_R)=\tilde{W}(p\mathcal{N}_R)=\hat{W}(p\mathcal{N}_R)=\mathbb{W}(R)\cap W(p\mathcal{N}_R).$$

On the other hand, we have $\mathbb{W}^+(R/pR)=\mathbb{W}(R/pR)$ and thus

$$\mathbb{W}^+(R)/\mathbb{W}^+(R)\cap W(pR)=\mathbb{W}(R)/\mathbb{W}(R)\cap W(pR).$$

Let $\mathfrak{c}=pR/p\mathcal{N}_R$. By the preceding remarks we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{W}^+(R)/\mathbb{W}(R)\cong\tilde{W}(\mathfrak{c})/\hat{W}(\mathfrak{c}).$$

This is an $R/\mathcal{N}_R$-module. Assume that $pR\neq 0$, which implies $\mathfrak{c}\neq 0$. For some ideal $\mathcal{N}_R\subseteq\mathfrak{b}\subset R$, multiplication by 2 is an isomorphism $R/\mathfrak{b}\cong\mathfrak{c}$. Modulo 2 the divided Witt polynomials are $\tilde{w}_i(x)\equiv\gamma_2(x_{i-1})+x_i$, so the isomorphism $\mathrm{Log}:W(\mathfrak{c})\to\mathfrak{c}^\infty$ takes the form

$$\mathrm{Log}(2a_0,2a_1,\ldots)=2[a_0,a_0^2+a_1,a_1^2+a_2,a_2^2+a_3,\ldots]$$

with $a_i\in R/\mathfrak{b}$. It follows that $\tilde{W}(\mathfrak{c})/\hat{W}(\mathfrak{c})$ can be identified with the direct limit of the Frobenius homomorphism $R/\mathfrak{b}\to R/\mathfrak{b}\to\ldots$, which is isomorphic to $R/\sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}$. Under this identification, the element $\xi=p-v(1)$ of $\tilde{W}(\mathfrak{c})$ maps to 1 in $R/\sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}$ because we have $\mathrm{Log}(\xi)=[2,0,\ldots]$. Hence $\mathbb{W}^+(R)/\mathbb{W}(R)$ is generated by $v(1)$, with annihilator $\sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}$. ☐

Assume again that $p=2$. Let $(B\to R,\delta)$ be a divided power extension of admissible rings with kernel $\mathfrak{b}\subset B$ such that δ is compatible with the canonical divided powers γ on pB. Let δ^+ be the divided powers on $\mathfrak{b}^+=\mathfrak{b}+pB$ that extend δ and γ. In this case we write

$$\mathbb{W}^+(B,\delta)=\mathbb{W}(B,\delta^+)=\mathbb{W}(B)+\tilde{W}(\mathfrak{b}^+).$$

Clearly $\mathbb{W}(B,\delta)\subseteq\mathbb{W}^+(B,\delta)\supseteq\mathbb{W}^+(B)$. If the divided powers on $\mathfrak{b}^+/pB$ induced by δ are nilpotent then $\mathbb{W}^+(B,\delta)=\mathbb{W}^+(B)$.

1.5. **Passing to the limit.** All of the preceding definitions and lemmas carry over to the topological case. For an admissible topological ring R let

$$\mathbb{W}(R) = \varprojlim_N \mathbb{W}(R/N),$$

the limit taken over all open ideals N of R with $N \subseteq \mathcal{N}_R$. Then Lemmas 1.6 and 1.7 hold for admissible topological rings. The enlarged Zink ring can be defined for topological divided power extensions in the following sense.

Definition 1.11. Let B and R be admissible topological rings. A topological divided power extension is a surjective ring homomorphism $B \to R$ whose kernel $\mathfrak{b}$ is equipped with divided powers δ such that $\mathfrak{b}$ is closed in B, the topology of R is the quotient topology of $B/\mathfrak{b}$, and the linear topology of B is induced by open ideals N for which $N \cap \mathfrak{b}$ is stable under δ.

Remark 1.12. The existence of divided powers on $\mathfrak{b}$ implies that $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_B$. If B is a noetherian complete local ring then every ideal $\mathfrak{b}$ of B is closed, and for each n there is an open ideal $N \subset \mathfrak{m}_B^n$ such that $\mathfrak{b} \cap N$ is stable under any divided powers δ on $\mathfrak{b}$. Indeed, there is an l with $\mathfrak{m}_B^n\mathfrak{b} \supseteq \mathfrak{m}_B^l \cap \mathfrak{b}$; then take $N = \mathfrak{m}_B^n\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{m}_B^l$, which implies that $\mathfrak{b} \cap N = \mathfrak{m}_B^n\mathfrak{b}$.

For a topological divided power extension of admissible topological rings $(B \to R, \delta)$ with kernel $\mathfrak{b} \subset B$ we define

$$\mathbb{W}(B, \delta) = \varprojlim_N \mathbb{W}(B/N, \delta/N)$$

where N runs through the open ideals of B contained in $\mathcal{N}_B$ such that $N \cap \mathfrak{b}$ is stable under δ, and δ/N denotes the divided powers induced by δ on the ideal $\mathfrak{b}/N \cap \mathfrak{b}$ of B/N. Lemmas 1.8 and 1.9 hold in the topological case.

Assume that $p = 2$. Then for an admissible topological ring we can also consider

$$\mathbb{W}^+(R) = \varprojlim_N \mathbb{W}^+(R/N),$$

the limit taken over all open ideals N of R contained in $\mathcal{N}_R$. Assume that $(B \to R, \delta)$ is a topological divided power extension of admissible rings such that the divided powers δ/N considered above are compatible with the canonical divided powers of p for all possible N. Then we can define

$$\mathbb{W}^+(B, \delta) = \varprojlim_N \mathbb{W}^+(B/N, \delta/N).$$

In section 3 we need the following example.

Lemma 1.13. *Let R be an I-adic ring such that $K = R/I$ is a perfect ring of characteristic p. For a projective R-module t of finite type we consider the complete symmetric algebra $R[[t]] = \prod_{n \geq 0} \operatorname{Sym}^n(t)$. Let S be the I-adic completion of the divided power envelope of the ideal $tR[[t]] \subset R[[t]]$.*

- (i) *The topological ring S is admissible, and $S \to R$ is naturally a topological divided power extension of admissible topological rings.*
- (ii) *If R has no p-torsion, S has no p-torsion.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{a}' \subset S'$ be the divided power envelope of $tR[[t]] \subset R[[t]]$. We write $R_n = R/I^n$ and $S_n = S'/I^nS'$, thus $S = \varprojlim S_n$. The divided powers on $\mathfrak{a}'$ induce divided powers on $\mathfrak{a}_n = \mathfrak{a}'/I^n\mathfrak{a}'$, which is the kernel of $S_n \to R_n$.

In particular, we have $x^{p^n} = 0$ for $x \in \mathfrak{a}_n$. Hence S_n is admissible, $S \to R$ is the projective limit of the divided power extensions $S_n \to R_n$, and (i) is proved. Let $\mathfrak{a}'' \subset S''$ be the divided power envelope of $tR[t] \subset R[t]$. We claim that the I-adic completion of S'' coincides with S. Indeed, since t is finitely generated, for each n there is an r such that every element of $t^rR[[t]]$ can be written as a linear combination of p^n-th powers. Thus the homomorphism $R[[t]] \to S_n$ factors over $R[t]/t^n$, and the claim follows easily. Assume now that R has no p-torsion. If t is a free R-module with basis $x_1, \dots, x_s$, then S'' is a free R-module with basis $x^{\underline{m}}/\underline{m}!$ for $\underline{m} \in \mathbb{N}^s$; see [BO, Remark 3.20.5]; and S is isomorphic to the R-module $R^{<\infty>}$ of infinite sequences which converge to zero I-adically, which has no p-torsion. In general, since the divided power envelope S'' is compatible with flat base change in R, it follows that S'' is an infinite direct sum of finitely generated projective R-modules. Thus S is a direct summand of $R^{<\infty>}$, and (ii) is proved. $\square$

1.6. **Completeness.** For an admissible ring R, the Zink ring $\mathbb{W}(R)$ is p-adic. Indeed, $W(R_{\text{red}})$ is p-adic, and $\hat{W}(\mathcal{N}_R)$ is annihilated by a power of p because this holds for $W(\mathcal{N}_R)$ by Lemma 1.5. The following topological variant of this fact seems to be less obvious.

Proposition 1.14. *Let R be an I-adic ring such that the ideal I is finitely generated and $K = R/I$ is a perfect ring of characteristic p. Then the ring $\mathbb{W}(R)$ is p-adic. If $p = 2$, then $\mathbb{W}^+(R)$ is p-adic as well.*

Proof. The ring $W(R)$ is p-adically separated because this holds for each $W(R/I^n)$. Thus $\mathbb{W}(R)$ is p-adically separated too. Let $S = W(K)[[t_1, \dots t_r]]$ and let $S \to R$ be a homomorphism which maps $t_1, \dots t_r$ to a set of generators of I/I^2. Then $S \to R$ is surjective, and so is $\mathbb{W}(S) \to \mathbb{W}(R)$. Since $\mathbb{W}(R)$ is p-adically separated, in order to show that $\mathbb{W}(R)$ is p-adically complete we may assume that $S = R$. Consider the ideals $J_n = p^nW(R) + W(I^n)$ of $W(R)$ and $\mathbb{J}_n = \mathbb{W}(R) \cap J_n$ of $\mathbb{W}(R)$. Then

$$W(R)/J_n = W_n(K) \oplus W(I/I^n),$$

$$\mathbb{W}(R)/\mathbb{J}_n = W_n(K) \oplus \hat{W}(I/I^n).$$

It follows that $W(R)$ and $\mathbb{W}(R)$ are complete and separated for the linear topologies generated by the ideals J_n and $\mathbb{J}_n$, respectively; moreover $\mathbb{W}(R)$ is closed in $W(R)$. The ring $W(R)$ is also complete and separated for the linear topology generated by the ideals $J'_{n,m} = \operatorname{Ker}(W(R) \to W_m(R/I^n))$. The J-topology is finer than the J'-topology because $J_{2n} \subseteq J'_{n,n}$.

We claim that for each r the ideal $p^rW(R)$ of $W(R)$ is closed in the J'-topology, which is a variant of [Zi1, Lemma 6]. The proof of [Zi1, Lemma 4] shows that an element $x = (x_0, \dots, x_m)$ of $W_{m+1}(R)$ satisfies $x_i \in I^s$ for all i if and only if $w_i(x) \in I^{i+s}$ for all i. Then the proof of [Zi1, Lemma 5] shows that an element $x \in W_m(R)$ is divisible by p^r if and only if for each s the image $\bar{x} \in W_m(R/I^s)$ is divisible by p^r. Using this, the claim follows from the proof of [Zi1, Lemma 6].

Thus $p^rW(R)$ is closed in the finer J-topology as well. Assume that we have $p\mathbb{W}(R) = pW(R) \cap \mathbb{W}(R)$. Then $p^r\mathbb{W}(R)$ is closed in the $\mathbb{J}$-topology, which implies that $\mathbb{W}(R)$ is p-adic; see [Zi1, Lemma 7]. Thus for $p \geq 3$ the proof is completed by Lemma 1.15 below. For $p = 2$ the same reasoning shows that $\mathbb{W}^+(R)$ is p-adic. Now $\mathbb{W}^+(R)/\mathbb{W}(R)$ is isomorphic to K as abelian groups by the proof of Lemma 1.10. We get exact sequences

$$0 \to K \to \mathbb{W}(R)/p^n\mathbb{W}(R) \to \mathbb{W}^+(R)/p^n\mathbb{W}^+(R) \to K \to 0,$$

where the transition maps from $n+1$ to n are zero on the left hand K and the identity on the right hand K. It follows that $\mathbb{W}(R)$ is p-adic as well. $\square$

Lemma 1.15. *For a perfect ring K of characteristic p we consider the ring $R = W(K)[[t_1, \ldots, t_r]]$ with the $(p, t_1, \ldots, t_r)$-adic topology. If $p \geq 3$ then*

$$pW(R) \cap \mathbb{W}(R) = p\mathbb{W}(R).$$

If $p = 2$ then

$$pW(R) \cap \mathbb{W}^+(R) = p\mathbb{W}^+(R).$$

Proof. Assume $p = 2$. Let I be the kernel of $R \to K$ and let $\bar{I} = I/pR$. The filtration $0 \subset W(pR) \subset W(I) \subset W(R)$ induces a filtration of $\mathbb{W}(R)$ with successive quotients $\tilde{W}(pR) := \varprojlim_n \hat{W}(pR/I^npR)$ and $\hat{W}(\bar{I}) := \varprojlim_n \hat{W}(\bar{I}/\bar{I}^n)$ and $W(K)$. To prove the lemma it suffices to show that

$$pW(\bar{I}) \cap \hat{W}(\bar{I}) = p\hat{W}(\bar{I})$$

and

$$pW(pR) \cap \tilde{W}(pR) = p\tilde{W}(pR).$$

The first equality holds because multiplication by p on $W(\bar{I})$ is given by $(a_0, a_1, \ldots) \mapsto (0, a_0^p, a_1^p, \ldots)$, and for $a \in \bar{I}$ with $a^p \in \bar{I}^{pn}$ we have $a \in \bar{I}^n$. The second quality holds because the isomorphism $\mathrm{Log} : W(pR) \cong (pR)^\infty$ induces an isomorphism between $\tilde{W}(pR)$ and the group of all sequences in $(pR)^\infty$ that converge to zero I-adically. The proof for $p \geq 3$ is similar. $\square$

2. Dieudonné displays

In this section, Dieudonné displays and a number of variants related with divided power extensions are defined. We use the formalism of frames introduced in [La2]. First of all, let us recall a well-known fact.

Lemma 2.1. *Let A be a commutative, not necessarily unitary ring. For $x \in W(A)$ we have $f(x) \equiv x^p$ modulo $pW(A)$. Similarly, for $x \in \hat{W}(A)$ we have $f(x) \equiv x^p$ modulo $p\hat{W}(A)$.*

Proof. For $x \in W(R)$ write $x = [x_0] + v(y)$ with $x_0 \in R$ and $y \in W(R)$. Then $f(x) \equiv [x_0^p] \equiv x^p$ modulo $pW(R)$ because $fv = p$ and $v(y)^p = p^{p-1}v(y^p)$. The same is true when W is replaced by $\hat{W}$. $\square$

2.1. **Frames and windows.** We recall the notion of frames and windows from [La2] with some additions. A *pre-frame* is a quintuple

$$\mathcal{F} = (S, I, R, \sigma, \sigma_1)$$

where S and $R = S/I$ are rings, where $\sigma : S \to S$ is a ring endomorphism with $\sigma(a) \equiv a^p$ modulo pS, and where $\sigma_1 : I \to S$ is a σ-linear map of S-modules the image of which generates S as an S-module. Then there is a unique element $\theta \in S$ with $\sigma(a) = \theta\sigma_1(a)$ for $a \in I$. The pre-frame $\mathcal{F}$ is called a *frame* if

$$I + pS \subseteq \mathrm{Rad}(S).$$

If in addition all projective R-modules of finite type can be lifted to projective S modules then $\mathcal{F}$ is called a *lifting frame.* A homomorphism of pre-frames or frames $\alpha : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}'$ is a ring homomorphism $\alpha : S \to S'$ with $\alpha(I) \subseteq I'$ such that $\sigma'\alpha = \alpha\sigma$ and $\sigma_1'\alpha = u \cdot \alpha\sigma_1$ for a unit $u \in S'$, which is determined by α. Then $\alpha(\theta) = u\theta'$. We say that α is a u-homomorphism of pre-frames or frames. Let now $\mathcal{F}$ be a frame. An *$\mathcal{F}$-window* is a quadruple

$$\mathscr{P} = (P, Q, F, F_1)$$

where P is a finitely generated projective S-module with a submodule Q such that there exists a decomposition of S-modules $P = L \oplus T$ with $Q = L \oplus IT$, called *normal decomposition*, and where $F : P \to P$ and $F_1 : Q \to P$ are σ-linear maps of S-modules with

$$F_1(ax) = \sigma_1(a)F(x)$$

for $a \in I$ and $x \in P$; we also assume that $F_1(Q)$ generates P as an S-module. Then $F(x) = \theta F_1(x)$ for $x \in Q$. If F is a lifting frame, every pair (P, Q) such that P is a finitely generated projective S-module and P/Q a projective R-module admits a normal decomposition. In general, for given (P, Q) together with a normal decomposition $P = L \oplus T$, giving σ-linear maps (F, F_1) which make an $\mathcal{F}$-window $\mathscr{P}$ is equivalent to giving a σ-linear isomorphism

$$\Psi : L \oplus T \to P$$

defined by F_1 on L and by F on T. The triple (L, T, Ψ) is called a *normal representation* of $\mathscr{P}$. A frame homomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}'$ induces a base change functor α_* from $\mathcal{F}$-windows to $\mathcal{F}'$-windows. In terms of normal representations it is given by

$$(L, T, \Psi) \mapsto (S' \otimes_S L, S' \otimes_S T, \Psi')$$

with $\Psi'(s' \otimes l) = u\sigma'(s') \otimes \Psi(l)$ and $\Psi'(s' \otimes t) = \sigma'(s') \otimes \Psi(t)$. A frame homomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}'$ is called *crystalline* if the functor α_* is an equivalence of categories. The crystalline nature of frames is expressed as follows; see [La2, Theorem 3.2].

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\alpha : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}'$ be a homomorphism of frames which induces an isomorphism $R \cong R'$ and a surjection $S \to S'$ with kernel $\mathfrak{a}$. We assume that there is a finite filtration of ideals $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_0 \supseteq \ldots \supseteq \mathfrak{a}_n = 0$ with $\sigma_1(\mathfrak{a}_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_i$ and $\sigma(\mathfrak{a}_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_{i+1}$, that σ_1 is elementwise nilpotent on each $\mathfrak{a}_i/\mathfrak{a}_{i+1}$, and that all finitely generated projective S'-modules lift to projective S-modules. Then α is crystalline.*

Let us recall the operator $V^\sharp$ of a window. For an S-module M we write $M^{(1)} = S \otimes_{\sigma,S} M$. We call a filtered F-V-module over $\mathcal{F}$ a quadruple

$$(P, Q, F^\sharp, V^\sharp)$$

where P is a projective S-module of finite type, Q is a submodule of P such that P/Q is projective over R, and $F^\sharp : P^{(1)} \to P$ and $V^\sharp : P \to P^{(1)}$ are S-linear maps with $F^\sharp V^\sharp = \theta$ and $V^\sharp F^\sharp = \theta$.

Lemma 2.3. *There is a natural functor from $\mathcal{F}$-windows to filtered F-V-modules over $\mathcal{F}$, which is fully faithful if θ is not a zero divisor in S.*

Proof. The functor is $(P,Q,F,F_1)\mapsto(P,Q,F^\sharp,V^\sharp)$ where $F^\sharp$ is the linearisation of F, and $V^\sharp$ is the unique S-linear map such that $V^\sharp(F_1(x))=1\otimes x$ for $x\in Q$. Clearly this determines $V^\sharp$ if it exists. In terms of a normal representation (L,T,Ψ) of $\mathscr{P}$, thus $P=L\oplus T$, one can define $V^\sharp=(1\oplus\theta)(\Psi^\sharp)^{(-1)}$. The relation $F^\sharp V^\sharp=\theta$ on P is equivalent to $F^\sharp V^\sharp F_1=\theta F_1$ on Q, which is clear since $\theta F_1=F$. The relation $V^\sharp F^\sharp=\theta$ on $P^{(1)}$ holds if and only if it holds after multiplication with $\sigma_1(a)$ for all $a\in I$. For $x\in P$ we calculate $\sigma_1(a)V^\sharp F^\sharp(1\otimes x)=V^\sharp F_1(ax)=\sigma(a)\otimes x=\theta\sigma_1(a)(1\otimes x)$.

Assume that θ is not a zero divisor in S. It suffices to show that the forgetful functors from windows to triples (P,Q,F) and from filtered F-V-modules to triples $(P,Q,F^\sharp)$ are fully faithful. In the first case this holds because $\theta F_1=F$. In the second case, for an endomorphism α of P with $\alpha F^\sharp=F^\sharp\alpha^{(1)}$ we calculate $V^\sharp\alpha\theta=V^\sharp\alpha F^\sharp V^\sharp=V^\sharp F^\sharp\alpha^{(1)}V^\sharp=\theta\alpha^{(1)}V^\sharp$. □

Finally, we recall the duality formalism. Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the $\mathcal{F}$-window (S,I,σ,σ_1). A bilinear form between $\mathcal{F}$-windows

$$\beta:\mathscr{P}\times\mathscr{P}'\to\mathcal{F}$$

is an S-bilinear map $\beta:P\times P'\to S$ such that $\beta(Q\times Q')\subseteq I$ and $\beta(F_1x,F_1'x')=\sigma_1(\beta(x,x'))$ for $x\in Q$ and $x'\in Q'$. For each $\mathscr{P}$, the functor $\mathscr{P}'\mapsto\operatorname{Bil}(\mathscr{P}\times\mathscr{P}',\mathcal{F})$ is represented by an $\mathcal{F}$-window $\mathscr{P}^t$, called the dual of $\mathscr{P}$. The tautological bilinear form $\mathscr{P}\times\mathscr{P}^t\to S$ is perfect. There is a bijection between normal representations $P=L\oplus T$ and normal representation $P^t=L^t\oplus T^t$ determined by $\langle L,L^t\rangle=0=\langle T,T^t\rangle$. The associated operators $\Psi:P\to P$ and $\Psi^t:P^t\to P^t$ are related by $\langle\Psi x,\Psi' x'\rangle=\sigma\langle x,x'\rangle$.

There is also an obvious duality of filtered F-V-modules over $\mathcal{F}$. The dual of $\mathcal{M}=(P,Q,F^\sharp,V^\sharp)$ is $\mathcal{M}^t=(P^*,Q',V^{\sharp *},F^{\sharp *})$ where $P^*=\operatorname{Hom}_S(P,S)$, and Q' is the submodule of all y in P^* with $y(Q)\subseteq I$. It is easy to see that the functor in Lemma 2.3 preserves duality.

2.2. **Pre-frames associated to the Witt ring.** For an arbitrary ring R let $f_1:I_R\to W(R)$ be the inverse of the Verschiebung v. Then

$$\mathscr{W}_R=(W(R),I_R,R,f,f_1)$$

is a pre-frame with $\theta=p$. If R is p-adic, $\mathscr{W}_R$ is a lifting frame because $W(R)$ is p-adic by [Zi1, Proposition 3], and windows over $\mathscr{W}_R$ are displays over R. For a divided power extension of rings $(B\to R,\delta)$ with kernel $\mathfrak{b}\in B$ one can define a pre-frame

$$\mathscr{W}_{B/R}=(W(B),I_{B/R},R,f,\tilde{f}_1)$$

with $I_{B/R}=I_B+W(\mathfrak{b})$ such that $\tilde{f}_1:I_{B/R}\to W(B)$ is the unique extension of f_1 whose restriction to $W(\mathfrak{b})$ is given by $[a_0,a_1,a_2,\dots]\mapsto[a_1,a_2,\dots]$ in logarithmic coordinates; see section 1.3. The projection $\mathscr{W}_B\to\mathscr{W}_R$ factors into strict pre-frame homomorphisms $\mathscr{W}_B\to\mathscr{W}_{B/R}\to\mathscr{W}_R$.

As a special case, assume that R is a perfect ring of characteristic p. Then f is an automorphism of $W(R)$, and $I_R=pW(R)$. Let us call a Dieudonné module over R a triple (P,F,V) where P is a projective $W(R)$-module of finite type equipped with an f-linear endomorphism F and an f^{-1}-linear endomorphism V such that $FV=p$, or equivalently $VF=p$.

Lemma 2.4. *Displays over a perfect ring R are equivalent to Dieudonné modules over R.*

Proof. To a display (P, Q, F, F_1) we associate the Dieudonné module (P, F, V) where the linearisation of $V : P \to P$ is the operator $V^\sharp$ defined in Lemma 2.3. Then $VF_1 : Q \to P$ is the inclusion. Here F_1 is surjective since f is bijective. Thus $Q = V(P)$, and the functor is fully faithful; see Lemma 2.3. It remains to show that for each Dieudonné module (P, F, V) the R-module $M = P/V(P)$ is projective. For $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ let $\ell_{\mathfrak{p}}(M)$ be the dimension of the fibre of M at $\mathfrak{p}$. Let $N = P/F(P)$. Then $\ell_M + \ell_N = \ell_{P/pP}$ as functions on $\operatorname{Spec} R$. Since M and N are finitely presented and since P/pP is projective, the functions ℓ_M and ℓ_N are upper semicontinuous, and $\ell_{P/pP}$ is locally constant. It follows that ℓ_M is locally constant, which implies that M is projective because R is reduced. □

2.3. **Dieudonné frames.** For an admissible ring R let $\mathbb{I}_R$ be the kernel of $w_0 : \mathbb{W}(R) \to R$, and let $\mathbb{f}_1 : \mathbb{I}_R \to \mathbb{W}(R)$ be the inverse of $\mathbb{v}$, which is well-defined by Lemma 1.7. If p is odd, then $\mathbb{v} = v$ and $\mathbb{f} = f$.

Lemma 2.5. *The quintuple*

$$\mathscr{D}_R = (\mathbb{W}_R, \mathbb{I}_R, R, f, \mathbb{f}_1)$$

is a lifting frame.

We call $\mathscr{D}_R$ the Dieudonné frame associated to R.

Proof. In order that $\mathscr{D}_R$ is a pre-frame we need that $f(a) \equiv a^p$ modulo $p\mathbb{W}(R)$ for $a \in \mathbb{W}(R)$, which follows from Lemma 2.1 applied to $W(R_{\text{red}})$ and to $\hat{W}(\mathcal{N}_R)$. Since $\hat{W}(\mathcal{N}_R)$ is a nilideal by Lemma 1.5 and since the quotient $\mathbb{W}(R)/\hat{W}(\mathcal{N}_R) = W(R_{\text{red}})$ is p-adic, the kernel of $\mathbb{W}(R) \to R_{\text{red}}$ lies in the radical of $\mathbb{W}(R)$, and projective R_{red}-modules of finite type lift to projective $\mathbb{W}(R)$-modules. It follows that $\mathscr{D}_R$ is a lifting frame. □

The inclusion $\mathbb{W}(R) \to W(R)$ is a u_0-homomorphism of frames $\mathscr{D}_R \to \mathscr{W}_R$. Thus for $\mathscr{D}_R$ we have $\theta = 1$ if $p \geq 3$ and $\theta = pu_0 = p - [p^p]$ if $p = 2$.

Definition 2.6. A Dieudonné display over R is a window over $\mathscr{D}_R$.

Thus a Dieudonné display is a quadruple $\mathscr{P} = (P, Q, F, F_1)$ where P is a projective $\mathbb{W}(R)$-module of finite type with a filtration $\mathbb{I}_R P \subseteq Q \subseteq P$ such that P/Q is a projective R-module, $F : P \to P$ and $F_1 : Q \to P$ are f-linear maps with $F_1(ax) = \mathbb{f}_1(a)F(x)$ for $a \in \mathbb{I}_R$ and $x \in P$, and $F_1(Q)$ generates P. We write

$$\operatorname{Lie}(\mathscr{P}) = P/Q.$$

The *height* of $\mathscr{P}$ is the rank of the $\mathbb{W}(R)$-module P, and the *dimension* of $\mathscr{P}$ is the rank of the R-module $\operatorname{Lie}(\mathscr{P})$, both viewed as locally constant functions on $\operatorname{Spec} R$. As in the case of general frames, we also denote by $\mathscr{D}_R$ the Dieudonné display $(\mathbb{W}(R), \mathbb{I}_R, f, \mathbb{f}_1)$ over R.

2.4. **Extended Dieudonné frames.** Let $(B \to R, \delta)$ be a divided power extension of admissible rings with kernel $\mathfrak{b} \subset B$. Let $\mathbb{W}(B/R) = \mathbb{W}(B, \delta)$ and let $\mathbb{I}_{B/R}$ be the kernel of the projection $\mathbb{W}(B/R) \to R$, thus

$$\mathbb{I}_{B/R} = \mathbb{I}_B + \tilde{W}(\mathfrak{b}).$$

Lemma 2.7. *There is a unique extension of* $\mathbb{f}_1 : \mathbb{I}_B \to \mathbb{W}(B)$ *to an* f*-linear map* $\tilde{\mathbb{f}}_1 : \mathbb{I}_{B/R} \to \mathbb{W}(B/R)$ *of* $\mathbb{W}(B/R)$*-modules such that the restriction of* $\tilde{\mathbb{f}}_1$ *to* $\tilde{W}(\mathfrak{b})$ *is given by*

$$\tilde{\mathbb{f}}_1([a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots]) = [w_0(u_0^{-1})a_1, w_1(u_0^{-1})a_2, \ldots] \tag{2.1}$$

in logarithmic coordinates. The quintuple

$$\mathscr{D}_{B/R} = \mathscr{D}_{B/R,\delta} = (\mathbb{W}(B/R), \mathbb{I}_{B/R}, R, f, \tilde{\mathbb{f}}_1)$$

is a lifting frame.

Proof. Clearly $\tilde{\mathbb{f}}_1$ is determined uniquely by (2.1). Let $\mathbb{I}'_B$ be the kernel of $\mathbb{W}(B/R) \to B$. By Lemma 1.8, the inverse of $\mathbb{v}$ is an f-linear map $\mathbb{f}'_1 : \mathbb{I}'_B \to \mathbb{W}(B/R)$ which extends $\mathbb{f}_1$. In logarithmic coordinates, the restriction of $\mathbb{v}$ to $W(\mathfrak{b})$ is given by $[a_0, a_1, \ldots] \mapsto [0, w_0(u_0)a_0, w_1(u_0)a_1, \ldots]$. Thus $\mathbb{f}'_1$ extends to the desired $\tilde{\mathbb{f}}_1$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.5, the kernel of $\mathbb{W}(B/R) \to R_{\mathrm{red}}$ lies in the radical of $\mathbb{W}(B/R)$, and finitely generated projective modules lift over this homomorphism. The lemma follows. $\square$

We call $\mathscr{D}_{B/R}$ the (extended) Dieudonné frame associated to $(B/R, \delta)$, and $\mathscr{D}_{B/R}$-windows are called Dieudonné displays for B/R. There are natural strict frame homomorphisms

$$\mathscr{D}_B \to \mathscr{D}_{B/R} \to \mathscr{D}_R.$$

If the divided powers δ are nilpotent, then $\mathbb{W}(B) = \mathbb{W}(B/R)$. In this case, lifts of Dieudonné displays from B/R to B correspond bijectively to lifts of the Hodge filtration. In any case, we have:

Proposition 2.8. *The homomorphism* $\mathscr{D}_{B/R} \to \mathscr{D}_R$ *is crystalline.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.2. Indeed, let $\mathfrak{a}$ denote the kernel of the projection $\mathbb{W}(B/R) \to \mathbb{W}(R)$, thus $\mathfrak{a} = \tilde{W}(\mathfrak{b}) \cong \mathfrak{b}^{(\infty)}$. The endomorphism $\tilde{\mathbb{f}}_1$ of $\mathfrak{a}$ is elementwise nilpotent by (2.1). The required filtration of $\mathfrak{a}$ can be taken to be $\mathfrak{a}_i = p^i\mathfrak{a}$; this is a finite filtration by Lemma 1.5. We have $\tilde{\mathbb{f}}_1(\mathfrak{a}_i) = \mathfrak{a}_i$ by (2.1), and $f(\mathfrak{a}_i) = \mathfrak{a}_{i+1}$ because the endomorphism f of $\mathfrak{a}$ is given by $[a_0, a_1, \ldots] \mapsto [pa_1, pa_2, \ldots]$ in logarithmic coordinates. $\square$

2.5. **v-stabilised Dieudonné frames.** Assume that $p = 2$. The preceding constructions can be repeated with $\mathbb{W}^+$ and v in place of $\mathbb{W}$ and $\mathbb{v}$. More precisely, for an admissible ring R let $\mathbb{I}^+_R$ be the kernel of $\mathbb{W}^+(R) \to R$ and let $f_1 : \mathbb{I}^+_R \to \mathbb{W}^+(R)$ be the inverse of v, which is well-defined by Lemma 1.9. The v-stabilised Dieudonné frame associated to R is defined as

$$\mathscr{D}^+_R = (\mathbb{W}^+(R), \mathbb{I}^+_R, R, f, f_1).$$

This is a lifting frame by the proof of Lemma 2.5. The inclusion $\mathbb{W}(R) \to \mathbb{W}^+(R)$ is a u_0-homomorphism of frames $\mathscr{D}_R \to \mathscr{D}^+_R$, which is invertible if and only if $pR = 0$. Windows over $\mathscr{D}^+_R$ are called v-stabilised Dieudonné displays over R.

Assume again that $p=2$, and let $(B\to R,\delta)$ be a divided power extension of admissible rings with kernel $\mathfrak{b}\subset B$ which is compatible with the canonical divided powers of p. Let $\mathbb{I}^+_{B/R}$ be the kernel of the projection $\mathbb{W}^+_{B/R}\to\mathbb{W}^+(R)$, thus

$$\mathbb{I}^+_{B/R}=\mathbb{I}^+_B+\tilde{W}(\mathfrak{b}).$$

There is a unique extension of $f_1:\mathbb{I}^+_B\to\mathbb{W}^+(B)$ to an f-linear map $\tilde{f}_1:\mathbb{I}^+_{B/R}\to\mathbb{W}^+(B/R)$ of $\mathbb{W}^+(B/R)$-modules such that its restriction to $\tilde{W}(\mathfrak{b})$ is given by $[a_0,a_1,a_2,\ldots]\mapsto[a_1,a_2,\ldots]$ in logarithmic coordinates, and the quintuple

$$\mathscr{D}^+_{B/R}=(\mathbb{W}^+(B/R),\mathbb{I}^+_{B/R},R,f,\tilde{f}_1)$$

is a lifting frame. This follows from the proof of Lemma 2.7. We have a u_0-homomorphism of frames $\mathscr{D}_{B/R}\to\mathscr{D}^+_{B/R}$, which is invertible if and only if $pR=0$, and strict frame homomorphisms

$$\mathscr{D}^+_B\to\mathscr{D}^+_{B/R}\to\mathscr{D}^+_R.$$

If the divided powers induced by δ on $\mathfrak{b}+pB/pB$ are nilpotent, $\mathbb{W}^+(B)$ is equal to $\mathbb{W}^+(B/R)$. In this case, lifts of windows from $\mathscr{D}^+_{B/R}$ to $\mathscr{D}^+_B$ are bijective to lifts of the Hodge filtration. In general, we have:

Corollary 2.9. *The homomorphism* $\mathscr{D}^+_{B/R}\to\mathscr{D}^+_R$ *is crystalline.*

Proof. This follows from the proof of Proposition 2.8. □

2.6. **The crystals associated to Dieudonné displays.** Let R be an admissible ring. We denote by $\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathrm{adm}}(R)$ the category of divided power extensions $(\operatorname{Spec}A\to\operatorname{Spec}B,\delta)$ where A is an R-algebra which is an admissible ring, and where p is nilpotent in B. Then the kernel of $B\to A$ is bounded nilpotent, so B is an admissible ring as well.

Let $\mathscr{P}$ be a Dieudonné display over R. For $(\operatorname{Spec}B\to\operatorname{Spec}A,\delta)$ in $\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathrm{adm}}(R)$ we denote the base change of $\mathscr{P}$ to A by $\mathscr{P}_A$ and the unique Dieudonné display for B/A which lifts $\mathscr{P}_A$ by

$$\mathscr{P}_{B/A}=(P_{B/A},Q_{B/A},F,F_1);$$

see Proposition 2.8. A homomorphism of divided power extensions of admissible rings $\alpha:(A\to B,\delta)\to(A'\to B',\delta')$ induces a frame homomorphism $\mathscr{D}_\alpha:\mathscr{D}_{B/A}\to\mathscr{D}_{B'/A'}$, and we have a natural isomorphism

$$(\mathscr{D}_\alpha)_*(\mathscr{P}_{B/A})\cong\mathscr{P}_{B'/A'}.$$

In more sophisticated terms this can be expressed as follows: The frames $\mathscr{D}_{B/A}$ form a presheaf of frames $\mathscr{D}_{**}$ on $\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathrm{adm}}(R)$, and Proposition 2.8 implies that the category of Dieudonné displays over R is equivalent to the category of crystals in $\mathscr{D}_{**}$-windows on $\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathrm{adm}}(R)$. Then $\mathscr{P}_{B/A}$ is the value in $(\operatorname{Spec}A\to\operatorname{Spec}B,\delta)$ of the crystal associated to $\mathscr{P}$.

For a Dieudonné display $\mathscr{P}=(P,Q,F,F_1)$ over R, the Witt crystal $\mathbb{K}(\mathscr{P})$ on $\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathrm{adm}}(R)$ is defined by

$$\mathbb{K}(\mathscr{P})_{B/A}=P_{B/A}.$$

This is a projective $\mathbb{W}(B/A)$-module of finite type. The Dieudonné crystal $\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{P})$ on $\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathrm{adm}}(R)$ is defined by

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{P})_{B/A} = P_{B/A} \otimes_{\mathbb{W}(B/A)} B.$$

This is a projective B-module of finite type. The Hodge filtration of $\mathscr{P}$ is the submodule

$$Q/\mathbb{I}_R P \subseteq P/\mathbb{I}_R P = \mathbb{D}(\mathscr{P})_{R/R}.$$

Corollary 2.10. *Let $(B \to R, \delta)$ be a nilpotent divided power extension of admissible rings. The category of Dieudonné displays over B is equivalent to the category of Diedonné displays $\mathscr{P}$ over R together with a lift of the Hodge filtration of $\mathscr{P}$ to a direct summand of $\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{P})_{B/R}$.*

Proof. If the divided powers are nilpotent, then $\mathbb{W}(B/R) = \mathbb{W}(B)$, and lifts of windows under the frame homomorphism $\mathscr{D}_B \to \mathscr{D}_{B/R}$ are in bijection to lifts of the Hodge filtration. □

Assume that $p = 2$. Then the preceding definitions have a v-stabilised variant. Let $\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathrm{adm}}(R/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be the subcategory of $\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathrm{adm}}(R)$ where the divided powers are compatible with the canonical divided powers of p. Let $\mathscr{P}^+$ be a v-stabilised Dieudonné display over R, i.e. a window over $\mathscr{D}_R^+$. For $(\operatorname{Spec} A \to \operatorname{Spec} B, \delta)$ in $\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathrm{adm}}(R/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ we denote by $\mathscr{P}_A^+$ the base change of $\mathscr{P}^+$ to $\mathscr{D}_A^+$ and by

$$\mathscr{P}_{B/A}^+ = (P_{B/A}^+, Q_{B/A}^+, F, F_1)$$

the unique lift of $\mathscr{P}_A^+$ to a $\mathscr{D}_{B/A}^+$-window, which exists by Corollary 2.9. The v-stabilised Witt crystal $\mathbb{K}^+(\mathscr{P})$ and the v-stabilised Dieudonné crystal $\mathbb{D}^+(\mathscr{P})$ on $\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathrm{adm}}(R/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ are defined by $\mathbb{K}^+(\mathscr{P})_{B/A} = P_{B/A}^+$ and

$$\mathbb{D}^+(\mathscr{P})_{B/A} = P_{B/A}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{W}^+(B/A)} B.$$

Corollary 2.11. *Assume that $(B \to R, \delta)$ is a divided power extension of admissible rings with $p = 2$ which is compatible with the canonical divided powers of p such that the divided powers induced by δ on the kernel of $B/pB \to R/pR$ are nilpotent. Then the category of v-stabilised Dieudonné displays over B is equivalent to the category of v-stabilised Dieudonné displays $\mathscr{P}^+$ over R together with a lift of the Hodge filtration of $\mathscr{P}^+$ to a direct summand of $\mathbb{D}^+(\mathscr{P}^+)_{B/R}$.*

Proof. This is analogous to Corollary 2.10, using that $\mathbb{W}^+(B/R) = \mathbb{W}^+(B)$ under the given assumptions on δ; see the end of section 1.4. □

Remark 2.12. Assume that $\mathscr{P}^+$ is the base change of a Dieudonné display $\mathscr{P}$ over R by the u_0-homomorphism of frames $\mathscr{D}_R \to \mathscr{D}_R^+$. Then for each $(\operatorname{Spec} B \to \operatorname{Spec} A, \delta)$ in $\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathrm{adm}}(R/\mathbb{Z}_p)$, $\mathscr{P}_{B/A}^+$ is the base change of $\mathscr{P}_{B/A}$ by the u_0-homomorphism $\mathscr{D}_{B/A} \to \mathscr{D}_{B/A}^+$. It follows that $\mathbb{D}^+(\mathscr{P}^+)$ coincides with the restriction of $\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{P})$ to $\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathrm{adm}}(R/\mathbb{Z}_p)$. However, this does not imply that infinitesimal deformations of $\mathscr{P}$ and of $\mathscr{P}^+$ coincide: Let B be an admissible ring with $p^2B = 0$ and $pB \neq 0$ and let $R = B/pB$. The ideal pB carries the canonical divided powers γ and the trivial divided powers δ. Corollary 2.10 applies to $(B \to R, \delta)$ but not to $(B \to R, \gamma)$, while Corollary 2.11 applies to $(B \to R, \gamma)$ but not to $(B \to R, \delta)$.

2.7. **Divided powers.** In section 3 we will use that the augmentation ideals of the Zink ring and its variants carry natural divided powers, with some exception when $p = 2$.

Let us first recall the canonical divided powers on the Witt ring. If R is a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-algebra, then $W(R)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-algebra as well, and the ideal I_R carries divided powers γ which are determined by $(p-1)!\gamma_p(v(x)) = p^{p-2}v(x^p)$. Assume that $(B \to R, \delta)$ is a divided power extension of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-algebras with kernel $\mathfrak{b} \subset B$. Let $i : \mathfrak{b} \to W(\mathfrak{b})$ be defined by $\operatorname{Log}(i(b)) = [b, 0, 0, \ldots]$. Then $i(\mathfrak{b})$ is the kernel of the operator $\tilde{f}_1$ of $\mathscr{W}_{B/R}$, and we have $I_{B/R} = I_B \oplus i(\mathfrak{b})$. The divided powers γ on I_B extend to divided powers $\gamma' = \gamma \oplus \delta$ on $I_{B/R}$ such that $\gamma'_n(i(b)) = i(\delta_n(b))$ for $b \in \mathfrak{b}$. If $p \in \mathfrak{b}$ and if δ extends the canonical divided powers of p, then $\gamma \oplus \delta$ extends the canonical divided powers of p, and f preserves $\gamma \oplus \delta$. This is clear when R has no p-torsion; the general case follows because $(B \to R)$ can be written as the quotient of a divided power extension $(B' \to R')$, where B' is the divided power algebra of a free module over a polynomial ring R'' over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, and $R' = R''/pR''$.

These facts extend to the Zink ring as follows.

Lemma 2.13. *Let $\mathbb{I} \subset \mathbb{W}$ be one of the following.*

(i) *$\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}_R$ and $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{W}(R)$ for an admissible ring R with $p \geq 3$,*
(ii) *$\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}_R^+$ and $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{W}^+(R)$ for an admissible ring R with $p = 2$.*

Then the divided powers γ on I_R induce divided powers on $\mathbb{I}$.

Proof. Since $\mathbb{W}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-algebra it suffices to show that $\mathbb{I}$ is stable under $\gamma_p : I_R \to I_R$, which is true because $\mathbb{I} = v(\mathbb{W})$ by Lemmas 1.6 and 1.9. □

Lemma 2.14. *Let $(B \to R, \delta)$ be a divided power extension of admissible rings with kernel $\mathfrak{b} \subset B$. Assume that $p \geq 3$; or that $p = 2$ and $p \in \mathfrak{b}$ and δ extends the canonical divided powers of p. Then the divided powers $\gamma \oplus \delta$ on $I_{B/R}$ induce divided powers on $\mathbb{I}_{B/R}$. If $p \in \mathfrak{b}$ and if δ extends the canonical divided powers of p, the divided powers on $\mathbb{I}_{B/R}$ induced by $\gamma \oplus \delta$ extend the canonical divided powers of p and are preserved by f.*

Proof. Let $\mathbb{I}'_B$ be the kernel of $\mathbb{W}(B/R) \to B$. Then $\mathbb{I}_{B/R} = \mathbb{I}'_B \oplus i(\mathfrak{b})$, and we have $\mathbb{I}'_B = v(\mathbb{W}(B/R))$ by Lemma 1.9. Thus $\mathbb{I}'_B$ is stable under γ, and $\mathbb{I}_{B/R}$ is stable under $\gamma \oplus \delta$. The second assertion follows from the corresponding fact for the Witt ring. □

2.8. **Passing to the limit.** All of the preceding definitions and results can be extended to the case of admissible topological rings R. For example, let

$$\mathscr{D}_R = \varprojlim_N \mathscr{D}_{R/N}$$

where N runs through the open ideals of R contained in $\mathcal{N}_R$, and let us call $\mathscr{D}_R$-windows Dieudonné displays over R as before. Then Dieudonné displays over R are equivalent to compatible systems of Dieudonné displays over R/N for each N; see [La2, Lemma 2.1].

3. From p-divisible groups to Dieudonné displays

In this section we define a functor from p-divisible groups over admissible rings R with $p \geq 3$ or $pR = 0$ to Dieudonné displays over R and show that it is an equivalence of categories. For $p = 2$ there is a v-stabilised variant of this functor, but it is not an equivalence of categories.

3.1. **Finiteness over admissible rings.** As a preparation we verify that the categories of p-divisible groups or Dieudonné displays over an admissible ring R are the direct limit of the corresponding categories over the finitely generated $W(R_{\mathrm{red}})$-subalgebras of R, with fully faithful transition maps. Let us begin with the easier case:

Proposition 3.1. *Every Dieudonné display over an admissible ring R is defined over a finitely generated $W(R_{\mathrm{red}})$-subalgebra of R. For an injective homomorphism of admissible rings $R \to S$ such that $R_{\mathrm{red}} \to S_{\mathrm{red}}$ is bijective, the base change of Dieudonné displays from R to S is fully faithful.*

Proof. A Dieudonné display over R is given by projective $\mathbb{W}(R)$-modules of finite type L, T together with an f-linear automorphism Ψ of $L \oplus T$. These objects can be described by three matrices: the idempotents corresponding to representations of L and T as direct summands of free modules of finite type, and the associated matrix representation of Ψ. The coefficients of these matrices are finitely many elements of $\mathbb{W}(R) = W(R_{\mathrm{red}}) \oplus \hat{W}(\mathcal{N}_R)$, which involve only finitely many non-zero coefficients in $\hat{W}(\mathcal{N}_R)$. This proves the first assertion. Similarly, every homomorphism of Dieudonné displays over R is defined over a finitely generated $W(R_{\mathrm{red}})$-subalgebra of R. Thus for the second assertion we may assume that $\mathcal{N}_S^r = 0$. Let $\bar{S} = S/\mathcal{N}_S^{r-1}$ and $\bar{R} = R/R \cap \mathcal{N}_S^{r-1}$. Let $R' \subseteq S$ be the inverse image of $\bar{R} \subseteq \bar{S}$. By induction on r, the base change of Dieudonné displays from $\bar{R}$ to $\bar{S}$ is fully faithful. It follows that the base change from R' to S is fully faithful as well. By Corollary 2.10, Dieudonné displays over R or over R' are equivalent to Dieudonné displays over $\bar{R}$ together with a lift of the Hodge filtration to R or to R', respectively. Since $R \to R'$ is injective, it follows that the base change of Dieudonné displays from R to R' is fully faithful. □

Proposition 3.2.

(i) *Every p-divisible group over an admissible ring R is defined over a finitely generated $W(R_{\mathrm{red}})$-subalgebra of R.*

(ii) *For an injective homomorphism of admissible rings $R \to S$ such that $R_{\mathrm{red}} \to S_{\mathrm{red}}$ is bijective, the base change of p-divisible groups from R to S is fully faithful.*

Lemma 3.3. *Let R be a ring and let $\mathfrak{a} \subset R$ be an ideal which is equipped with nilpotent divided powers δ. Assume that R is the filtered union of subrings $R_i \subseteq R$ such that $\mathfrak{a} \cap R_i$ is stable under δ. Let G be a p-divisible group over R. If $G \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{a}$ is defined over $R_i/\mathfrak{a} \cap R_i$ for some i, then G is defined over R_j for some j.*

Proof. We write $\bar{R} = R/\mathfrak{a}$ and $\bar{R}_i = R_i/\mathfrak{a} \cap R_i$. The ring $S_i = R \times_{\bar{R}} \bar{R}_i$ is the filtered union of the rings $S_{ij} = R_j \times_{\bar{R}_j} \bar{R}_i$ for $j \geq i$. If $\bar{G} = G \otimes_R \bar{R}$ comes from a p-divisible group $\bar{G}_i$ over $\bar{R}_i$, then G comes from a p-divisible group G'_i over S_i. By [Me1] the group G'_i is determined by $\bar{G}_i$ and by its Hodge filtration in $\mathbb{D}(\bar{G}_i)_{S_i/\bar{R}_i} = \mathbb{D}(\bar{G}_i)_{R_i/\bar{R}_i} \otimes_{R_i} S_i$. For some $j \geq i$ the Hodge filtration is defined over S_{ij}, which implies that G'_i is defined over S_{ij}, and thus G is defined over R_j. □

Proof of Proposition 3.2 (i). Assume that $p^nR = 0$ and $x^{p^r} = 0$ for $x \in \mathcal{N}_R$. Let $\Lambda = W_n(R_{\mathrm{red}})$. For a set $\mathcal{I}$ we form the Λ-algebra

$$S = \Lambda[\{x_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}}]/(\{x_i^{p^r}\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}}).$$

This is an admissible ring because $x^{p^r+n} = 0$ for $x \in \mathcal{N}_S$. If $\mathcal{I}$ is sufficiently large, we find a surjective homomorphism of Λ-algebras $S \to R$. Since every p-divisible group over R can be lifted to S by [Il, théorème 4.4], it suffices to show that (i) holds for S. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset S$ be the ideal generated by the elements $y_i = x_i^{p^{r-1}}$ for $i \in \mathcal{I}$. This ideal carries nilpotent divided powers δ defined by $\delta_p(y_i) = 0$ for all i. By induction on r we can assume that (i) holds for $S/\mathfrak{a}$. Then (i) holds for S by Lemma 3.3. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let $B \to A$ be a surjective ring homomorphism with kernel I such that $pI = 0$ and $x^p = 0$ for all $x \in I$. For a finite flat group scheme H over B, the kernel of $H(B) \to H(A)$ is annihilated by p.*

Proof. Let $B_0 = B/pB$ and $H_0 = H \otimes_B B_0$. The abelian group $B_0 \oplus I$ becomes a ring with multiplication $(a \oplus i)(a' \oplus i') = aa' \oplus (ai' + a'i + ii')$, and one can identify $B \times_A B$ with $B \times_{B_0} (B_0 \oplus I)$. Since the evaluation of H commutes with fibered products of rings, we obtain an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$\mathrm{Ker}(H(B) \to H(A)) \cong \mathrm{Ker}(H_0(B_0 \oplus I) \to H_0(B_0)).$$

By the assumptions on I, the right hand side lies in the kernel of the Frobenius of H_0, which lies in $H_0[p]$. This proves the lemma. □

Proof of Proposition 3.2 (ii). Let G and H be p-divisible groups over R. By (i) they are both defined over a finitely generated $W(R_{\mathrm{red}})$-subalgebra R_0 of R. Thus to prove (ii) we may replace R by R_0; then $\mathcal{N}_R$ is a nilpotent ideal. We have torsion free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules

$$\mathrm{Hom}(G, H) \to \mathrm{Hom}(G_S, H_S) \to \mathrm{Hom}(G_{R_{\mathrm{red}}}, H_{R_{\mathrm{red}}}).$$

The first map is injective because $R \to S$ is injective. The second map is injective because Lemma 3.4 implies that its kernel is annihilated by a power of p. The cokernel of the composition is annihilated by a power of p because $\mathcal{N}_R$ is nilpotent. Hence it suffices to show that a homomorphism $f : G \to H$ is divisible by p if and only if $f_S : G_S \to H_S$ is divisible by p. But f is divisible by p if and only if $f[p] : G[p] \to H[p]$ is zero, which can be detected over S because $R \to S$ is injective. □

3.2. **Deformation rings.** Let $\Lambda \to K$ be a surjective ring homomorphism with finitely generated kernel $I \subseteq \Lambda$ such that Λ is I-adic. The ring K is not assumed to be a field. Let $\mathrm{Nil}_{\Lambda/K}$ be the category of Λ-algebras A together with a homomorphism of Λ-algebras $A \to K$ with nilpotent kernel. We consider covariant functors

$$F : \mathrm{Nil}_{\Lambda/K} \to (\text{sets})$$

with the following properties.

1. The set $F(K)$ has precisely one element.
2. For a surjective homomorphism $A_1 \to A$ in $\mathrm{Nil}_{\Lambda/K}$ the induced map $F(A_1) \to F(A)$ is surjective.

(3) For each pair of homomorphisms $A_1 \to A \leftarrow A_2$ in $\mathrm{Nil}_{\Lambda/K}$ such that one of them is surjective the natural map $F(A_1 \times_A A_2) \to F(A_1) \times_{F(A)} F(A_2)$ is bijective. Then for each K-module N the set $F(K \oplus N)$ is naturally a K-module. In particular, $t_F = F(K[\varepsilon])$ is a K-module, which is called the tangent space of F.

(4) For each K-module N the natural homomorphism of K-modules $t_F \otimes_K N \to F(K \oplus N)$ is bijective.

(5) The K-module t_F is finitely presented.

The first three conditions imply that F preserves exact sequences of K-modules. Thus (4) is automatic if N is finitely presented. Moreover (1)–(4) imply that the K-module t_F is flat, so (5) implies that t_F is projective.

Proposition 3.5. *Assume that F satisfies (1)–(5). Then F is pro-represented by a complete Λ-algebra B. Let $\tilde{t}$ be a projective Λ-module which lifts t_F. Then B is isomorphic to the complete symmetric algebra $\Lambda[[\tilde{t}^*]]$, where * means dual. This is a power series ring over Λ if t_F is a free K-module.*

Proof. The K-module t_F is projective because it is flat and finitely presented. Thus $\tilde{t}$ exists. Let $B = \Lambda[[\tilde{t}^*]]$ and let $\bar{B} = K \oplus t_F^*$. We have an obvious projection $B \to \bar{B}$. Let $\bar{\xi} \in F(\bar{B}) = t_F \otimes t_F^* = \mathrm{End}(t_F)$ correspond to the identity of t_F and let $\xi \in F(B)$ be a lift of $\bar{\xi}$. We claim that the induced homomorphism of functors $\xi : B \to F$ is bijective. Note that the functor B satisfies (1)–(5). By induction it suffices to show that if $A \to \bar{A}$ is a surjection in $\mathrm{Nil}_{\Lambda/K}$ whose kernel N is a K-module of square zero and if $B(\bar{A}) \to F(\bar{A})$ is bijective, then $B(A) \to F(A)$ is bijective as well. We have a natural isomorphism $A \times_{\bar{A}} A \cong A \times_K (K \oplus N)$. It follows that the fibres of $B(A) \to B(\bar{A})$ and the fibres of $F(A) \to F(\bar{A})$ are principal homogeneous sets under the K-modules $B(K \oplus N)$ and $F(K \oplus N)$, respectively. The homomorphism $t_B \to t_F$ induced by ξ is bijective by construction, so $B(K \oplus N) \to F(K \oplus N)$ is bijective, and the proposition follows. □

Corollary 3.6. *A homomorphism of functors which satisfy (1)–(5) is an isomorphism if and only if it induces an isomorphism on tangent spaces.* □

Remark 3.7. Let $\Lambda' \to K'$ be another pair as above and let $g : \Lambda' \to \Lambda$ be a ring homomorphism which induces a homomorphism $\bar{g} : K' \to K$. For given functors F on $\mathrm{Nil}_{\Lambda/K}$ and F' on $\mathrm{Nil}_{\Lambda'/K'}$, a homomorphism $h : F \to F'$ over g is a compatible system of maps

$$h(A) : F(A) \to F'(A \times_K K')$$

for A in $\mathrm{Nil}_{\Lambda/K}$; here $A \times_K K'$ is naturally on object of $\mathrm{Nil}_{\Lambda'/K'}$. If F and F' satisfy (1)–(5) and if B and B' are the complete algebras which pro-represent F and F', respectively, then h corresponds to a homomorphism $B' \to B$ compatible with g and $\bar{g}$. If $h(A)$ is bijective for all A, the induced homomorphism $B' \hat{\otimes}_{\Lambda'} \Lambda \to B$ is an isomorphism.

Definition 3.8. Assume that p is nilpotent in K. For a p-divisible group G over K let

$$\mathrm{Def}_G : \mathrm{Nil}_{\Lambda/K} \to (\mathrm{sets})$$

be the deformation functor of G. This means that $\mathrm{Def}_G(A)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of p-divisible groups G' over A together with an isomorphism $G' \otimes_A K \cong G$. Let $t_G = \mathrm{Lie}(G^\vee) \otimes_K \mathrm{Lie}(G)$.

Proposition 3.9. *The functor* Def_G *is pro-represented by a complete* Λ*-algebra* B*. Explicitly, if* $\tilde{t}$ *is a projective* Λ*-module which lifts* t_G*, then* B *is isomorphic to the complete symmetric algebra* $\Lambda[[\tilde{t}^*]]$.

Proof. The functor Def_G satisfies (1)–(5) with tangent space t_G because for a surjective homomorphism $A' \to A$ in $\mathrm{Nil}_{\Lambda/K}$ whose kernel N is a K-module of square zero and for $H \in \mathrm{Def}_G(A)$, the set of lifts of H to A' is a principally homogeneous set under the K-module $\mathrm{Hom}_K(t_G, N)$ by [Me1]. □

Remark 3.10. Let $g : \Lambda' \to \Lambda$ over $\bar{g} : K' \to K$ be as in Remark 3.7 such that p is nilpotent in K'. Let G over K be the base change of a p-divisible group G' over K'. For A in $\mathrm{Nil}_{\Lambda/K}$ we have a natural map

$$\mathrm{Def}_{G'}(A \times_K K') \to \mathrm{Def}_G(A).$$

This map is bijective, and its inverse is a homomorphism $\mathrm{Def}_G \to \mathrm{Def}_{G'}$ over g in the sense of 3.7. If B and B' pro-represent Def_G and $\mathrm{Def}_{G'}$, respectively, we get an isomorphism $B' \hat{\otimes}_{\Lambda'} \Lambda \cong B$.

Definition 3.11. Assume that K is an admissible ring. For a Dieudonné display $\mathscr{P}$ over K we denote by

$$\mathrm{Def}_{\mathscr{P}} : \mathrm{Nil}_{\Lambda/K} \to (\mathrm{sets})$$

the deformation functor of $\mathscr{P}$. Let $t_{\mathscr{P}} = \mathrm{Hom}(Q/I_K P, P/Q)$.

We are mainly interested in the case where K is perfect and $\Lambda = W(K)$. Then Dieudonné displays over K are displays because $\mathbb{W}(K) = W(K)$.

Proposition 3.12. *The functor* $\mathrm{Def}_{\mathscr{P}}$ *is pro-represented by a complete* Λ*-algebra* B*. Explicitly, if* $\tilde{t}$ *is a projective* Λ*-module which lifts* $t_{\mathscr{P}}$*, then* B *is isomorphic to the complete symmetric algebra* $\Lambda[[\tilde{t}^*]]$.

Proof. The functor $\mathrm{Def}_{\mathscr{P}}$ satisfies (1)–(5) with tangent space $t_{\mathscr{P}}$ because for a surjective homomorphism $A' \to A$ in $\mathrm{Nil}_{\Lambda/K}$ whose kernel N is a K-module of square zero and for $\mathscr{P}' \in \mathrm{Def}_{\mathscr{P}}(A)$, the set of lifts of $\mathscr{P}'$ to A' is a principally homogeneous set under the K-module $\mathrm{Hom}_K(t_{\mathscr{P}}, N)$ by Corollary 2.10. □

Remark 3.13. Let $g : \Lambda' \to \Lambda$ over $\bar{g} : K' \to K$ be as in Remark 3.7 such that K and K' are admissible rings. Assume that $\mathscr{P}$ is the base change of a Dieudonné display $\mathscr{P}'$ over K'. If B and B' represent $\mathrm{Def}_{\mathscr{P}}$ and $\mathrm{Def}_{\mathscr{P}'}$, respectively, then $B' \hat{\otimes}_{\Lambda'} \Lambda \cong B$. This is analogous to Remark 3.10.

3.3. **Crystals and frames.** Let $\mathcal{F} = (S, I, R, \sigma, \sigma_1)$ be a frame such that S and R are p-adic, S has no p-torsion, I carries divided powers, and $\sigma = p\sigma_1$ on I. Thus (S, σ) is a frame for each R/p^nR in the sense of [Zi3]. By a well-known construction, the crystalline Dieudonné functor allows to associate to a p-divisible group over R an $\mathcal{F}$-window; this is explained in the proof of [Zi3, Theorem 1.6] for the Dieudonné crystal of a nilpotent display, and in [Ki1, Ki2] for p-divisible groups.

The construction goes as follows. First, one can define a filtered F-V-module; here is is not necessary to assume that S has no p-torsion:

Construction 3.14. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a frame such that S and R are p-adic, I is equipped with divided powers δ which are compatible with the canonical divided powers of p, and $\sigma = p\sigma_1$ on I. Let $R_0 = R/pR$ and let δ' be the divided powers on $I' = I + pS$ which extend δ and the canonical divided powers of p. We assume that σ preserves δ'. This is automatic if S has no p-torsion. Let σ_0 be the Frobenius endomorphism of R_0. There is a functor

$$G \mapsto (P, Q, F^\sharp, V^\sharp)$$

from p-divisible groups over R to filtered F-V-modules over $\mathcal{F}$ defined as follows. We put $P = \mathbb{D}(G)_{S/R} = \mathbb{D}(G_0)_{S/R_0}$, where $\mathbb{D}(G)$ is the *covariant*[1] Dieudonné crystal. The kernel of the natural projection $P \to \operatorname{Lie}(G)$ is Q. Since σ preserves δ', there is an isomorphism $P^{(1)} \cong \mathbb{D}(\sigma_0^* G_0)_{S/R_0}$. Thus we can define $V^\sharp : P \to P^{(1)}$ to be induced by the Frobenius $F : G_0 \to \sigma_0^* G_0$ and $F^\sharp : P^{(1)} \to P$ to be induced by the Verschiebung $V : \sigma_0^* G_0 \to G_0$.

In the second step one associates F_1:

Proposition 3.15. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a frame as in the beginning of section 3.3. Let $(P, Q, F^\sharp, V^\sharp)$ be the filtered F-V-module over $\mathcal{F}$ associated to a p-divisible group G over R by Construction 3.14. There is a unique map $F_1 : Q \to P$ such that (P, Q, F, F_1) is an $\mathcal{F}$-window, and it induces $V^\sharp$.*

Proof. We have functors $(P, Q, F, F_1) \mapsto (P, Q, F^\sharp, V^\sharp) \mapsto (P, Q, F^\sharp)$ which are fully faithful; see Lemma 2.3. Thus we have to show that $F(Q)$ lies in pP, so that $F_1 = p^{-1}F$ is well-defined, that $F_1(Q)$ generates P, and that the pair (P, Q) admits a normal decomposition. Since R and S are p-adic and since the kernel of $S/pS \to R/pR$ is a nil-ideal due to its divided powers, all finitely generated projective R-modules lift to S. Thus a normal decomposition exists. The existence of F_1 and the surjectivity of its linearisation are proved in [Ki1, A.2] if S is local with perfect residue field, but the proof can be easily adapted to the general case: To prove surjectivity, for each maximal ideal of S, which necessarily comes from a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of R, we choose an embedding of $R/\mathfrak{m}$ into a perfect field k. There is a ring homomorphism $\alpha : S \to W(k)$ which lifts $R \to k$ such that $f\alpha = \alpha\sigma$; it can be constructed as $S \to W(S) \to W(k)$. Then α is a homomorphism of frames $\mathcal{F} \to \mathscr{W}_k$, and the assertion is reduced to the case of $\mathscr{W}_k$, which is classical. □

Remark 3.16. The surjectivity of F_1 in the proof of Proposition 3.15 can also be deduced from the crystalline duality theorem. Let $P = L \oplus T$ be a normal decomposition and let $\Psi : P \to P$ be given by F_1 on L and by F on T. We have to show that the linearisation $\Psi^\sharp : P^{(1)} \to P$ is an isomorphism. Let (P', Q', F', F_1') be the quadruple associated to the Cartier dual $G^\vee$. The duality theorem gives a perfect pairing $P \times P' \to S$ such that $\langle F(x), F'(x')\rangle = p\sigma\langle x, x'\rangle$. It follows that $\langle F(x), F_1'(x')\rangle = \sigma\langle x, x'\rangle$ and $\langle F_1(x), F'(x')\rangle = \sigma\langle x, x'\rangle$ whenever this makes sense. The unique decomposition $P' = L' \oplus T'$ with $\langle L, L'\rangle = 0 = \langle T, T'\rangle$ is a normal decomposition of P', and the dual of the associated $\Psi'^\sharp$ is an inverse of $\Psi^\sharp$.

---

[1] This differs from the notation of [BBM], where $\mathbb{D}(G)$ is contravariant. One can switch between the covariant and contravariant crystals by passing to the dual of G or of $\mathbb{D}(G)$, which amounts to the same by the crystalline duality theorem [BBM, 5.3].

3.4. **The Dieudonné display associated to a p-divisible group.** For an admissible ring R with $p \geq 3$ we consider the Dieudonné frame $\mathscr{D}_R$ defined in Lemma 2.5. The ring $\mathbb{W}(R)$ is p-adic by the remark preceding Proposition 1.14. By Lemma 2.14 the ideal $\mathbb{I}_R$ carries natural divided powers compatible with the canonical divided powers of p, and the induced divided powers on the kernel of $\mathbb{W}(R) \to R/pR$ are preserved by f. Thus Construction 3.14 associates to each p-divisible group G over R a filtered F-V-module over $\mathscr{D}_R$, which we denote by $\Phi^{o}_R(G)$.

Proposition 3.17. *For each admissible ring R with $p \geq 3$ there is a unique functor*

$$\Phi_R : (p\text{-}\textit{divisible groups over } R) \to (\textit{Dieudonné displays over } R)$$

which is compatible with base change in R such that the filtered F-V-module over R associated to $\Phi_R(G)$ is equal to $\Phi^{o}_R(G)$.

Proof. Clearly $\Phi^{o}_R(G) = (P, Q, F^\sharp, V^\sharp)$ is functorial in R and G. We have to show that there is a unique operator $F_1 : Q \to P$ which is functorial in R and G such that $\Phi_R(G) = (P, Q, F, F_1)$ is a Dieudonné display over R.

Let $K = R_{\mathrm{red}}$ and $\Lambda = W(K)$. Let $\bar{G} = G \otimes_R K$ and let B be the complete Λ-algebra which pro-represents the functor $\mathrm{Def}_{\bar{G}}$ on $\mathrm{Nil}_{\Lambda/K}$; see Proposition 3.9. Let $\mathscr{G}$ be the universal deformation of G over B. If I denotes the kernel of $B \to K$, we can define

$$\Phi^{o}_B(\mathscr{G}) = \varprojlim_{n} \Phi^{o}_{B/I^n}(\mathscr{G} \otimes_B B/I^n).$$

On the other hand, the ring $\mathbb{W}(B)$ is p-adic by Proposition 1.14. Therefore we can also define $\Phi^{o}_B(\mathscr{G})$ be a direct application of Construction 3.14, and this agrees with the limit definition. The ring $\mathbb{W}(B)$ has no p-torsion because B has no p-torsion. Thus by Proposition 3.15 there is a unique operator F_1 which makes $\Phi^{o}_B(\mathscr{G})$ into a Dieudoné display $\Phi_B(\mathscr{G})$ over R.

By Proposition 3.2 there is a unique homomorphism $B \to R$ of augmented algebras such that $G = \mathscr{G} \otimes_B R$ as deformations of $\bar{G}$. Necessarily we define $\Phi_R(G)$ as the base change of $\Phi_B(\mathscr{G})$ under $B \to R$. It remains to show that $\Phi_R(G)$ is functorial in R and G.

Assume that G is the base change of a p-divisible group G' over R' under a homomorphism of admissible rings $R' \to R$. Let K', Λ', $\bar{G}'$, B', $\mathscr{G}'$ have the obvious meaning. We have a natural homomorphism of $W(K')$-algebras $B' \to B$ together with an isomorphism $\mathscr{G}' \otimes_{B'} B \cong \mathscr{G}$; see Remark 3.10. By the uniqueness of F_1 over B we see that $\Phi_B(\mathscr{G})$ coincides with the base change of $\Phi_{B'}(\mathscr{G}')$. It follows that $\Phi_R(G)$ is the base change of $\Phi_{R'}(G')$.

Assume that $u : G \to G_1$ is a homomorphism of p-divisible groups over R. Let $\bar{G}_1$, B_1, $\mathscr{G}_1$ have the obvious meaning. We have to show that $\Phi^{o}_R(u)$ commutes with F_1. We may assume that u is an isomorphism because otherwise one can pass to the automorphism $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ u & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ of $G \oplus G_1$. This reasoning uses that the natural isomorphism $\Phi^{o}_R(G \oplus G_1) = \Phi^{o}_R(G) \oplus \Phi^{o}_R(G_1)$ preserves the operators F_1 defined on the three modules, which follows from the uniqueness of F_1 over the ring which pro-represents $\mathrm{Def}_{\bar{G}} \times \mathrm{Def}_{\bar{G}_1}$. An isomorphism $u : G \to G_1$ induces an isomorphism $\bar{u} : \bar{G} \cong \bar{G}_1$ and an isomorphism $B \cong B_1$ together with an isomorphism $\tilde{u} : \mathscr{G} \otimes_B B_1 \cong \mathscr{G}_1$ which lifts $\bar{u}$. By the uniqueness of F_1 over B_1 it follows that $\Phi^o_{B_1}(\tilde{u})$ preserves F_1. Since u is the base change of $\tilde{u}$ by the homomorphism $B_1 \to R$ defined by G_1, it follows that $\Phi^o_R(u)$ preserves F_1 as well. $\square$

In order to analyse the action of Φ_R on deformations we need the following extension of Proposition 3.17. Let $(R' \to R, \delta)$ be a divided power extension of admissible rings with $p \geq 3$ which is compatible with the canonical divided powers of p. Again, the ring $\mathbb{W}(R'/R)$ is p-adic, and $\mathbb{I}_{R'/R}$ carries natural divided powers compatible with the canonical divided powers of p such that f preserves their extension to the kernel of $\mathbb{W}(R'/R) \to R/pR$. Thus Construction 3.14 associates to each p-divisible group G over R a filtered F-V-module over $\mathscr{D}_{R'/R}$, which we denote by $\Phi^o_{R'/R}(G)$. The construction is functorial in the triple $(R' \to R, \delta)$ and in G.

Proposition 3.18. *Assume that $p \geq 3$. For each divided power extension of admissible rings $(R' \to R, \delta)$ compatible with the canonical divided powers of p there is a unique functor*

$$\Phi_{R'/R} : (\textit{p-divisible groups over } R) \to (\textit{Dieudonné displays for } R'/R)$$

which is compatible with base change in the triple $(R' \to R, \delta)$ such that the filtered F-V-module over $\mathscr{D}_{R'/R}$ associated to $\Phi_{R'/R}(G)$ is equal to $\Phi^o_{R'/R}(G)$.

Proof. For a given p-divisible group G over R we choose a lift to a p-divisible group G' over R', which exists by [Il, théorème 4.4]. The Dieudonné display $\Phi_{R'}(G')$ is well-defined by Proposition 3.17, and necessarily $\Phi_{R'/R}(G)$ is defined as the base change of $\Phi_{R'}(G')$ by the frame homomorphism $\mathscr{D}_{R'} \to \mathscr{D}_{R'/R}$. We have to show that the operator F_1 on $\Phi^o_{R'/R}(G)$ defined in this way does not depend on the choice of G'. If this is proved it follows easily that $\Phi_{R'/R}(G)$ is functorial in G and in $(R' \to R, \delta)$; here instead of arbitrary homomorphisms of p-divisible groups it suffices to treat isomorphisms.

Let K, Λ, $\bar{G}$, B, $\mathscr{G}$ be as in the proof of Proposition 3.17. We have an isomorphism $B \cong \Lambda[[t]]$ for a finitely generated projective Λ-module t. Let $C = B \hat{\otimes}_\Lambda B$. The automorphism $\tau = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ of $t \oplus t$ defines an isomorphism

$$C = \Lambda[[t \oplus t]] \xrightarrow{\tau} \Lambda[[t \oplus t]] = B[[t_B]]$$

under which the multiplication homomorphism $\mu : C \to B$ corresponds to the augmentation $B[[t_B]] \to B$ defined by $t_B \mapsto 0$. Let I be the kernel of $B \to K$ and let C' be the I-adic completion of the divided power envelope of the ideal $t_B B[[t_B]] \subset B[[t_B]]$. By Lemma 1.13, μ extends to a divided power extension of admissible topological rings $\mu' : C' \to B$.

Assume that G_1 and G_2 are two lifts of G to p-divisible groups over R'. Let $\mathscr{G}_1$ and $\mathscr{G}_2$ be the p-divisible groups over C which are the base change of $\mathscr{G}$ by the two natural homomorphisms $B \to C$. By Proposition 3.2 there are well-defined homomorphisms $\bar{\alpha} : B \to R$ and $\alpha : C \to R'$ such that $G = \mathscr{G} \otimes_{B,\bar{\alpha}} R$ and $G_i = \mathscr{G}_i \otimes_{C,\alpha} R'$ as deformations of $\bar{G}$. We have the following commutative diagram of rings.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C \arrow[r] \arrow[rr, bend left, "\alpha"] \arrow[dr, "\mu"'] & C' \arrow[r, dotted, "\alpha'"'] \arrow[d, "\mu'"] & R' \arrow[d] \\
& B \arrow[r, "\bar{\alpha}"] & R
\end{tikzcd}$$

The arrow α' exists uniquely due to the divided powers on the kernel of $R' \to R$. We obtain the following commutative diagram of frames, where ι is given by $C \to C'$, and ι' is given by the identity of R'.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{D}_C \arrow[r, "\iota"] \arrow[d, "\alpha"'] & \mathscr{D}_{C'/B} \arrow[d, "\alpha'"] \\
\mathscr{D}_{R'} \arrow[r, "\iota'"] & \mathscr{D}_{R'/R}
\end{tikzcd}$$

We have to show that the isomorphism of filtered F-V-modules over $\mathscr{D}_{R'/R}$

$$\iota'_*(\Phi^o_{R'}(G_1)) \cong \Phi^o_{R'/R}(G) \cong \iota'_*(\Phi^o_{R'}(G_2)) \tag{3.1}$$

commutes with the operator F_1 defined on the outer terms by the functor $\Phi_{R'}$. The construction of Φ^o can be extended to topological divided power extensions of admissible topological rings by passing to the projective limit. Then (3.1) arises by α'_* from the natural isomorphism of filtered F-V-modules over $\mathscr{D}_{C'/B}$

$$\iota_*(\Phi^o_C(\mathscr{G}_1)) \cong \Phi^o_{C'/B}(\mathscr{G}) \cong \iota_*(\Phi^o_C(\mathscr{G}_2)). \tag{3.2}$$

Since α_* preserves F_1 it suffices to show that (3.2) commutes with the operators F_1 defined on the outer terms by the functor Φ_C. This follows from the relation $pF_1 = F$ because $\mathbb{W}(C'/B)$ has no p-torsion by Lemma 1.13. □

3.5. **Variant for the prime 2.** Let R be an admissible ring with $p = 2$. The ring $\mathbb{W}^+(R)$ is p-adic, and its ideal $\mathbb{I}^+_R$ carries natural divided powers which are compatible with the canonical divided powers of p. The proof of Proposition 3.17 with $\mathbb{W}^+$ in place of $\mathbb{W}$ shows the following.

Proposition 3.19. *For each admissible ring R with $p = 2$ there is a unique functor*

$$\Phi^+_R : (p\textit{-divisible groups over } R) \to (\mathscr{D}^+_R\textit{-windows})$$

which is compatible with base change in R such that the filtered F-V-module over R associated to $\Phi^+_R(G)$ is given by Construction 3.14. □

If $pR = 0$ then $\mathscr{D}^+_R = \mathscr{D}_R$, so in this case Φ^+_R is actually a functor

$$\Phi_R : (p\text{-divisible groups over } R) \to (\text{Dieudonné displays over } R).$$

Assume again that $p = 2$. Let $(R' \to R, \delta)$ be a divided power extension of admissible rings which is compatible with the canonical divided powers of p. The ring $\mathbb{W}^+(R'/R)$ is p-adic, and its ideal $\mathbb{I}^+_{R'/R}$ carries natural divided powers compatible with the canonical divided powers of p. The proof of Proposition 3.18 with $\mathbb{W}^+$ instead of $\mathbb{W}$ shows the following.

Proposition 3.20. *For each divided power extension of admissible rings $(R' \to R, \delta)$ with $p = 2$ such that δ is compatible with the canonical divided powers of p there is a unique functor*

$$\Phi^+_{R'/R} : (p\textit{-divisible groups over } R) \to (\mathscr{D}^+_{R'/R}\textit{-windows})$$

which is functorial in the triple $(R' \to R, \delta)$ such that the filtered F-V-module over $\mathscr{D}^+_{R'/R}$ associated to $\Phi^+_{R'/R}(G)$ is given by Construction 3.14. ☐

3.6. Conclusions.

Corollary 3.21. *Let G be a p-divisible group over an admissible ring R. If $p \geq 3$, let $\mathscr{P} = \Phi_R(G)$ be the associated Dieudonné display over R; then there is a natural isomorphism of crystals on* $\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathrm{adm}}(R/\mathbb{Z}_p)$

$$\mathbb{D}(G) \cong \mathbb{D}(\mathscr{P})$$

which is compatible with the obvious isomorphism $\mathrm{Lie}(G) \cong \mathrm{Lie}(\mathscr{P})$. *If $p = 2$, let $\mathscr{P}^+ = \Phi^+_R(G)$ by the associated v-stabilised Dieudonné display over R; then there is a natural isomorphism of crystals on* $\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathrm{adm}}(R/\mathbb{Z}_p)$

$$\mathbb{D}(G) \cong \mathbb{D}^+(\mathscr{P}^+)$$

which is compatible with the obvious isomorphism $\mathrm{Lie}(G) \cong \mathrm{Lie}(\mathscr{P}^+)$.

Proof. Let $(R' \to R, \gamma)$ be a divided power extension of admissible rings compatible with the canonical divided powers of p. Assume that $p \geq 3$. The Dieudonné display $\Phi_{R'/R}(G)$ for R'/R given by Proposition 3.18 is the unique lift of $\mathscr{P}$ under the crystalline frame homomorphism $\mathscr{D}_{R'/R} \to \mathscr{D}_R$. By the construction of the underlying filtered F-V-module $\Phi^o_{R'/R}(G)$ and by the definition of $\mathbb{K}(\mathscr{P})$ we get a natural isomorphism of $\mathbb{W}(R'/R)$-modules

$$\mathbb{D}(G)_{\mathbb{W}(R')/R} \cong \mathbb{K}(\mathscr{P})_{R'/R}.$$

The tensor product with the projection $\mathbb{W}(R'/R) \to R'$, which is a homomorphism of divided power extensions of R, gives a natural isomorphism of R'-modules $\mathbb{D}(G)_{R'/R} \cong \mathbb{D}(\mathscr{P})_{R'/R}$. If $p = 2$ the same argument applies to the $\mathscr{D}^+_{R'/R}$-window $\Phi^+_{R'/R}(G)$ given by Proposition 3.20. ☐

Note that Dieudonné displays over R_{red} are displays, and they are equivalent to Dieudonné modules over R_{red} by Lemma 2.4.

Corollary 3.22. *Let R be an admissible ring such that $p \geq 3$ or $pR = 0$. The following diagram of categories is Cartesian.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(p\textit{-divisible groups over } R) \arrow[r, "\Phi_R"] \arrow[d] & (\textit{Dieudonné displays over } R) \arrow[d] \\
(p\textit{-divisible groups over } R_{\mathrm{red}}) \arrow[r, "\Phi_{R_{\mathrm{red}}}"] & (\textit{Dieudonné modules over } R_{\mathrm{red}})
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. The category of p-divisible groups (resp. Dieudonné displays) over R is the direct limit of the corresponding categories over all finitely generated $W(R_{\mathrm{red}})$-algebras contained in R; see section 3.1. Thus we may assume that $\mathcal{N}_R$ is a nilpotent ideal. If $\mathfrak{a} \subset R$ is an ideal equipped with nilpotent divided powers which are compatible with the canonical divided powers of

p and if the corollary holds for $R/\mathfrak{a}$ then it holds for R. This follows from Corollary 3.21, using that lifts from $R/\mathfrak{a}$ to R of p-divisible groups and of Dieudonné displays are both classified by lifts if the Hodge filtration by [Me1] and by Corollary 2.10. By taking $\mathfrak{a} = pR$ the corollary is reduced to the case $pR = 0$. Then $\mathfrak{a}$ can be any ideal of square zero equipped with the trivial divided powers, and the corollary follows by induction on the order of nilpotence of $\mathcal{N}_R$. □

We note the following result of Gabber. It is also proved in [La3, Cor. 6.5].

Theorem 3.23. *The functor $\Phi_{R_{\mathrm{red}}}$ is an equivalence of categories.*

In view of Corollary 3.22 we get the following result. If R_{red} is a field then Theorem 3.23 is classical, so in this case Theorem 3.24 is proved completely in this article.

Theorem 3.24. *For every admissible ring R such that $p \geq 3$ or $pR = 0$ the functor Φ_R is an equivalence of categories.* □

Remark 3.25. Assume that $p = 2$. If $pR \neq 0$, the proof of Corollary 3.22 does not apply to the functor Φ^+_R because the divided powers on the ideal pR are not nilpotent, and lifts of p-divisible groups from $\bar{R} = R/pR$ to R are not bijective to lifts of the Hodge filtration, while lifts of $\mathscr{D}_{\bar{R}}$-windows to $\mathscr{D}^+_R$-windows are bijective to lifts of the Hodge filtration.

On the other hand, assume that for each R the functor $\Phi_{\bar{R}}$ can be extended to a functor Φ_R from p-divisible groups over R to Dieudonné displays compatible with base change in R. Such a functor necessarily satisfies Corollary 3.22 and thus Theorem 3.24. Indeed, for a given p-divisible group G over a perfect ring K with associated display $\mathscr{P}$ the functors Φ_R induce a homomorphism of deformation functors $\Phi : \mathrm{Def}_G \to \mathrm{Def}_{\mathscr{P}}$ on the category $\mathrm{Nil}_{W(K)/K}$. These functors are pro-represented by complete symmetric algebras of projective $W(K)$-modules; see Propositions 3.9 and 3.12. Thus Φ is an isomorphism as soon as it is bijective on tangent spaces, which can be verified over rings of characteristic p and thus follows from Corollary 3.22.

This reasoning does not apply to the functors Φ^+_R because the deformation functor of $\mathscr{D}^+$-windows is not pro-representable, which corresponds to the fact that the functor $\mathbb{W}^+$ does not preserve fibered products of admissible rings. Explicitly, the proof of Proposition 3.12 does not apply to the deformation functor of $\mathscr{D}^+$-windows because there are no functorial divided powers on ideals of square zero which are compatible with the canonical divided powers of p and nilpotent modulo p.

We have the following consequence of the crystalline duality theorem. The duality of windows has been recalled in the end of section 2.1.

Corollary 3.26. *Let G be a p-divisible group over an admissible ring R and let $G^\vee$ be its Cartier dual. If $p \geq 3$, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\Phi_R(G^\vee) \cong \Phi_R(G)^t.$$

If $p = 2$, there is a natural isomorphism $\Phi^+_R(G^\vee) \cong \Phi^+_R(G)^t$.

Proof. Let $p \geq 3$. The crystalline duality theorem [BBM, 5.3] gives an isomorphism $\Phi^o_R(G^\vee)^t \cong \Phi^o_R(G)$. Since the functor from windows to filtered F-V-modules preserves duality, the uniqueness part of Proposition

3.17 implies that this isomorphism preserves F_1, so it is an isomorphism $\Phi_R(G^\vee)^t \cong \Phi_R(G)$. The case $p = 2$ is similar. □

3.7. **Passing to the limit.** The results of this section carry over to admissible topological rings R because Dieudonné displays or p-divisible groups over R are equivalent to compatible systems of such objects over R/N for each open ideal N of R contained in $\mathcal{N}_R$. We leave out the details.

4. RIGIDITY OF p-DIVISIBLE GROUPS

In this section we collect some rigidity properties of the fibered category of p-divisible groups over local Artin rings and of the associated crystals.

4.1. **Finite flat group schemes.** Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the additive category of commutative finite flat p-group schemes over a fixed local ring R. It is known that $\mathcal{F}$ is equivalent to the full subcategory $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ of the bounded derived category of the exact category of p-divisible groups over R such that the objects of $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ are the complexes of length one which are isogenies; see [Ki1, 2.3.5]. In elementary terms this can be expressed as follows.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the category of isogenies of p-divisible groups over R with homomorphisms up to homotopy. The set S of quasi-isomorphisms in $\mathcal{I}$ allows a calculus of right fractions. In particular, the localised category $S^{-1}\mathcal{I}$ is additive. It is equivalent to the additive category $\mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. For completeness we sketch a proof. Isogenies of p-divisible groups are denoted by $X = [X^0 \to X^1]$ etc., and H^0X denotes the kernel of $X^0 \to X^1$.

1. A homomorphism of isogenies $f : X \to Y$ is null-homotopic if and only if $H^0f : H^0X \to H^0Y$ is zero; the homotopy is unique if it exists.

2. For each homomorphism of isogenies $f : X \to Y$ there are a quasi-isomorphism of isogenies $t : Z \to X$ and a homomorphism $g : Z \to Y$ which is an epimorphism in both components such that ft is homotopic to g. Proof: Embed $H^0(X)$ into $Z^0 = X^0 \oplus Y^0$ by $(1, f)$ and put $Z^1 = Z^0/H^0(X)$. Define t and g by the projections $Z^0 \to X^0$ and $Z^0 \to Y^0$. There is a homotopy between ft and g because $ft = g$ on $H^0(Z)$.

3. For given homomorphisms of isogenies $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xleftarrow{s} Y'$, where s is a quasi-isomorphism, one can find an isogeny X' with a homomorphism $g : X' \to Y'$ and a quasi-isomorphism $t : X' \to X$ such that ft is homotopic to sg. Proof: By 2. we can assume that the components of f are epimorphisms. Then take $X' = X \times_Y Y'$ componentwise.

4. Thus S allows a calculus of right fractions, and $S^{-1}\mathcal{I}$ is additive.

5. We have an additive functor $H^0 : S^{-1}\mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{F}$, which is surjective on isomorphism classes by a theorem of Raynaud [BBM, 3.1.1]. Let X and Y be isogenies. The functor H^0 is full because for a given homomorphism $f : H^0(X) \to H^0(Y)$, the construction in 2. allows to represents f as $H^0(gt^{-1})$. The functor is faithful because if a right fraction $gt^{-1} : X \to Y$ induces zero on H^0 then g induces zero on H^0 and thus g is null-homotopic. □

4.2. **The fibered category of p-divisible groups.** Let (Art) be the category of local Artin schemes with perfect residue field of characteristic p, and let $(\mathrm{Art})_0 \subset (\mathrm{Art})$ be the full subcategory of all schemes where p is zero. We denote by $(p\text{-div}) \to (\mathrm{Art})$ the fibered category of p-divisible groups over schemes in (Art) and by $(p\text{-div})_0 \to (\mathrm{Art})_0$ the inverse image of $(\mathrm{Art})_0$.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that u is an exact automorphism of the fibered category* $(p\text{-div})$ *over* (Art) *such that for the group $E = \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ over* $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{F}_p$ *there is an isomorphism $u(E) \cong E$. Then u is isomorphic to the identity. Similarly, an exact automorphism u of the fibered category* $(p\text{-div})_0$ *over* $(\mathrm{Art})_0$ *such that $u(E) \cong E$ is isomorphic to the identity.*

Proof. We work with $(p\text{-div})$; the case of $(p\text{-div})_0$ is similar. For each U in (Art) we are given a functor $G \mapsto G^u$ from the category of p-divisible groups over U to itself, which preserves short exact sequences, compatible with base change in U, such that $\operatorname{Hom}(G,H) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(G^u,H^u)$. We have to show that there is an natural isomorphism $G^u \cong G$ for all G, compatible with base change in U. Let $(p\text{-fin}) \to (\mathrm{Art})$ be the fibered category of commutative finite flat p-group schemes over schemes in (Art). By Proposition 4.1, u induces an automorphism of $(p\text{-fin})$ over (Art). Let $H \in (p\text{-fin})$ over $U \in (\mathrm{Art})$ be given. Assume that p^n annihilates H and H^u. For each $T \to U$ in (Art) there is a natural isomorphism

$$H(T) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_T(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}, H_T) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_T(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}, H_T^u) \cong H^u(T),$$

using that $(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^u \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$. Since commutative finite flat group schemes over U form a full subcategory of the category of abelian presheaves on $(\mathrm{Art})/U$, we get a natural isomorphism $H \cong H^u$, which induces a natural isomorphism $G \cong G^u$ for all p-divisible groups G over U. □

One can also study the freedom in the choice of the isomorphism $u \cong \mathrm{id}$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let α be an endomorphism of the identity of* $(p\text{-div})$ *over* (Art)*, i.e. for each U in* (Art) *and each p-divisible group G over U an element $\alpha_G \in \operatorname{End}_U(G)$ is given, which is functorial in G and U. Then α is an element of $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Similarly, every endomorphism of the identity of* $(p\text{-div})_0$ *over* $(\mathrm{Art})_0$ *is an element of $\mathbb{Z}_p$.*

Proof. We work with $(p\text{-div})$; the case of $(p\text{-div})_0$ is similar. Since $\operatorname{End}_U(G)$ has no p-torsion we may replace α by $1 + p\alpha$ and thus assume that α is an isomorphism. Since $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p$, after multiplying α with a p-adic unit we may further assume that α is the identity on $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$. By Proposition 4.1, α induces an endomorphism α' of the identity of $(p\text{-fin})$ over (Art). For $H \in (p\text{-fin})$ over $U \in (\mathrm{Art})$, an element x of $H(U)$ corresponds to a homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \to H$ over U. Since α' is the identity on $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ it follows that $\alpha'(x) = x$. Thus α' is the identity. Since α' determines α, the latter is the identity too. □

For completeness we note the following extension of Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 4.4. *Let u be an exact automorphism of the fibered category* $(p\text{-div})$ *over* (Art) *or* $(p\text{-div})_0$ *over* $(\mathrm{Art})_0$*. There is a unique continuous character $\chi : \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_p) \to \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ such that $G^u \cong G \otimes \chi$ for all G.*

Proof. Again an automorphism u of (p-div) over (Art) induces an automorphism of (p-fin) over (Art). Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p. Then u permutes the three simple objects $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, μ_p, and α_p of $(p\text{-fin})_{\operatorname{Spec} k}$. This permutation must be the identity because only α_p has infinitely many endomorphisms, and $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(\mu_p, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ is trivial but $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \mu_p)$ is not. Thus the group $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$ is mapped by u to an étale p-divisible group of height 1, which corresponds to a character χ as in the lemma. Then Lemma 4.2 gives an isomorphism $G^u \otimes \chi^{-1} \cong G$. □

4.3. **Homomorphisms of crystals.** We fix a perfect field k of characteristic p. Let (Art_k) be the category of local Artin schemes whose residue field is a finite extension of k. By a slight change of notation we denote by (p-div) $\to$ (Art_k) the fibered category of p-divisible groups over schemes in (Art_k) and by ($\mathscr{D}$-win) $\to$ (Art_k) the fibered category of Dieudonné displays over schemes in (Art_k).

For U in (Art_k) and for a p-divisible group or Dieudonné display X over U we consider the augmented covariant[2] Dieudonné crystal $\mathbb{D}(X) \to \underline{\operatorname{Lie} X}$ (defined over different crystalline sites). Denote by $\mathbb{D}'(X)$ the restriction of $\mathbb{D}(X)$ to divided power extensions in (Art_k). This means that for $T \leftarrow U' \to U$ in (Art_k) where the first arrow is a divided power embedding, we have a surjective homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_T$-modules $\mathbb{D}'(X)_{U'/T} \to \operatorname{Lie}(X_{U'})$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let* $\Psi : (\mathscr{D}\text{-win}) \to (p\text{-div})$ *be an additive functor of fibered categories over* (Art_k) *which preserves height and dimension. Let* $\beta : \mathbb{D}' \to \mathbb{D}' \circ \Psi$ *be a homomorphism between functors on* ($\mathscr{D}$-win) *which respects the Hodge filtration. This means that for each divided power embedding* $(U \to T, \delta)$ *in* (Art_k) *and each Dieudonné display* $\mathscr{P}$ *over* U *we are given a commutative diagram of* $\mathcal{O}_T$*-modules*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{D}'(\mathscr{P})_{U/T} \arrow[r, "\beta(\mathscr{P})_{U/T}"] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{D}'(\Psi(\mathscr{P}))_{U/T} \arrow[d] \\
\operatorname{Lie}(\mathscr{P}) \arrow[r, "\beta^0(\mathscr{P})"] & \operatorname{Lie}(\Psi(\mathscr{P})),
\end{tikzcd}$$

which is functorial in $\mathscr{P}$ *and in* $(U \to T, \delta)$. *Assume that* $\beta^0(\mathscr{P})$ *is an isomorphism for all* $\mathscr{P}$. *Then* $\beta(\mathscr{P})$ *is an isomorphism for all* $\mathscr{P}$.

Proof. Let $U = \operatorname{Spec} k$ and $T = \operatorname{Spec} k[\varepsilon]$. It suffices to show that $\beta(\mathscr{P})_{U/U}$ is an isomorphism for all $\mathscr{P}$ defined over U. Let $G = \Psi(\mathscr{P})$. We consider the following homomorphism of exact sequences of k-modules, where $V(\mathscr{P})$ and $V(G)$ have equal dimension. The diagram defines $\beta^1(\mathscr{P})$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & V(\mathscr{P}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\beta^1(\mathscr{P})"] & \mathbb{D}'(\mathscr{P})_{U/U} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\beta(\mathscr{P})_{U/U}"] & \operatorname{Lie}(\mathscr{P}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\beta^0(\mathscr{P})"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & V(G) \arrow[r] & \mathbb{D}'(G)_{U/U} \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Lie}(G) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

---

[2] See footnote 1 on page 22.

Lifts of $\mathscr{P}$ to T are in bijection to $\operatorname{Hom}_k(V(\mathscr{P}),\operatorname{Lie}(\mathscr{P}))$, and lifts of G to T are in bijection to $\operatorname{Hom}_k(V(G),\operatorname{Lie}(G))$. Thus Ψ induces a map

$$\psi : \operatorname{Hom}_k(V(\mathscr{P}),\operatorname{Lie}(\mathscr{P})) \to \operatorname{Hom}_k(V(G),\operatorname{Lie}(G)).$$

Since for two lifts $\mathscr{P}_1$ and $\mathscr{P}_2$ of $\mathscr{P}$ to T the isomorphisms $\mathbb{D}'(\mathscr{P}_1)_{T/T} \cong \mathbb{D}'(\mathscr{P})_{U/T} \cong \mathbb{D}'(\mathscr{P}_2)_{T/T}$ and their analogues for $G_i = \Psi(\mathscr{P}_i)$ are compatible with β, for each $\alpha \in \operatorname{Hom}_k(V(\mathscr{P}),\operatorname{Lie}(\mathscr{P}))$ the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V(\mathscr{P}) \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\beta^1(\mathscr{P})"'] & \operatorname{Lie}(\mathscr{P}) \arrow[d, "\beta^0(\mathscr{P})"] \\
V(G) \arrow[r, "\psi(\alpha)"] & \operatorname{Lie}(G)
\end{tikzcd}$$

By replacing $\mathscr{P}$ with $\mathscr{P} \oplus \mathscr{D}_k$ if necessary, we may assume that $\beta^0(\mathscr{P})$ is a *non-zero* isomorphism. Since α is arbitrary, the diagram implies that $\beta^1(\mathscr{P})$ is injective, thus bijective. Thus $\beta(\mathscr{P})_{U/U}$ is an isomorphism. ☐

Lemma 4.6. *Assume that for each U in (Art_k) and each p-divisible group G over U an endomorphism $\beta(G)$ of $\mathbb{D}'(G)$ is given, which is functorial in G and U and which respects the Hodge filtration, such that $\beta(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathrm{id}$. Then $\beta(G) = \mathrm{id}$ for all G. The lemma also holds if $\beta(G)$ is an endomorphism of the restriction of $\mathbb{D}'(G)$ to nilpotent divided power embeddings in (Art), or to (nilpotent) divided power embeddings which are compatible with the canonical divided powers of p. All this remains true is (Art_k) is replaced by its full subcategory of schemes where p is zero.*

Proof. Let G be a p-divisible group over $U \in (\mathrm{Art}_k)$. As in the proof of Lemma 4.5, for each homomorphism $\alpha : V(G) \to \operatorname{Lie}(G)$, corresponding to a deformation of G over $U[\varepsilon]$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V(G) \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\beta^1(G)"'] & \operatorname{Lie}(G) \arrow[d, "\beta^0(G)"] \\
V(G) \arrow[r, "\alpha"] & \operatorname{Lie}(G).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\beta^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the identity it follows that $\beta^0(G)$ and $\beta^1(G)$ are the identity for all G. Thus $\gamma(G) = \beta(G) - \mathrm{id}$ is an endomorphism of $\mathbb{D}'(G)$ such that for every lift G' of G to $U[\varepsilon]$ the endomorphism $g = \gamma(G)_{U/U[\varepsilon]}$ of $M = \mathbb{D}'(G)_{U/U[\varepsilon]}$ vanishes on $V(G')$ and has image in $V(G')$. If $V(G)$ is zero, $\gamma(G)$ must be zero. If $V(G)$ is non-zero, the sum of all possible $V(G')$ contains εM, so g vanishes on εM, and thus the image of g lies in εM. Since the inverse image of g under $U \to U[\varepsilon]$, $\varepsilon \mapsto 0$ is $\gamma(G)_{U/U}$, we see that $\beta(G)_{U/U}$ is the identity. By functoriality in U we get that $\beta(G) = \mathrm{id}$. ☐

5. From Dieudonné displays to p-divisible groups

In this section we define a functor from Dieudonné displays over an admissible local ring to p-divisible groups, without restriction on p.

5.1. **Preliminaries.** We fix an admissible local ring R with (perfect) residue field $k = R_{\mathrm{red}}$ of characteristic p.

Definition 5.1. Let $\mathscr{J}_R$ be the category of R-algebras A such that $\mathcal{N}_A$ is bounded nilpotent and A_{red} is the union of finite dimensional k-algebras.

We call a ring homomorphism $A \to B$ ind-étale if B is the filtered direct limit of étale A-algebras.

Lemma 5.2. *Every $A \in \mathscr{J}_R$ is admissible. The category $\mathscr{J}_R$ is stable under tensor products. If $A \to B$ is an ind-étale or a quasi-finite ring homomorphism with $A \in \mathscr{J}_R$ then $B \in \mathscr{J}_R$.*

Proof. Since a reduced finite k-algebra is étale and thus perfect, every A in $\mathscr{J}_R$ is admissible. Let $A \to B$ a ring homomorphism with $A \in \mathscr{J}_R$. Then $\mathcal{N}_A B$ is bounded nilpotent, so B is lies in $\mathscr{J}_R$ if and only if $B/\mathcal{N}_A B$ lies in $\mathscr{J}_R$. For given homomorphisms $B \leftarrow A \to C$ in $\mathscr{J}_R$ we have to show that $B \otimes_A C$ lies in $\mathscr{J}_R$. By the preceding remark we may assume that A, B, C are reduced. Then $B \otimes_A C$ is the direct limit of étale k-algebras and thus lies in $\mathscr{J}_R$. Let $g : A \to B$ be an ind-étale or quasi-finite ring homomorphism with $A \in \mathscr{J}_R$. In order to show that $B \in \mathscr{J}_R$ we may assume that A is reduced. Then every finitely generated k-algebra in A is étale. Thus each étale A-algebra is defined over an étale k-algebra in A. If g is ind-étale it follows that B lies in $\mathscr{J}_R$. Assume that g is quasi-finite. Then B is defined over an étale k-algebra in A. Since all finite k-algebras lie in $\mathscr{J}_R$ and since $\mathscr{J}_R$ is stable under tensor products it follows that $B \in \mathscr{J}_R$. □

Let $S = \operatorname{Spec} R$ and let $\mathscr{J}_S$ be the category of affine S-schemes $\operatorname{Spec} A$ with $A \in \mathscr{J}_R$. If τ is one of ind-étale or fpqc, we consider the τ-topology on $\mathscr{J}_S$ in which coverings are finite families of morphisms $(\operatorname{Spec} B_i \to \operatorname{Spec} A)$ such that the associated homomorphism $A \to \prod_i B_i$ is faithfully flat, and ind-étale if τ is ind-étale. Let $S_{\mathscr{J},\tau}$ be the category of τ-sheaves on $\mathscr{J}_S$.

Lemma 5.3. *The presheaf of rings $\mathbb{W}$ on $\mathscr{J}_S$ is an fpqc sheaf.*

Proof. See [La1, Lemma 1.5]. Since the presheaf W is an fpqc sheaf it suffices to show that for an injective ring homomorphism $A \to B$ in $\mathscr{J}_R$ we have $\mathbb{W}(A) = \mathbb{W}(B) \cap W(A)$ in $W(B)$. This is easily verified using that $A_{\mathrm{red}} \to B_{\mathrm{red}}$ is injective and $\hat{W}(\mathcal{N}_A) = \hat{W}(\mathcal{N}_B) \cap W(A)$ in $W(\mathcal{N}_B)$. □

5.2. **The functor BT.** Let $\mathscr{P}$ be a Dieudonné display over R. For $\operatorname{Spec} A$ in $\mathscr{J}_S$ let $\mathscr{P}_A = (P_A, Q_A, F, F_1)$ be the base change of $\mathscr{P}$ to A. We define two complexes of presheaves of abelian groups on $\mathscr{J}_S$ by

$$Z(\mathscr{P})(\operatorname{Spec} A) = [Q_A \xrightarrow{F_1 - 1} P_A], \tag{5.1}$$

$$Z'(\mathscr{P})(\operatorname{Spec} A) = [Q_A \xrightarrow{F_1 - 1} P_A] \otimes [\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}[1/p]], \tag{5.2}$$

such that $Z(\mathscr{P})$ lies in degrees $0, 1$ and $Z'(\mathscr{P})$ lies in degrees $-1, 0, 1$, so the second tensor factor lies in degrees $-1, 0$.

Proposition 5.4. *The components of $Z'(\mathscr{P})$ are fpqc sheaves. The ind-étale (and thus the fpqc) cohomology sheaves of $Z'(\mathscr{P})$ vanish outside degree zero,* *and the cohomology sheaf in degree zero is represented by a well-defined p-divisible group* $\mathrm{BT}_R(\mathscr{P})$ *over* R. *This defines an additive and exact functor*

$$\mathrm{BT}_R : (\textit{Dieudonné displays over } R) \to (p\textit{-divisible groups over } R).$$

One can also express the definition of the functor BT_R by the formula

$$\mathrm{BT}_R(\mathscr{P}) = [Q \xrightarrow{F_1-1} P] \otimes^L \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$$

in the derived category of either ind-étale or fpqc abelian sheaves on $\mathscr{J}_S$.

Proof of Proposition 5.4. This is essentially proved in [La1], but we repeat the arguments for completeness and because there is a small modification when $p = 2$. To begin with, p-divisible groups over R form a full subcategory of the abelian presheaves on $\mathscr{J}_S$ because finite group schemes over R lie in $\mathscr{J}_S$; see Lemma 5.2. Hence BT_R is a well-defined additive functor if the assertions on the cohomology of $Z'(\mathscr{P})$ hold. Since an exact sequence of Dieudonné displays over R induces an exact sequence of the associated complexes of presheaves Z', the functor BT_R is exact if it is defined.

The components of $Z(\mathscr{P})$ and $Z'(\mathscr{P})$ are fpqc sheaves by Lemma 5.3. These complexes carry two independent filtrations. First, a Dieudonné display is called étale if $Q = P$, and nilpotent if $V^\sharp$ is topologically nilpotent. Every Dieudonné display over R is naturally an extension of an étale by a nilpotent Dieudonné display, which induces exact sequences of the associated complexes $Z(\ldots)$ and $Z'(\ldots)$. Thus we may assume that $\mathscr{P}$ is étale or nilpotent. Second, for every $\mathscr{P}$ we have an exact sequence of complexes of presheaves

$$0 \to \hat{Z}(\mathscr{P}) \to Z(\mathscr{P}) \to Z_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathscr{P}) \to 0,$$

defined by $Z_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathscr{P})(\operatorname{Spec} A) = Z(\mathscr{P})(\operatorname{Spec} A_{\mathrm{red}})$. The same holds for Z' instead of Z. We write $\hat{Z}(\mathscr{P}) = [\hat{Q} \to \hat{P}]$.

Assume that $\mathscr{P}$ is étale. Then $F_1 : P \to P$ is an f-linear isomorphism. Thus $F_1 : \hat{P} \to \hat{P}$ is elementwise nilpotent, and the complex $\hat{Z}(\mathscr{P})$ is acyclic. It follows that $Z(\mathscr{P})$ is quasi-isomorphic to the complex $Z_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathscr{P}) = Z_{\mathrm{red}}$, which is the projective limit of the complexes $Z_{\mathrm{red},n} = Z_{\mathrm{red}}/p^n Z_{\mathrm{red}}$. In the étale topology, each $Z_{\mathrm{red},n}$ is a surjective homomorphism of sheaves whose kernel is a locally constant sheaf G_n of free $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$-modules of rank equal to the rank of P. The system $(G_n)_n$ defines an étale p-divisible group G over R, and Z_{red} is quasi-isomorphic to $T_pG = \varprojlim G_n$ as ind-étale sheaves. It follows that $Z'(\mathscr{P}) \simeq Z'_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathscr{P})$ is quasi-isomorphic to $[T_pG \to T_pG \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/p]]$ in degrees $-1, 0$, which is quasi-isomorphic to G.

Assume that $\mathscr{P}$ is nilpotent. Then the complex $Z_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathscr{P})$ is acyclic because its value over $\operatorname{Spec} A$ is isomorphic to $[1 - V : P_{A_{\mathrm{red}}} \to P_{A_{\mathrm{red}}}]$ where V is a topologically nilpotent f^{-1}-linear homomorphism. Thus $Z(\mathscr{P})$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\hat{Z}(P)$. To $\mathscr{P}$ we associate a nilpotent display by the u_0-homomorphism of frames $\mathscr{D}_R \to \mathscr{W}_R$. By [Zi1, Theorem 81 and Corollary 89] there is a formal p-divisible group G over R associated to this display such that for each $A \in \mathscr{J}_R$ there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \hat{Q}(A) \xrightarrow{u_0F_1-1} \hat{P}(A) \to G(A) \to 0.$$

Since $u_0 \in W(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ maps to 1 in $W(\mathbb{F}_p)$, there is a unique $c \in W(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ which maps to 1 in $W(\mathbb{F}_p)$ such that $u_0 = cf(c^{-1})$, namely $c = u_0\sigma(u_0)\sigma^2(u_0)\cdots$.

Then multiplication by c in both components defines an isomorphism

$$[\hat{Q}(A) \xrightarrow{F_1-1} \hat{P}(A)] \cong [\hat{Q}(A) \xrightarrow{u_0F_1-1} \hat{P}(A)]$$

It follows that $Z'(\mathscr{P}) \simeq \hat{Z}'(\mathscr{P})$ is quasi-isomorphic to G in degree zero. □

Remark 5.5. Recall that $\mathscr{D}_R = (\mathbb{W}(R), \mathbb{I}_R, f, f_1)$ is viewed as a Dieudonné display over R. We have $\mathrm{BT}_R(\mathscr{D}_R) = \mu_{p^\infty}$ by [Zi1, (211)].

Lemma 5.6. *Let $R \to R'$ be a homomorphism of admissible local rings. For each Dieudonné display $\mathscr{P}$ over R there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{BT}_R(\mathscr{P})_{R'} \cong \mathrm{BT}_{R'}(\mathscr{P}_{R'}).$$

Proof. If the residue field of R' is an algebraic extension of k, every ring in $\mathscr{J}_{R'}$ lies in $\mathscr{J}_R$, and the assertion follows directly from the construction of BT_R. In general, let $\mathscr{E}_R$ be the category of all R-algebras which are admissible rings, and let $\mathscr{E}_S$ be the category of affine S-schemes $\operatorname{Spec} A$ with $A \in \mathscr{E}_R$, endowed with the ind-étale topology. The complexes of presheaves $Z(\mathscr{P})$ and $Z'(\mathscr{P})$ on $\mathscr{J}_S$ defined in (5.1) and (5.2) extend to complexes of presheaves on $\mathscr{E}_S$ defined by the same formulas. It is easy to see that for an ind-étale ring homomorphism $A \to B$ with $A \in \mathscr{E}_R$ we have $B \in \mathscr{E}_R$ as well; see Lemma 5.2. Using this, the proof of Proposition 5.4 shows that the ind-étale cohomology sheaves of $Z'(\mathscr{P})$ on $\mathscr{E}_R$ vanish outside degree zero, and $H^0(Z'(\mathscr{P}))$ is naturally isomorphic with $\mathrm{BT}_R(\mathscr{P})$ as a sheaf on $\mathscr{E}_R$. Since every ring in $\mathscr{E}_{R'}$ lies in $\mathscr{E}_R$, the lemma follows as in the first case. □

5.3. **Comments.** At this point there are different ways to proceed. The results and methods of [Zi2] and [La1] show that the functor BT_R is an equivalence of categories. But one can also directly relate the crystals associated to $\mathscr{P}$ and $\mathrm{BT}_R(\mathscr{P})$, which gives another proof of the equivalence. Before doing so we will discuss in more detail what is known.

Proposition 5.7. *The functor BT_R is an equivalence of exact categories. If $p \geq 3$ or $pR = 0$, then BT_R is a quasi-inverse of the functor Φ_R defined in Proposition 3.17.*

Recall that Φ_R is an equivalence by Theorem 3.24. If $p = 2$ and R is not annihilated by p, the relation between BT_R and the functor Φ_R^+ defined in Proposition 3.19 is explained in Corollary 6.12 below.

Proof. By section 3.1 we may assume that R is a local Artin ring. Since p-divisible groups and Diedonné displays over k have universal deformation rings which are power series rings over $W(k)$, once the functor BT_R is defined, in order to show that it is an equivalence of categories it suffices so consider $R = k$ and $R = k[\varepsilon]$. In particular, if $p = 2$, we may assume that $pR = 0$, so that the results of [Zi2] and [La1] can be applied. The category $\mathcal{C}_R$ used in [La1] is the category of all $A \in \mathscr{J}_R$ such that $\mathcal{N}_A$ is nilpotent. Since this subcategory is stable under ind-étale extensions, it does not make a difference whether the functor BT_R is defined in terms of $\mathcal{C}_R$ or $\mathscr{J}_R$. Thus BT_R is an equivalence by [La1, Theorem 1.7], which relies on the equivalence proved in [Zi2]. Assume that $p \geq 3$ or $pR = 0$. It is easily verified that $\mathrm{BT}_R(\Phi_R(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p))$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$. Thus BT_R is a quasi-inverse of

Φ_R by Lemmas 4.2 and 5.6. This proves also that, for general R, the inverse of BT_R preserves exact sequences, using that a sequence of Dieudonné displays over R is exact if and only if it is exact over R/pR. □

The Serre dual of a p-divisible group G is denoted by $G^\vee$.

Proposition 5.8. *For every Dieudonné display $\mathscr{P}$ over R there is a natural duality isomorphism* $\mathrm{BT}_R(\mathscr{P}^t) \cong \mathrm{BT}_R(\mathscr{P})^\vee$.

If $p \geq 3$ or $pR = 0$ this is proved in [La1] by constructing explicitly a biextension between $\mathrm{BT}_R(\mathscr{P}) \times \mathrm{BT}_R(\mathscr{P}^t)$ and $\mathrm{BT}_R(\mathscr{D}_R) = \mu_{p^\infty}$. This works for $p = 2$ without restriction, but the following argument is much easier. The Lie version of the construction of the duality isomorphism is used in the next section for a different purpose, so the idea of the construction survives.

Proof of Proposition 5.8. We can assume that R is a local Artin ring with perfect residue field. Since BT_R is an equivalence of exact categories which is compatible with base change, the assignment $\alpha : G \mapsto \mathrm{BT}(\mathrm{BT}^{-1}(G)^t)^\vee$ is an exact automorphism of the fibered category (p-div) over (Art) considered in section 4.2. It is easily verified that $\alpha(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$. Then the proposition follows from Lemma 4.2. □

5.4. **Passing to the limit.** Assume that R is an admissible topological ring such that R_{red} is a field, for example a complete local ring with perfect residue field. Then the functors $\mathrm{BT}_{R/N}$, where N runs through the open ideals of R contained in $\mathcal{N}_R$, induce a functor

$$\mathrm{BT}_R : (\text{Dieudonné displays over } R) \to (p\text{-divisible groups over } R)$$

because both sides are the projective limit of the corresponding categories over R/N. The assertions of Propositions 5.7 and 5.8 carry over to the topological case.

6. Relation of the crystals

In this section we relate the Dieudonné crystals of a Dieudonné display over an admissible local ring and of the associated p-divisible group, which is defined as a crystalline $\underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1$ following [BBM]. The main point is to write down a homomorphism between the crystals. Initially we have to verify that $\underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1$ can be computed in the smaller crystalline site formed by spectra of admissible rings in the category $\mathcal{J}_R$.

The results of this section are not used in section 7.

6.1. **The Dieudonné crystal over admissible rings.** Let Σ be a scheme equipped with a divided power ideal (I, γ). Let S be a scheme over Σ such that p is locally nilpotent in S and the divided powers γ extend to S. We recall that the large crystalline site $\mathrm{CRIS}(S/\Sigma)$ used in [BBM] consists of S-schemes U together with a divided power extension of Σ-schemes $U \to T$ compatible with γ such that p is locally nilpotent on T.

We fix a perfect field k of characteristic p. Let $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_{W(k)}$ be the category of $W(k)$-algebras A which are admissible such that A_{red} is a union of finite dimensional k-algebras. We assume that $S = \operatorname{Spec} R$ with $R \in \mathcal{J}$ and denote by $\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathcal{J}}(S/\Sigma)$ the category of all $U \to T$ in $\mathrm{CRIS}(S/\Sigma)$ such that $U = \operatorname{Spec} A$ with $A \in \mathcal{J}$. Then $T = \operatorname{Spec} B$ with $B \in \mathcal{J}$ as well. Let τ

be one of the three topologies Zariski, ind-étale, or fpqc. We denote the restriction functor of τ-sheaves from $\mathrm{CRIS}(S/\Sigma)$ to $\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathcal{J}}(S/\Sigma)$ by

$$\mathrm{res}_\tau : (S/\Sigma)_{\mathrm{CRIS},\tau} \to (S/\Sigma)_{\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathcal{J}},\tau}.$$

This is the direct image functor of a morphism of topoi. If τ is Zariski or ind-étale, all τ-coverings in $\mathrm{CRIS}(S/\Sigma)$ of an object of $\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathcal{J}}(S/\Sigma)$ lie in $\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathcal{J}}(S/\Sigma)$. In these cases res_τ is also the inverse image functor of a morphism of topoi in the opposite direction. Let $\mathcal{O}_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J}} = \mathrm{res}_\tau(\mathcal{O}_{S/\Sigma})$.

Let $S_{\gamma,\tau}$ be the category of τ-sheaves on the category of S-schemes to which the divided powers γ extend and let $S_{\mathcal{J},\gamma,\tau}$ be the category of τ-sheaves on the category of S-schemes to which the divided powers γ extend of the type $\operatorname{Spec} A$ for $A \in \mathcal{J}_R$. As before, the restriction $\mathrm{res}_\tau : S_{\gamma,\tau} \to S_{\mathcal{J},\gamma,\tau}$ is the direct image functor of a morphism of topoi, and if τ is Zariski or ind-étale, it is also the inverse image functor of a morphism of topoi. In addition we have morphisms of topoi

$$i_{S/\Sigma,\tau} : S_{\gamma,\tau} \to (S/\Sigma)_{\mathrm{CRIS},\tau},$$
$$i_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J},\tau} : S_{\mathcal{J},\gamma,\tau} \to (S/\Sigma)_{\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathcal{J}},\tau},$$

defined by $i_*(G)(U \to T) = G(U)$. We write $i_*(G) = \underline{G}$. If τ is Zariski or ind-étale, i_* is also the inverse image functor of a morphism of topoi in the opposite direction.

Lemma 6.1. *Let G be a finite flat group scheme or a p-divisible group over S. For $i \leq 2$ we have a natural isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J}}$-modules*

$$\mathrm{res}_\tau \underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^i_{S/\Sigma,\tau}(\underline{G}, \mathcal{O}_{S/\Sigma}) \cong \underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^i_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J},\tau}(\underline{G}, \mathcal{O}_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J}}). \tag{6.1}$$

These modules are independent of the choice of τ as presheaves.

Proof. This is a routine verification using the results of [BBM].

Assume first that G is finite. By [BBM, 1.3.6], for all $i \geq 0$ the left hand side of (6.1) is res_τ of the τ-sheaf associated to the Zariski sheaf

$$\underline{E}^i = \underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^i_{S/\Sigma,\mathrm{Zar}}(\underline{G}, \mathcal{O}_{S/\Sigma}).$$

The proof of loc. cit. shows that the right hand side is the τ-sheaf associated to the Zariski sheaf $\underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^i_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J},\mathrm{Zar}}(\underline{G}, \mathcal{O}_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J}})$. By [BBM, 2.3.11], $\underline{E}^i$ is already a τ-sheaf if $i \leq 2$. Thus it suffices to prove that the functor $\mathrm{res}_{\mathrm{Zar}}$ induces an isomorphism (6.1) if $i \leq 2$. By [BBM, 2.1.5], there is an exact sequence in $S_{\gamma,\mathrm{Zar}}$

$$C^{(3)} \to C^{(2)} \to C^{(1)} \to C^{(0)} \to G \to 0$$

where each $C^{(n)}$ is a finite direct sum of sheaves of the type $\mathbb{Z}[G^m]$. We break it into four short exact sequences $0 \to G^{(1)} \to C^{(0)} \to G \to 0$ and $0 \to G^{(2)} \to C^{(1)} \to G^{(1)} \to 0$ and $0 \to G^{(3)} \to C^{(2)} \to G^{(2)} \to 0$ and $0 \to G^{(4)} \to C^{(3)} \to G^{(3)} \to 0$. These sequences remain exact under i_*, and the restriction $\mathrm{res}_{\mathrm{Zar}}$ induces homomorphisms of the associated long exact sequences $\mathrm{res}\,\underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^i_{S/\Sigma,\mathrm{Zar}}(\ldots, \mathcal{O}_{S/\Sigma}) \to \underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^i_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J},\mathrm{Zar}}(\ldots, \mathcal{O}_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J}})$. We claim that the involved homomorphisms

$$\mathrm{res}\,\underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^i_{S/\Sigma,\mathrm{Zar}}(\underline{C}^{(n)}, \mathcal{O}_{S/\Sigma}) \to \underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^i_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J},\mathrm{Zar}}(\underline{C}^{(n)}, \mathcal{O}_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J}}) \tag{6.2}$$

are isomorphisms for $n \leq 3$ and all i. Indeed, let $X = G^m$ and let $U \to T$ be an object of $\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathcal{J}}(S/\Sigma)$. Since G is finite over R, X is the spectrum of a ring in $\mathcal{J}$, and $\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathcal{J}}(X_U/T)$ is defined; see Lemma 5.2. By [BBM, 1.3.4] and its proof we have isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{U/T,\mathrm{Zar}}(\mathbb{Z}[\underline{X_U}], \mathcal{O}_{U/T}) \cong H^i((X_U/T)_{\mathrm{Zar}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_U/T}), \tag{6.3}$$

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{U/T,\mathcal{J},\mathrm{Zar}}(\mathbb{Z}[\underline{X_U}], \mathcal{O}_{U/T,\mathcal{J}}) \cong H^i((X_U/T)_{\mathcal{J},\mathrm{Zar}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_U/T,\mathcal{J}}). \tag{6.4}$$

Let $(X_U/T)_{\mathrm{zar}}$ be the category of Zariski sheaves on the category of all $U' \to T'$ in $\mathrm{CRIS}(X_U/T)$ such that U' is an affine open subscheme of X_U; this implies that $U' \to T'$ lies in $\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathcal{J}}(X_U/T)$ as well. The cohomology groups on the right in (6.3) and (6.4) both coincide with $H^i((X_U/T)_{\mathrm{zar}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_U/T})$, and hence (6.2) is an isomorphism for $n \leq 3$ and all i as claimed. By the 5-lemma it follows that res_{Zar} induces an isomorphism (6.1) for $i \leq 2$. This proves Lemma 6.1 if G is finite.

Assume now that G is a p-divisible group. For $i \leq 2$, the left hand side of (6.1) is equal to

$$\mathrm{res}_{\tau} \varprojlim_n \underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^i_{S/\Sigma,\tau}(\underline{G}[p^n], \mathcal{O}_{S/\Sigma})$$

according to [BBM, 2.4.5]. Since res_{τ} commutes with projective limits, the p-divisible case of (6.1) is reduced to the finite case if the right hand side of (6.1) is equal to $\varprojlim_n \underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^i_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J},\tau}(\underline{G}[p^n], \mathcal{O}_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J}})$. This follows from the proof of [BBM, 2.4.5]. Indeed, the properties of the sheaves $\underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^i_{S/\Sigma,\tau}(\underline{G}[p^n], \mathcal{O}_{S/\Sigma})$ used in the proof of [BBM, 2.4.4] carry over from $\mathrm{CRIS}(S/\Sigma)$ to $\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathcal{J}}(S/\Sigma)$ by the finite case of (6.1). The proof of [BBM, 2.4.3] uses that the sequences

$$0 \to \underline{G}[p^n] \to \underline{G} \xrightarrow{p^n} \underline{G} \to 0 \tag{6.5}$$

are exact in $(S/\Sigma)_{\mathrm{CRIS,fpqc}}$ by [BBM, 1.1.7]. Since for $A \in \mathcal{J}_R$ and for a quasi-finite ring homomorphism $A \to B$ we have $B \in \mathcal{J}_R$ by Lemma 5.2, the proof of [BBM, 1.1.7] shows that (6.5) is exact in $(S/\Sigma)_{\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathcal{J}},\mathrm{fpqc}}$ as well. The remaining arguments relevant for the proof of [BBM, 2.4.5] carry over from $\mathrm{CRIS}(S/\Sigma)$ to $\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathcal{J}}(S/\Sigma)$ easily. □

Lemma 6.2. *Let G be a finite flat group scheme or a p-divisible group over S. For $i \leq 2$ we have a natural isomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J}}$-modules*

$$\mathrm{res}_{\tau} \underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^i_{S_{\gamma,\tau}}(G, \mathbb{G}_a) \cong \underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^i_{S_{\mathcal{J},\gamma,\tau}}(G, \mathbb{G}_a), \tag{6.6}$$

$$i_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J}*} \underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^i_{S_{\mathcal{J},\gamma,\tau}}(G, \mathbb{G}_a) \cong \underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^i_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J},\tau}(\underline{G}, \underline{\mathbb{G}_a}), \tag{6.7}$$

and these modules are independent of τ as presheaves.

Note that (6.7) without $\mathcal{J}$ holds as well by [BBM, 2.3.12 and 2.4.6].

Proof. The proof of (6.6) and of the fact that the involved modules are independent of τ is an elementary analogue of the proof of Lemma 6.1; see the discussion preceding [BBM, 2.3.12], and [BBM, 2.4.6]. Then (6.7) follows from the arguments given in [BBM, 2.3.12 and 2.4.6]. □

We recall that if $p^n R = 0$, for a p-divisible group G over R the exact sequence $0 \to G[p^n] \to G \xrightarrow{p^n} G \to 0$ on $S_{\gamma,\mathrm{fpqc}}$ induces an isomorphism

$$\underline{\mathrm{Lie}}(G^\vee) \cong \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{S_\gamma}(G[p^n], \mathbb{G}_a) \cong \underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1_{S_{\gamma,\mathrm{fpqc}}}(G, \mathbb{G}_a).$$

The same holds for $S_{\mathcal{J},\gamma,\mathrm{fpqc}}$ instead of $S_{\gamma,\mathrm{fpqc}}$.

6.2. **Relation of the crystals.** Let now $S = \operatorname{Spec} R$ for an admissible local ring R with perfect residue field k. We define $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_{W(k)}$ as before. Let $\mathscr{P}$ be a Dieudonné display over R and let $G^t = \mathrm{BT}_R(\mathscr{P}^t)$. We want to construct a homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J}}$-modules

$$\beta(\mathscr{P}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathscr{P}) \to \underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J}}(\underline{G}^t, \mathcal{O}_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J}}) = \mathbb{D}(G^{t\vee})$$

(see footnote 1 on page 22) which is compatible with a homomorphism of R-modules

$$\beta^0(\mathscr{P}) : \mathrm{Lie}(\mathscr{P}) \to \mathrm{Lie}(G^{t\vee}).$$

The construction of $\beta^0(\mathscr{P})$ is the Lie-version of the construction of the duality homomorphism in [La1], and the construction of $\beta(\mathscr{P})$ is a crystalline extension of this construction.

Let us fix some notation. For given $U \to T$ in $\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathcal{J}}(S/\Sigma)$ we write $U = \operatorname{Spec} A$ and $T = \operatorname{Spec} B$ and $\mathfrak{a} = \mathrm{Ker}(B \to A)$. Let

$$\mathscr{P}_{B/A} = (P_{B/A}, Q_{B/A}, F, F_1)$$

be the unique lift of $\mathscr{P}_A$ to a Dieudonné display for B/A (Proposition 2.8) and let $\mathscr{P}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ be the kernel of the projection $\mathscr{P}_{B/A} \to \mathscr{P}_A$. Thus

$$\mathscr{P}_{\mathfrak{a}} = (P_{\mathfrak{a}}, P_{\mathfrak{a}}, F, F_1)$$

with $P_{\mathfrak{a}} = P_{B/A} \otimes_{\mathbb{W}(B/A)} \tilde{W}(\mathfrak{a})$, and $F_1(x \otimes a) = F(x) \otimes \mathbb{f}_1(a)$. Consider the surjection $B[\varepsilon] \to A$. Its kernel $\mathfrak{a} \oplus \varepsilon B$ carries divided powers which extend the given divided powers on $\mathfrak{a} \cdot B[\varepsilon]$ by the trivial divided powers on εB. Let $\mathscr{P}_{\varepsilon B/A}$ be the kernel of the projection $\mathscr{P}_{B[\varepsilon]/A} \to \mathscr{P}_{B/A}$. Thus

$$\mathscr{P}_{\varepsilon B/A} = (P_{\varepsilon B}, P_{\varepsilon B}, F, F_1)$$

with $P_{\varepsilon B} = P_{B/A} \otimes_{\mathbb{W}(B/A)} \hat{W}(\varepsilon B)$, and $F_1(x \otimes a) = F(x) \otimes \mathbb{f}_1(a)$. Let

$$IP_{\varepsilon B} = P_{B/A} \otimes_{\mathbb{W}(B/A)} v\hat{W}(\varepsilon B).$$

In the case $A = B$ we also consider $\mathscr{P}_{A[\varepsilon]}$, the scalar extension of $\mathscr{P}$ under $A \to A[\varepsilon]$. Let $\mathscr{P}_{\varepsilon A}$ be the kernel of the projection $\mathscr{P}_{A[\varepsilon]} \to \mathscr{P}_A$. Thus

$$\mathscr{P}_{\varepsilon A} = (P_{\varepsilon A}, Q_{\varepsilon A}, F, F_1)$$

where $P_{\varepsilon A}/Q_{\varepsilon A} = P/Q \otimes_R \varepsilon A$.

Definition 6.3. We define complexes of abelian groups in $(S/\Sigma)_{\mathcal{J},\text{ind-ét}}$ in degrees $0, 1$ by

$$\underline{Z}(\mathscr{P})(U \to T) = [Q_A \xrightarrow{F_1 - 1} P_A]$$

$$Z_{S/\Sigma}(\mathscr{P})(U \to T) = [Q_{B/A} \xrightarrow{F_1 - 1} P_{B/A}]$$

$$Y_{S/\Sigma}(\mathscr{P})_\varepsilon(U \to T) = [IP_{\varepsilon B} \xrightarrow{F_1 - 1} P_{\varepsilon B}]$$

and complexes of abelian groups in $(S/\Sigma)_{\mathcal{J},\text{ind-ét}}$ in degrees $-1, 0, 1$ by

$$\underline{Z}'(\mathscr{P})(U \to T) = [Q_A \xrightarrow{F_1 - 1} P_A] \otimes [\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}[1/p]]$$

$$Z'_{S/\Sigma}(\mathscr{P})(U \to T) = [Q_{B/A} \xrightarrow{F_1 - 1} P_{B/A}] \otimes [\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}[1/p]]$$

and a complex of abelian groups in $S_{\mathcal{J},\gamma,\text{ind-ét}}$ in degrees $0, 1$ by

$$Z(\mathscr{P})_\varepsilon(\operatorname{Spec} A) = [Q_{\varepsilon A} \xrightarrow{F_1 - 1} P_{\varepsilon A}].$$

Lemma 6.4. *Let $G = \mathrm{BT}_R(\mathscr{P})$. Then:*

(i) *The projection $Z_{S/\Sigma}(\mathscr{P}) \to \underline{Z}(\mathscr{P})$ is a quasi-isomorphism.*
(ii) *The projection $Z'_{S/\Sigma}(\mathscr{P}) \to \underline{Z}'(\mathscr{P})$ is a quasi-isomorphism.*
(iii) *The complex $\underline{Z}'(\mathscr{P})$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\underline{G}$ in degree zero.*
(iv) *The complex $Y_{S/\Sigma}(\mathscr{P})_\varepsilon$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{P})$ in degree one.*
(v) *The complex $Z(\mathscr{P})_\varepsilon$ is quasi-isomorphic to the quasi-coherent module $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathscr{P}) = P/Q$ in degree one.*

Proof. The projection (i) is surjective on the level of presheaves with kernel equal to $[F_1 - 1 : P_{\mathfrak{a}} \to P_{\mathfrak{a}}]$. Since $\mathbb{f}_1$ is elementwise nilpotent on $\tilde{W}(\mathfrak{a})$, here $F_1 - 1$ is bijective. This proves (i) and (ii). Similarly, the endomorphism $F_1 - 1$ of $P_{\varepsilon B}$ is bijective; thus $Y_{S/\Sigma}(\mathscr{P})_\varepsilon(U \to T)$ is isomorphic to the inclusion $[IP_{\varepsilon B} \to P_{\varepsilon B}]$. Its cokernel is isomorphic to $P_{B/A} \otimes_{\mathbb{W}(B/A)} B = \mathbb{D}(\mathscr{P})_{B/A}$, which proves (iv). The proof of (v) is similar. Since the functor $(i_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J},\text{ind-ét}})_*$ is exact, (iii) follows from the definition of $G = \mathrm{BT}_R(\mathscr{P})$ in Proposition 5.4. □

Construction 6.5. We define $\beta(\mathscr{P})$. Let us write $\mathscr{P}^t = (P', Q', F', F'_1)$. We start with the tautological bilinear form

$$\alpha : \mathscr{P} \times \mathscr{P}^t \to \mathscr{D}_R.$$

This is a perfect bilinear form $\alpha : P \times P' \to \mathbb{W}(R)$ such that $\alpha(F_1 x, F'_1 x') = \mathbb{f}_1 \alpha(x, x'))$ for $x \in Q$ and $x' \in Q'$. Since for B/A as above the base change under $\mathscr{D}_{B/A} \to \mathscr{D}_A$ is compatible with duality, we have also a tautological bilinear form

$$\alpha_{B/A} : \mathscr{P}_{B/A} \times \mathscr{P}^t_{B/A} \to \mathscr{D}_{B/A}.$$

The restriction of $\alpha_{B[\varepsilon]/A}$ induces a bilinear form

$$\alpha_{\varepsilon B/A} : \mathscr{P}_{\varepsilon B/A} \times \mathscr{P}^t_{B[\varepsilon]/A} \to \mathscr{D}_{\varepsilon B/A},$$

which vanishes on $P_{\varepsilon B} \times P^t_{\varepsilon B}$ and thus induces a bilinear form

$$\bar{\alpha}_{\varepsilon B/A} : \mathscr{P}_{\varepsilon B/A} \times \mathscr{P}^t_{B/A} \to \mathscr{D}_{\varepsilon B/A}.$$

Explicitly this is a $\mathbb{W}(B/A)$-bilinear map

$$\bar{\alpha} : P_{\varepsilon B} \times P'_{B/A} \to \hat{W}(\varepsilon B)$$

such that $\bar{\alpha}(F_1 y, F'_1 y') = \mathbb{f}_1 \alpha(y, y')$ for $y \in P_{\varepsilon B}$ and $y' \in Q'_{B/A}$. Since $\bar{\alpha}$ comes from the bilinear map $\alpha_{B[\varepsilon]/A}$, it maps $IP_{\varepsilon B} \times P'_{B/A}$ into $v\hat{W}(\varepsilon B)$. As in [La1, Sec. 3] we use $\bar{\alpha}$ to define a homomorphism of complexes

$$\gamma : Y_{S/\Sigma}(\mathscr{P})_\varepsilon \otimes Z_{S/\Sigma}(\mathscr{P}^t) \to Y_{S/\Sigma}(\mathscr{D}_R)_\varepsilon$$

which is well-defined up to homotopy. It consists of a map in degree zero:

$$\gamma_0 : IP_{\varepsilon B} \otimes Q'_{B/A} \to v\hat{W}(\varepsilon B)$$

defined by $y \otimes y' \mapsto \bar{\alpha}(y, y')$, and a map in degree one:

$$\gamma_1 : (IP_{\varepsilon B} \otimes P'_{B/A}) \oplus (P_{\varepsilon B} \otimes Q'_{B/A}) \to \hat{W}(\varepsilon B)$$

defined by $(y \otimes x') \oplus (x \otimes y') \mapsto \bar{\alpha}(F_1 y, x') + \bar{\alpha}(x, y')$ or $\bar{\alpha}(y, x') + \bar{\alpha}(x, F'_1 y')$. It is easily verified that both choices of γ are homomorphisms of complexes that differ by a chain homotopy. We compose γ with the quasi-isomorphism

$Y_{S/\Sigma}(\mathscr{D}_R)_\varepsilon \to \mathcal{O}_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J}}[-1]$ from Lemma 6.4 (iv) and take the tensor product with $[\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}[1/p]]$ placed in degrees $-1, 0$. The resulting homomorphism

$$Y_{S/\Sigma}(\mathscr{P})_\varepsilon \otimes Z'_{S/\Sigma}(\mathscr{P}^t) \to \mathcal{O}_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J}}$$

is adjoint to an arrow

$$\gamma' : Y_{S/\Sigma}(\mathscr{P})_\varepsilon \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J}}(Z'_{S/\Sigma}(\mathscr{P}^t), \mathcal{O}_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J}}).$$

Here $Z'_{S/\Sigma}(\mathscr{P}^t)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\underline{G}^t$ by Lemma 6.4 (ii) and (iii). We compose γ' with $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}} \to R\,\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}$ and take the cohomology sheaf in degree one. By Lemma 6.4 (iv), this gives the desired homomorphism

$$\beta(\mathscr{P}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathscr{P}) \to \underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J},\text{ind-ét}}(\underline{G}^t, \mathcal{O}_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J}}) = \mathbb{D}(G^{t\vee}).$$

Construction 6.6. The definition of $\beta^0(\mathscr{P})$ is similar. The scalar extension of the bilinear form α under $A \to A[\varepsilon]$ is a bilinear form

$$\alpha_{A[\varepsilon]} : \mathscr{P}_{A[\varepsilon]} \times \mathscr{P}^t_{A[\varepsilon]} \to \mathscr{D}_{A[\varepsilon]}.$$

Its restriction

$$\alpha_{\varepsilon A} : \mathscr{P}_{\varepsilon A} \times \mathscr{P}^t_{A[\varepsilon]} \to \mathscr{D}_{\varepsilon A}$$

vanishes on $P_{\varepsilon A} \times P^t_{\varepsilon A}$ and thus induces a bilinear form

$$\bar\alpha_{\varepsilon A} : \mathscr{P}_{\varepsilon A} \times \mathscr{P}^t \to \mathscr{D}_{\varepsilon A}.$$

We use it to define a homomorphism of complexes

$$\bar\gamma : Z(\mathscr{P})_\varepsilon \otimes Z(\mathscr{P}^t) \to Z(\mathscr{D}_R)_\varepsilon$$

well-defined up to homotopy, such that the involved maps

$$\bar\gamma_0 : Q_{\varepsilon A} \otimes Q'_A \to v\hat{W}(\varepsilon A)$$

and

$$\bar\gamma_1 : (Q_{\varepsilon A} \otimes P'_A) \oplus (P_{\varepsilon A} \otimes Q'_A) \to \hat{W}(\varepsilon A)$$

are defined by the same formulas as γ_0 and γ_1. We compose $\bar\gamma$ with the quasi-isomorphism $Z(\mathscr{D})_\varepsilon \to \mathbb{G}_a[-1]$ from Lemma 6.4 (v) and take the tensor product with $[\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}[1/p]]$. This gives the adjoint of an arrow

$$\bar\gamma' : Z(\mathscr{P})_\varepsilon \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{S_\mathcal{J}}(Z'(\mathscr{P}^t), \mathbb{G}_a).$$

If we compose with $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}} \to R\,\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}$ and take H^1 we get a homomorphism

$$\beta^0(\mathscr{P}) : \underline{\mathrm{Lie}}(\mathscr{P}) \to \underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1_{S_\mathcal{J},\text{ind-ét}}(G^t, \mathbb{G}_a).$$

Lemma 6.7. *The hommorphism $\beta(\mathscr{P})$ and $\beta^0(\mathscr{P})$ are compatible.*

Proof. Let $i = i_{S/\Sigma,\mathcal{J},\text{ind-ét}}$. The construction of $\beta^0(\mathscr{P})$ is preserved under the functor i_* because i_* commutes with $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}$ and because for a nil-immersion $U \to T$ the ind-étale coverings of U and of T are the same. There are obvious homomorphisms of complexes:

$$Y_{S/\Sigma}(\mathscr{P})_\varepsilon \to i_*(Z(\mathscr{P})_\varepsilon),$$

which induces the projection $\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{P}) \to i_*(\mathrm{Lie}(\mathscr{P}))$ in the first cohomology;

$$Z_{S/\Sigma}(\mathscr{P}^t) \to i_*(Z(\mathscr{P}^t)) = \underline{Z}(\mathscr{P}^t),$$

which is a quasi-isomorphism by Lemma 6.4 (i); and

$$Y_{S/\Sigma}(\mathscr{D})_\varepsilon \to i_*(Z(\mathscr{D})_\varepsilon),$$

which induces the projection $\mathcal{O}_{S/\Sigma} \to \underline{\mathbb{G}}_a$ in the first cohomology. Since these homomorphisms are compatible with γ and $\bar{\gamma}$ the lemma follows easily. $\square$

Lemma 6.8. *The homomorphism $\beta^0(\mathscr{P})$ is an isomorphism.*

This is the Lie version of [La1, Theorem 3.4]. Before proving it let us recall some facts from Cartier theory. For an arbitrary $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-algebra R we consider functors on the category of all R-algebras A. By [Ca], formulated as in [Zi1, Proposition 117], the bilinear maps

$$W(R) \times \hat{W}(A) \xrightarrow{\text{mult}} \hat{W}(A) \xrightarrow{\text{hex}} \hat{\mathbb{G}}_m(A)$$

induce an isomorphism $W(R) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(\hat{W}, \mathbb{G}_m)$, where hex identifies $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m$ with the cokernel of $1 - v : \hat{W} \to \hat{W}$. By taking the kernel of the base change under $R[\varepsilon] \to R$ we get an additive version: The bilinear map

$$W(\varepsilon R) \times \hat{W}(A) \xrightarrow{\text{mult}} \hat{W}(\varepsilon A) \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \varepsilon A \cong A, \tag{6.8}$$

where εA is considered as an ideal in $A[\varepsilon]$ and where Σ is the sum of the components, induces an isomorphism $W(\varepsilon R) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(\hat{W}, \mathbb{G}_a)$. Next, $\operatorname{Ext}^1(\hat{W}, \mathbb{G}_a) = \operatorname{Ext}^1(\hat{W}, \hat{\mathbb{G}}_a)$ vanishes by [Zi1, Proposition 114]. It follows that the exact sequence of fppf sheaves

$$0 \to W[f] \to \hat{W} \xrightarrow{f} \hat{W} \to 0,$$

remains exact under $\operatorname{Hom}(\dots, \mathbb{G}_a)$. Here the dual of f is the endomorphism v of $W(\varepsilon R)$, and we get an isomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}(W[f], \mathbb{G}_a) \cong R$.

Proof of Lemma 6.8. Since $\beta^0(\mathscr{P})$ is a homomorphism of projective R-modules which is compatible with base change, we can assume that $R = k$ is an algebraically closed field. Then we can assume that $\mathscr{P}$ is F-nilpotent or $\mathscr{P} = \mathscr{D}_k$. Assume that $\mathscr{P} = \mathscr{D}_k$. For $A \in \mathscr{J}_k$ we have

$$Z(\mathscr{D}_k^t)(A) = [\mathbb{W}(A) \xrightarrow{f-1} \mathbb{W}(A)].$$

The inclusion $\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{W}(A)$ is a quasi-isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_p \to Z(\mathscr{D}_k^t)$, under which the homomorphism $\bar{\gamma}$ corresponds to the identity $Z(\mathscr{D}_k)_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \to Z(\mathscr{D}_k)_\varepsilon$. By functoriality with respect to the quasi-isomorphism $Z(\mathscr{D}_k)_\varepsilon \to \mathbb{G}_a[-1]$ we see that $\beta^0(\mathscr{D}_k)$ can be computed starting with the identity $\mathbb{G}_a[-1] \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{G}_a[-1]$ instead of $\bar{\gamma}$. The associated homomorphism

$$\beta^0(\mathscr{D}_k) : k = \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{G}_a) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{G}_a)$$

is ± the connecting homomorphism of $0 \to \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \to 0$, which is an isomorphism because $\operatorname{Ext}^i(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{G}_a)$ vanishes for all i.

Assume now that $\mathscr{P}$ is F-nilpotent, so that $\mathscr{P}^t$ is nilpotent. First, as explained in the proof of Proposition 5.4, the inclusion $\hat{Z}(\mathscr{P}^t) \to Z(\mathscr{P}^t)$ is a quasi-isomorhpism. Second, let $\tilde{Z}(\mathscr{P})_\varepsilon$ be the analogue of $Z(\mathscr{P})_\varepsilon$ with W instead of $\mathbb{W}$, thus

$$\tilde{Z}(\mathscr{P})_\varepsilon(\operatorname{Spec} A) = [\tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon A} \xrightarrow{F_1 - 1} \tilde{P}_{\varepsilon A}]$$

with $\tilde{P}_{\varepsilon A} = P \otimes_{W(k)} W(\varepsilon A)$ and $\tilde{P}_{\varepsilon A}/\tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon A} = P/Q \otimes_k \varepsilon A$. Since $F : P \to P$ is topologically nilpotent and since the $W(k)$-module $W(\varepsilon A)$ is annihilated by p, the endomorphism F_1 of $\tilde{P}_{\varepsilon A}$ is nilpotent, and Lemma 6.4 (v) extends to a quasi-isomorphism $\tilde{Z}(\mathscr{P})_\varepsilon \to \operatorname{Lie}(\mathscr{P})[-1]$. In view of these remarks, in the definition of $\beta^0(\mathscr{P})$ the homomorphism $\bar{\gamma}$ can be replaced by its analogue

$$\tilde{\gamma} : \tilde{Z}(\mathscr{P})_\varepsilon \otimes \hat{Z}(\mathscr{P}^t) \to Z(\mathscr{D}_k)_\varepsilon,$$

which exists because the product $W(\varepsilon A) \cdot \hat{W}(\mathcal{N}_A)$ lies in $\hat{W}(\varepsilon A)$. Since the components of $\hat{Z}(\mathscr{P}^t)$ are p-torsion sheaves, the tensor product with $[\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}[1/p]]$ is just a shift by one. Now the category $\mathcal{J}_k$ can be replaced by the category of all k-algebras A because all terms that appear in $\tilde{\gamma}$ are naturally functors on the larger category. The pairing (6.8) gives an isomorphism $u : P_{\varepsilon k} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_k(\hat{P}', \mathbb{G}_a)$. Let $\iota : Q_{\varepsilon k} \to P_{\varepsilon k}$ be the inclusion. We claim that there is the following commutative diagram with exact rows, where $g_1 = u \circ \iota$ and $g_0 = (F_1')^* \circ u$; this is analogous to [La1, (3.8)].

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & Q_{\varepsilon k} \arrow[r, "F_1 - 1"] \arrow[d, "g_1"] & P_{\varepsilon k} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "g_0"] & \operatorname{Lie}(\mathscr{P}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\beta^0(\mathscr{P})"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Hom}(\hat{P}', \mathbb{G}_a) \arrow[r, "(F_1'-1)^*"] & \operatorname{Hom}(\hat{Q}', \mathbb{G}_a) \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Ext}^1(G^t, \mathbb{G}_a) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

It is easy to see that the left hand square commutes. The right hand square commutes because the construction $\tilde{\gamma} \mapsto \beta^0(\mathscr{P})_k$ is functorial with respect to the obvious homomorphisms $\hat{Q}' \to \hat{Z}(\mathscr{P}^t)$ and $\tilde{Z}(\mathscr{P})_\varepsilon(k) \to P_{\varepsilon k}[-1]$. The exact lower line is induced by the exact sequence

$$0 \to \hat{Q}' \xrightarrow{F_1'-1} \hat{P}' \to G^t \to 0;$$

here $\operatorname{Ext}^1(\hat{P}', \mathbb{G}_a)$ vanishes because $\hat{P}'$ is isomorphic to a multiple of $\hat{W}$. The exactness of the upper line follows from Lemma 6.4 (v).

Now g_1 is injective with cokernel isomorphic to $P_{\varepsilon k}/Q_{\varepsilon k} \cong P/Q$. Choose a normal decomposition $P' = L' \oplus T'$. The k-modules P/Q and L'/pL' are the duals of each other. Since $\operatorname{Hom}(W[f], \mathbb{G}_a) = k$, the inclusion $L'[f] \to \hat{P}'$ induces an isomorphism $\operatorname{Coker}(g_1) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(L'[f], \mathbb{G}_a)$. On the other hand, the argument on [La1, page 255] shows that there is an exact sequence of fppf sheaves

$$0 \to L'[f] \to \hat{Q}' \xrightarrow{F_1'} \hat{P}' \to 0.$$

This sequence remains exact under $\operatorname{Hom}(\ldots, \mathbb{G}_a)$. Thus g_0 is injective with cokernel isomorphic to $\operatorname{Hom}(L'[f], \mathbb{G}_a)$. The composition

$$L'[f] \subseteq \hat{Q}' \xrightarrow{F_1'-1} \hat{P}'$$

is the negative of the inclusion because F_1' is f-linear. Thus the natural homomorphism $\operatorname{Coker}(g_1) \to \operatorname{Coker}(g_0)$ is bijective, which implies that $\beta^0(\mathscr{P})$ is an isomorphism. $\square$

Theorem 6.9. *Let R be an admissible local ring. For each Dieudonné display $\mathscr{P}$ over R and each divided power extension $(B \to A, \delta)$ in $\mathcal{J}_R$ there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{P})_{B/A} \cong \mathbb{D}(\mathrm{BT}_R(\mathscr{P}))_{B/A}, \tag{6.9}$$

functorial in $\mathscr{P}$ and in $(B \to A, \delta)$, which respects the Hodge filtration.

Again we use the covariant Dieudonné crystal; see footnote 1 on page 22.

Proof. Let $\Sigma = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}_p$ with the divided power ideal $I = (0)$. In the notation of Lemma 4.5, let $\Psi : (\mathscr{D}\text{-win}) \to (p\text{-div})$ be the functor $\mathscr{P} \mapsto \mathrm{BT}(\mathscr{P}^t)^\vee$. The homomorphism $\beta(\mathscr{P})$ defined in Construction 6.5 satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 4.5 by Lemmas 6.7 and 6.8. Thus $\beta(\mathscr{P})$ is an isomorphism $\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{P}) \cong \mathbb{D}(\mathrm{BT}(\mathscr{P}^t)^\vee)$. (Lemma 4.5 is stated only with respect to local Artin rings, but whether $\beta(\mathscr{P})$ is an isomorphism can be verified over the residue field.) One obtains the desired isomorphism (6.9) either by using the duality isomorphism $\mathrm{BT}(\mathscr{P}^t)^\vee \cong \mathrm{BT}(\mathscr{P})$ of Proposition 5.8, or by taking the dual of $\beta(\mathscr{P}^t)$, using that $\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{P}^t)$ is the dual of $\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{P})$ and that $\mathbb{D}(G^\vee)$ is the dual of $\mathbb{D}(G)$ by the crystalline duality theorem [BBM, 5.3]. □

Remark 6.10. The proof of Theorem 6.9 does not necessarily use Propositions 5.7 and 5.8. Thus we get another proof of the fact that BT_R is an equivalence of categories, as announced in section 5.3: By section 3.1 we can assume that R is a local Artin ring; then Theorem 6.9 reduces the assertion to the case where $R = k$ is a perfect field, which is well-known.

Remark 6.11. Using Lemma 4.6 one can verify that the isomorphisms of Theorem 6.9 and Corollary 3.21 coincide on their common domain of definition.

Corollary 6.12. *Let R be an admissible local ring with $p = 2$. Let $\mathscr{P}$ be a Dieudonné display over R, let $G = \mathrm{BT}_R(\mathscr{P})$ be the associated p-divisible group, and let $\mathscr{P}^+$ be the base change of $\mathscr{P}$ under $\mathscr{D}_R \to \mathscr{D}_R^+$. There is a natural isomorphism of $\mathscr{D}_R^+$-windows $\Phi_R^+(G) \cong \mathscr{P}^+$.*

Proof. We write $\mathscr{P} = (P, Q, F, F_1)$ and $\mathscr{P}^+ = (P^+, Q^+, F, F_1)$ and $\Phi^+(G) = \mathscr{P}' = (P', Q', F, F_1)$. The base change to $R_0 = R/pR$ is denoted by a subscript zero; all functors which appear are compatible with base change. We equip the ideal pR with the canonical divided powers. Proposition 5.7 gives a natural isomorphism α between $\mathscr{P}'_0$ and $\mathscr{P}_0 = \mathscr{P}_0^+$. By Corollary 2.11 we have to show that the induced isomorphism $\mathbb{D}(\alpha)_{R/R_0}$ between $\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{P}'_0)_{R/R_0}$ and $\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{P}_0)_{R/R_0} = \mathbb{D}^+(\mathscr{P}_0^+)_{R/R_0}$ (see Remark 2.12 for this equality) maps the Hodge filtration of $\mathscr{P}'$ to the common Hodge filtration of $\mathscr{P}$ and $\mathscr{P}^+$. If we restrict all crystals to divided power extensions which are compatible with the canonical divided powers of p, by Corollary 3.21 and Theorem 6.9 we have a chain of isomorphisms compatible with Hodge filtrations

$$\beta : \mathbb{D}^+(\mathscr{P}') \cong \mathbb{D}(G) \cong \mathbb{D}(\mathscr{P}) = \mathbb{D}^+(\mathscr{P}^+).$$

The analogous isomorphism $\beta_0 : \mathbb{D}^+(\mathscr{P}'_0) \cong \mathbb{D}^+(\mathscr{P}_0^+)$ maps the Hodge filtration of $\mathscr{P}'$ to that of $\mathscr{P}^+$. Thus suffices to show that $\mathbb{D}(\alpha)$ is a scalar multiple of β_0, i.e. that the automorphism $\beta_0^{-1} \circ \mathbb{D}(\alpha)$ is a given by a scalar. Since $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is free of rank one, this can be deduced from Lemma 4.6, using the finiteness results of section 3.1. □

7. Breuil-Kisin modules

We repeat the main construction of [La2] without restriction on p. Let R be a complete regular local ring with perfect residue field k of characteristic

p and with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_R$. Choose a representation $R = \mathfrak{S}/E\mathfrak{S}$ with

$$\mathfrak{S} = W(k)[[x_1, \ldots, x_r]]$$

such that E is a power series with constant term p. Let $J \subset \mathfrak{S}$ be the ideal generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_r$. Choose a ring endomorphism $\sigma : \mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{S}$ which lifts the Frobenius of $\mathfrak{S}/p\mathfrak{S}$ such that $\sigma(J) \subseteq J$. Let $\sigma_1 : E\mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{S}$ be defined by $\sigma_1(Ex) = \sigma(x)$ for $x \in \mathfrak{S}$. These data define a frame

$$\mathscr{B} = (\mathfrak{S}, E\mathfrak{S}, R, \sigma, \sigma_1).$$

For each integer $a \geq 1$ let $R_a = R/\mathfrak{m}_R^a$ and $\mathfrak{S}_a = \mathfrak{S}/J^a$. We have frames

$$\mathscr{B}_a = (\mathfrak{S}_a, E\mathfrak{S}_a, R_a, \sigma, \sigma_1)$$

where σ and σ_1 are induced by the corresponding operators of $\mathscr{B}$.

The frames $\mathscr{B}$ and $\mathscr{B}_a$ are related with the Witt and Dieudonné frames of R and of R_a as follows. Let $\delta : \mathfrak{S} \to W(\mathfrak{S})$ be the unique lift of the identity of $\mathfrak{S}$ such that $f\delta = \delta\sigma$, or equivalently $w_n\delta = \sigma^n$ for $n \geq 0$; see [Bou, IX.1, proposition 2]. The composition of δ with the projection $W(\mathfrak{S}) \to W(R)$ is a ring homomorphism

$$\varkappa : \mathfrak{S} \to W(R)$$

which lifts the projection $\mathfrak{S} \to R$ such that $f\varkappa = \varkappa\sigma$. The same construction gives compatible homomorphisms

$$\varkappa_a : \mathfrak{S}_a \to W(R_a)$$

for $a \geq 1$, which induce $\varkappa$ in the projective limit. Since the element $\varkappa(E)$ maps to zero in R it lies in the image of $v : W(R) \to W(R)$. Let

$$u = v^{-1}(\varkappa(E)) = f_1(\varkappa(E)).$$

We will denote the image of u in $W(R_a)$ also by u.

Lemma 7.1. *The element $u \in W(R)$ is a unit. The homomorphisms $\varkappa$ and $\varkappa_a$ are u-homomorphisms of frames $\varkappa : \mathscr{B} \to \mathscr{W}_R$ and $\varkappa_a : \mathscr{B}_a \to \mathscr{W}_{R_a}$.*

Proof. This follows from [La2, Proposition 6.1]. One can also argue directly: Since the projection $W(R) \to W(k)$ is a local homomorphism, in order to show that u is a unit we can work with $\varkappa_1$, i.e. consider the case where $R = k$ and $\mathfrak{S} = W(k)$. Then $E = p$ and $u = 1$. In order that $\varkappa$ and $\varkappa_a$ are u-homomorphisms of frames we need that $f_1\varkappa = u \cdot \varkappa\sigma_1$. For $x \in \mathfrak{S}$ we calculate $f_1(\varkappa(Ex)) = f_1(\varkappa(E)\varkappa(x)) = f_1(\varkappa(E)) \cdot f(\varkappa(x)) = u \cdot \varkappa(\sigma(x)) = u \cdot \varkappa(\sigma_1(Ex))$ as required. ☐

Let $\bar\sigma$ be the semi-linear endomorphism of the free $W(k)$-module J/J^2 induced by σ. Since σ induces the Frobenius modulo p, $\bar\sigma$ is divisible by p.

Proposition 7.2. *The following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) *The image of $\varkappa : \mathfrak{S} \to W(R)$ lies in $\mathbb{W}(R)$.*
(ii) *The image of $\delta : \mathfrak{S} \to W(\mathfrak{S})$ lies in $\mathbb{W}(\mathfrak{S})$.*
(iii) *The endomorphism $p^{-1}\bar\sigma$ of J/J^2 is nilpotent modulo p.*

Clearly (ii) implies (i). In the special case $\sigma(x_i) = x_i^p$ it is easy to see that (ii) holds since $\delta(x_i) = [x_i]$; in this case $\bar\sigma$ is zero.

Proof of Proposition 7.2. For $p \geq 3$ the equivalence between (i) and (iii) is [La2, Proposition 9.1]; its proof shows that (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). The proof also applies for $p = 2$ if [La2, Lemma 9.2] is replaced by the following Lemma 7.3. $\square$

Lemma 7.3. *For $x \in \mathfrak{S}$ let $\tau(x) = (\sigma(x) - x^p)/p$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{S}$. For $n \geq 0$ the map τ preserves $\mathfrak{m}^n J$ and induces a σ-linear endomorphism $gr_n(\tau)$ of the k-module $gr_n(J) = \mathfrak{m}^n J/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}J$. The endomorphism $gr_0(\tau)$ is equal to $p^{-1}\bar{\sigma}$ modulo p. For $n \geq 1$ there is a surjective k-linear map*

$$\pi_n : gr_n(J) \to gr_0(J)$$

such that $gr_0(\tau)\pi_n = \pi_n gr_n(\tau)$ and such that $gr_n(\tau)$ vanishes on $\operatorname{Ker}(\pi_n)$. In particular, $p^{-1}\bar{\sigma}$ is nilpotent modulo p if and only if $gr_0(\tau)$ is nilpotent, which implies that $gr_n(\tau)$ is nilpotent for each n.

Proof. We have $\sigma(J) \subseteq J^p + pJ \subseteq \mathfrak{m}J$ and thus $\sigma(\mathfrak{m}^n J) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}J$. It follows that $p\tau(\mathfrak{m}^n J) \subseteq p\mathfrak{S} \cap \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}J = p\mathfrak{m}^n J$ and $\tau(\mathfrak{m}^n J) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n J$. For $x, y \in \mathfrak{m}^n J$ the element $\tau(x+y) - \tau(x) - \tau(y)$ is a multiple of xy and thus lies in $\mathfrak{m}^{2n+1}J$. Hence τ induces an additive endomorphism $gr_n(\tau)$ of $gr_n(J)$. It is σ-linear because for $a \in \mathfrak{S}$ and $x \in \mathfrak{m}^n J$ the element $\tau(ax) - \sigma(a)\tau(x) = \tau(a)x^p$ lies in $\mathfrak{m}^{pn}J^p \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}J$. Let us write $\sigma(x_i) = x_i^p + py_i$ with $y_i \in J$. We have $\tau(x_i) = y_i$ and $p^{-1}\bar{\sigma}(x_i) \equiv y_i$ modulo J^2. Thus $gr_0(\tau)$ coincides with $p^{-1}\bar{\sigma}$ modulo p.

For each $n \geq 0$, a basis of $gr_n(J)$ is given by all elements $p^b \underline{x}^{\underline{c}}$ with $\underline{c} \in \mathbb{N}^r$ and $1 \leq |\underline{c}| \leq n+1$ and $b + |\underline{c}| = n+1$. Assume that $n \geq 1$ and define π_n to be the k-linear map with $\pi_n(p^n x_i) = x_i$ and $\pi_n(p^b \underline{x}^{\underline{c}}) = 0$ if $|\underline{c}| > 1$. Then $gr_n(\tau)$ vanishes on $\operatorname{Ker}(\pi_n)$ because $\sigma(J) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}J$, thus $\sigma(J^2) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2 J^2$, and because for $x \in \mathfrak{m}^n J$ we have $x^p \in \mathfrak{m}^{n+2}J$. The relation $gr_0(\tau)\pi_n = \pi_n gr_n(\tau)$ holds since $\tau(p^n x_i) \equiv p^{n-1}x_i^p + p^n y_i$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}(J)$. The last assertion of the lemma is immediate. $\square$

Lemma 7.4. *If the equivalent conditions of Proposition 7.2 hold, $\varkappa$ and $\varkappa_a$ are $\mathbb{u}$-homomorphisms of frames*

$$\varkappa : \mathscr{B} \to \mathscr{D}_R, \qquad \varkappa_a : \mathscr{B}_a \to \mathscr{D}_{R_a},$$

where the unit $\mathbb{u} \in \mathbb{W}(R)$ is given by

$$\mathbb{u} = \mathbb{v}^{-1}(\varkappa(E)) = \mathbb{f}_1(\varkappa(E)).$$

In $W(R)$ we have $\mathbb{u} = u$ if p is odd and $\mathbb{u} = (v^{-1}(p - [p]))^{-1}u$ if $p = 2$.

Proof. The proof of Lemma 7.1 with f_1 replaced by $\mathbb{f}_1$ shows that $\mathbb{u}$ is a unit of $\mathbb{W}(R)$ and that $\varkappa$ and $\varkappa_a$ are $\mathbb{u}$-homomorphisms of frames as indicated. The relation between $\mathbb{u}$ and u follows from the fact that $\mathscr{D}_R \to \mathscr{W}_R$ is a u_0-homomorphism where $u_0 = 1$ if p is odd and $v(u_0) = p - [p]$ if $p = 2$. $\square$

Theorem 7.5. *If the equivalent conditions of Proposition 7.2 hold, the frame homomorphisms $\varkappa : \mathscr{B} \to \mathscr{D}_R$ and $\varkappa_a : \mathscr{B}_a \to \mathscr{D}_{R_a}$ are crystalline.*

Proof. The proof for odd p in [La2, Theorem 9.3] works almost literally for $p = 2$. Let us repeat the essential parts of the argument. Fix an integer

$a \geq 1$. One can define a factorisation of the projection $\mathscr{B}_{a+1} \to \mathscr{B}_a$ into strict frame homomorphisms

$$\mathscr{B}_{a+1} \xrightarrow{\iota} \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{a+1} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathscr{B}_a \tag{7.1}$$

such that $\tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{a+1} = (\mathfrak{S}_{a+1}, \tilde{I}, R_a, \sigma, \tilde{\sigma}_1)$. This determines $\tilde{I}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_1$ uniquely: Let $\bar{J}^a = J^a/J^{a+1}$. We have $\tilde{I} = E\mathfrak{S}_{a+1} + \bar{J}^a$ and $E\mathfrak{S}_{a+1} \cap \bar{J}^a = p\bar{J}^a$. The endomorphism $\bar{\sigma}$ of $\bar{J}^a$ induced by σ is divisible by p^a, and the operator $\tilde{\sigma}_1 : \tilde{I} \to \mathfrak{S}_{a+1}$ is the unique extension of σ_1 such that $\tilde{\sigma}_1(x) = p^{-1}\bar{\sigma}(x)$ for $x \in \bar{J}^a$. On the other hand, we consider the factorisation

$$\mathscr{D}_{R_{a+1}} \xrightarrow{\iota'} \mathscr{D}_{R_{a+1}/R_a} \xrightarrow{\pi'} \mathscr{D}_{R_a} \tag{7.2}$$

with respect to the trivial divided powers on the kernel $\mathfrak{m}_R^a/\mathfrak{m}_R^{a+1}$. Then $\varkappa_{a+1}$ is a $\mathfrak{u}$-homomorphism of frames $\tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{a+1} \to \mathscr{D}_{R_{a+1}/R_a}$. Here the only condition to be verified is that for $x \in \bar{J}^a$ we have

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}_1(\varkappa_{a+1}(x)) = \mathfrak{u} \cdot \varkappa_{a+1}(\tilde{\sigma}_1(x)) \tag{7.3}$$

in the k-vector space $\hat{W}(\mathfrak{m}_R^a/\mathfrak{m}_R^{a+1})$. On this space $\mathfrak{u}$ acts as the identity. Let $y = (y_0, y_1, \ldots)$ in $W(\bar{J}^a)$ be defined by $y_n = \tilde{\sigma}_1^n(x)$. Then $\delta(x) = y$ because the Witt polynomials give $w_n(y) = p^n\tilde{\sigma}_1^n(x) = \sigma^n(x) = w_n(\delta(x))$ as required. Thus $\varkappa_{a+1}(x)$ is the reduction of y. Since $\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}_1$ acts on $\hat{W}(\mathfrak{m}_R^a/\mathfrak{m}_R^{a+1})$ by a shift to the left, the relation (7.3) follows. We obtain compatible $\mathfrak{u}$-homomorphisms of frames $\varkappa_* : (7.1) \to (7.2)$. The homomorphisms π and π' are crystalline; see the proof of [La2, Theorem 9.3]. Lifts of windows under ι and under ι' are both classified by lifts of the Hodge filtration from R_a to R_{a+1} in a compatible way. Thus if $\varkappa_a$ is crystalline then so is $\varkappa_{a+1}$, and Theorem 7.5 follows by induction, using that $\varkappa_1$ is an isomorphism. □

Following the [VZ] terminology, a Breuil window relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$ is a pair (Q, ϕ) where Q is a free $\mathfrak{S}$-module of finite rank and where $\phi : Q \to Q^{(\sigma)}$ is an $\mathfrak{S}$-linear map with cokernel annihilated by E. The category of $\mathscr{B}$-windows is equivalent to the category of Breuil windows relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$ by the assignment $(P, Q, F, F_1) \mapsto (Q, \phi)$, where ϕ is the composition of the inclusion $Q \to P$ with the inverse of $F_1^\sharp : Q^{(\sigma)} \cong P$; see [La2, Lemma 8.2].

Corollary 7.6. *If the equivalent conditions of Proposition 7.2 hold, there is an equivalence of exact categories between p-divisible groups over R and Breuil windows relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$.*

Proof. This is analogous to [La2, Corollary 8.3], using Proposition 5.7. □

Following [VZ] again, a Breuil module relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$ is a triple (M, ϕ, ψ) where M is a finitely generated $\mathfrak{S}$-module annihilated by a power of p and of projective dimension at most one, and where $\phi : M \to M^{(\sigma)}$ and $\psi : M^{(\sigma)} \to M$ are $\mathfrak{S}$-linear maps with $\phi\psi = E$ and $\psi\phi = E$. If R has characteristic zero, such triples are equivalent to pairs (M, ϕ) such that the cokernel of ϕ is annihilated by p; see [La2, Lemma 8.6].

Corollary 7.7. *If the equivalent conditions of Proposition 7.2 hold, there is an equivalence of exact categories between commutative finite flat group schemes of p-power order over R and Breuil modules relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$.*

Proof. This is analogous to [La2, Theorem 8.5]. □

Example 7.8. Let $R = W(k)$ and $\mathfrak{S} = W(k)[[t]]$ with $\sigma(t) = t^p$. Define $\mathfrak{S} \to R$ by $t \mapsto p$, thus $E = p - t$. We have $\varkappa(E) = p - [p]$ and thus $u = v^{-1}(p - [p])$. Assume that $p = 2$. Then $u = u_0$, and $\mathscr{B} \to \mathscr{D}_R$ is a strict frame homomorphism. This example has motivated the definition of Dieudonné displays for $p = 2$.

8. Breuil-Kisin modules and crystals

We keep the notation of section 7 and assume that the equivalent conditions of Proposition 7.2 hold. Assume that R has characteristic zero. Let S be the p-adic completion of the divided power envelope of the ideal $E\mathfrak{S} \subset \mathfrak{S}$ and let I be the kernel of $S \to R$. Since $\sigma : \mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{S}$ preserves the ideal (E, p) it extends to $\sigma : S \to S$. It is easy to see that $\sigma(I) \subseteq pS$; thus $\sigma : S \to S$ is a Frobenius lift again.

Proposition 8.1. *Let (Q, ϕ) be a Breuil window relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$ and let G be the associated p-divisible group over R; see Corollary 7.6. There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{D}(G)_{S/R} \cong S \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} Q^{(\sigma)}$$

such that the Hodge filtration of $\mathbb{D}(G)_{S/R}$ corresponds to the submodule generated by $\phi(Q) + IQ^{(\sigma)}$, and the Frobenius of $\mathbb{D}(G)_{S/R}$ corresponds to the σ-linear endomorphism of $Q^{(\sigma)}$ defined by $x \mapsto 1 \otimes \phi^{-1}(Ex)$.

In Kisin's theory (when R is one-dimensional) the analogous result is an immediate by-product. Here Proposition 8.1 will be deduced from Theorem 6.9 via Corollary 6.12, together with a variant of [Zi3].

To begin with, the element $u = \sigma(E)/p$ of S is a unit. Indeed, since the arrow $\mathfrak{S} \to R$ is mapped surjectively onto $W(k) \to k$, we have a local homomorphism $S \to W(k)$, which maps u to 1. Consider the frame

$$\mathscr{S} = (S, I, R, \sigma, \sigma_1)$$

with $\sigma_1(x) = \sigma(x)/p$ for $x \in I$. The inclusion $\iota : \mathfrak{S} \to S$ is a u-homomorphism of frames $\iota : \mathscr{B} \to \mathscr{S}$. If $p = 2$ we have defined rings $\mathbb{W}(R) \subset \mathbb{W}^+(R)$; if p is odd let us write $\mathbb{W}^+(R) = \mathbb{W}(R)$. Then $\mathbb{W}^+(R) \to R$ is a divided power extension of p-adic rings, so the composition $\mathfrak{S} \xrightarrow{\varkappa} \mathbb{W}(R) \to \mathbb{W}^+(R)$ extends to a ring homomorphism $\varkappa_S : S \to \mathbb{W}^+(R)$, which is a strict frame homomorphism $\varkappa_S : \mathscr{S} \to \mathscr{D}_R^+$. Thus we have a commutative square of frames:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{B} \arrow[r, "\iota"] \arrow[d, "\varkappa"'] & \mathscr{S} \arrow[d, "\varkappa_S"] \\
\mathscr{D}_R \arrow[r] & \mathscr{D}_R^+
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $\varkappa$ is crystalline by Theorem 7.5.

Theorem 8.2. *The frame homomorphism $\varkappa_S$ is crystalline.*

This is a variant of the main result of [Zi3]. It is easy to see that S is an admissible topological ring in the sense of Definition 1.2 if and only if $r = 1$, i.e. if R is a discrete valuation ring. In that case, the methods of [Zi3] apply directly, but additional effort is needed to prove Theorem 8.2 in general. The proof is postponed to the next section. In fact, we will show more: For $m \geq 0$ let $S_{<m>}$ be the closure of the $\mathfrak{S}$-algebra in S generated by $E^i/i!$ for $i \leq p^m$. For $m \geq 1$ this ring naturally defines a sub-frame $\mathscr{S}_{<m>}$ of $\mathscr{S}$, and the frame homomorphisms $\mathscr{S}_{<m>} \to \mathscr{S} \to \mathscr{D}_R^+$ are crystalline.

Proof of Proposition 8.1. Let $\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{B}} = (P, Q, F, F_1)$ be the $\mathscr{B}$-window associated to (Q, ϕ); in particular $P = Q^{(\sigma)}$, the inclusion map $Q \to P$ is ϕ, and $F : P \to P$ corresponds to the σ-linear endomorphism of $Q^{(\sigma)}$ defined by $x \mapsto 1 \otimes \phi^{-1}(Ex)$. We write $\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{S}} = \iota_*(\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{B}})$ and $\mathscr{P}^+ = \varkappa_{S*}(\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{S}})$.

The frames $\mathscr{S}$ and $\mathscr{D}_R^+$ both satisfy the hypotheses of the beginning of section 3.3. Thus Construction 3.14 and Proposition 3.15 applied to $\mathbb{D}(G)$ give an $\mathscr{S}$-window $\mathscr{P}'_{\mathscr{S}}$ and a $\mathscr{D}_R^+$-window $\mathscr{P}^{+\prime}$ with $\mathscr{P}^{+\prime} = \varkappa_{S*}\mathscr{P}'_{\mathscr{S}}$. By the definition of Φ_R^+ in Proposition 3.19 we have $\mathscr{P}^{+\prime} = \Phi_R^+(G)$, and by Corollary 6.12 the latter is isomorphic to $\mathscr{P}^+$. Since the base change functor $\varkappa_{S*}$ is fully faithful by Theorem 8.2, the isomorphism $\mathscr{P}^{+\prime} \cong \mathscr{P}^+$ descends to an isomorphism $\mathscr{P}'_{\mathscr{S}} \cong \mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{S}}$. The associated isomorphism of filtered F-V-modules gives the proposition. □

9. Proof of Theorem 8.2

We keep the notation of sections 7 and 8. Let us begin with a closer look on the p-adic ring S and its subrings $S_{<m>}$ defined above.

Proposition 9.1. *For $m \geq 1$ there is a surjective ring homomorphism $\mathfrak{S}[[t_1, \ldots, t_m]] \to S_{<m>}$ defined by $t_i \mapsto E^{p^i}/p^i!$.*

In particular, $S_{<m>}$ is a noetherian complete local ring. Proposition 9.1 is a consequence of the following well-known fact.

Lemma 9.2. *Let A be a noetherian complete local ring with a descending sequence of ideals $A \supseteq \mathfrak{a}_0 \supseteq \mathfrak{a}_1 \supseteq \cdots$. Then $A \to \varprojlim_i A/\mathfrak{a}_i$ is surjective.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of A. For each r, the images of $\mathfrak{a}_i \to A/\mathfrak{m}^r$ stabilise for $i \to \infty$ to an ideal $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}_r \subset A/\mathfrak{m}^r$. We have

$$\varprojlim_i A/\mathfrak{a}_i = \varprojlim_{i,r} A/(\mathfrak{a}_i + \mathfrak{m}^r) = \varprojlim_r (A/\mathfrak{m}^r)/\bar{\mathfrak{a}}_r.$$

Since the ideals $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}_r$ form a surjective system, taking the limit over r of the exact sequences $0 \to \bar{\mathfrak{a}}_r \to A/\mathfrak{m}^r \to (A/\mathfrak{m}^r)/\bar{\mathfrak{a}}_r \to 0$ proves the lemma. □

Proof of Proposition 9.1. Since the image of $E^{p^i}/p^i!$ in S/p^nS is nilpotent, there is a well-defined homomorphism $\pi_{m,n} : \mathfrak{S}[[t_1, \ldots, t_m]] \to S/p^nS$ with $t_i \mapsto E^{p^i}/p^i!$. By definition, $S_{<m>}$ is the projective limit over n of the image of $\pi_{n,m}$. The proposition follows by Lemma 9.2. □

Let $K = W(k) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Q}} = K[[x_1, \ldots, x_r]]$. Since $\sigma : \mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{S}$ preserves the ideal $J = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)$ it extends to a homomorphism $\sigma : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. For $r = 1$ it is easy to describe S and $S_{<m>}$ as explicit subrings of $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ since instead of the divided powers of E one can take the divided powers of x_1^e where e is defined by $pR = \mathfrak{m}_R^e$. For $r \geq 2$ the situation is more complicated.

Proposition 9.3. *The natural embedding $\mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{S}_\mathbb{Q}$ extends to an injective homomorphism $S \to \mathfrak{S}_\mathbb{Q}$ that commutes with σ.*

Thus $S_{<m>}$ is the image of $\mathfrak{S}[[t_1, \ldots, t_m]] \to \mathfrak{S}_\mathbb{Q}$ as in Proposition 9.1.

Proof of Proposition 9.3. Recall that $J = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)$ as an ideal of $\mathfrak{S}$. Choose $E' \in J^e$ with $E - E' \in p\mathfrak{S}$ such that e is maximal, thus $p \in \mathfrak{m}_R^e \backslash \mathfrak{m}_R^{e+1}$. Let us write $gr^i_{E'}(\mathfrak{S}) = E'^i\mathfrak{S}/E'^{i+1}\mathfrak{S}$ etc.

Lemma 9.4. *The map of graded rings $gr_{E'}(\mathfrak{S}) \to gr_{E'}(\mathfrak{S}_\mathbb{Q})$ is injective.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $\mathfrak{S}/E'\mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{S}_\mathbb{Q}/E'\mathfrak{S}_\mathbb{Q}$ is injective. The choice of E' implies that the image of E' in the regular local rings $\mathfrak{S}/p\mathfrak{S}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_\mathbb{Q}$ lies in the same power of the maximal ideals. Therefore the k-dimension of $\mathfrak{S}/(p\mathfrak{S} + E'\mathfrak{S} + J^n)$ is equal to the K-dimension of $\mathfrak{S}_\mathbb{Q}/(E'\mathfrak{S}_Q + J^n\mathfrak{S}_\mathbb{Q})$. Since the last module is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}/(E'\mathfrak{S} + J^n) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ it follows that $\mathfrak{S}/(E'\mathfrak{S} + J^n)$ is a free $W(k)$-module and injects into $\mathfrak{S}_\mathbb{Q}/(E'\mathfrak{S}_Q + J^n\mathfrak{S}_\mathbb{Q})$. Since $\mathfrak{S}/E'\mathfrak{S}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_\mathbb{Q}/E'\mathfrak{S}_\mathbb{Q}$ are J-adic the lemma follows. □

Let $S_0 \subset \mathfrak{S}_\mathbb{Q}$ be the $\mathfrak{S}$-algebra generated by $E'^i/i!$ for $i \geq 1$, or equivalently by $E^i/i!$ for $i \geq 1$, so S is the p-adic completion of S_0. Let $S_{0,n}$ be the image of $S_0 \to \mathfrak{S}_\mathbb{Q}/E'^n\mathfrak{S}_\mathbb{Q}$ and let $\tilde{S} = \varprojlim_n S_{0,n}$. Each $S_{0,n}$ is a noetherian complete local ring with residue field k and thus a p-adic ring. Since $S_{0,n}$ has no p-torsion it follows that $\tilde{S}$ is p-adic. We obtain a homomorphism $S \to \tilde{S} \subset \mathfrak{S}_\mathbb{Q}$ which extends $S_0 \subset \tilde{S} \subset \mathfrak{S}_\mathbb{Q}$.

Lemma 9.5. *We have $S_0 \cap p\tilde{S} = pS_0$ inside $\tilde{S}$.*

Proof. Let $x \in S_0 \cap p\tilde{S}$ be given. We have to show that x lies in pS_0. Assume that $x \neq 0$ and choose an expression $(\star)$ $x = \sum_{i=0}^s a_iE'^{n_i}/n_i!$ with $a_i \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that $n_0 < \ldots < n_s$. We use induction on $n_s - n_0$.

Suppose E' divides a_0 in $\mathfrak{S}$. Then $a_0E'^{n_0}/n_0! = a_0'E'^{n_0'}/(n_0')!$ with $n_0' = n_0 + 1$ and $a_0' = n_0'a_0/E'$. If $s > 0$ this allows to find a new expression of x of the type $(\star)$ with smaller value of $n_s - n_0$, and we are done by induction. If $s = 0$ we replace the expression $(\star)$ by $x = a_0'E'^{n_0'}/n_0'!$; call this a modification of the first type.

Suppose E' does not divide a_0 in $\mathfrak{S}$. Lemma 9.4 implies that the image of x in $gr^{n_0}_{E'}(\mathfrak{S}_\mathbb{Q})$ is non-zero. In S_{0,n_0+1} we have $x = py$. Choose an expression $y = \sum_{i=\ell}^{n_0} c_iE'^i/i!$ with $c_i \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that ℓ is maximal. Then E' does not divide c_ℓ in $\mathfrak{S}$, and Lemma 9.4 implies that y has non-zero image in $gr^\ell_{E'}(\mathfrak{S}_\mathbb{Q})$. Thus $\ell = n_0$. Using Lemma 9.4 again, it follows that the image of a_0 in $\mathfrak{S}/E'\mathfrak{S}$ is divisible by p. Let $a_0 = pb_0 + b_1E'$ with $b_i \in \mathfrak{S}$ and let $x' = x - pb_0E'^{n_0}/n_0!$. Then $x - x' \in pS_0$; thus $x' \in S_0 \cap p\tilde{S}$, and we have to show that $x' \in pS_0$. If $s > 0$ we get an expression of x' of the type $(\star)$ with smaller value of $n_s - n_0$, and we are done by induction. If $s = 0$ we replace x by x' and take for $(\star)$ the expression $x' = a_0'E'^{n_0'}/n_0'!$ with $n_0' = n_0 + 1$ and $a_0' = n_0'b_1$; call this a modification of the second type.

If $s > 0$ the inductive step is already finished. So we may assume that $s = 0$. We apply successively modifications of the first or second type depending on whether E' divides a_0. After at most p steps, the new value of a_0 becomes divisible by p, and thus x lies in pS_0. □

Since $S_0/p^nS_0 \to \tilde{S}/p^n\tilde{S}$ is injective by Lemma 9.5, the projective limit of these maps $S \to \tilde{S}$ is injective, and so $S \to \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is injective. In order that this map commutes with σ it suffices to show that $S \to \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}/J^n\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ commutes with σ for each n; this is true since $S_0 \to \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}/J^n\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ commutes with σ, and the image of this map is p-adic. Proposition 9.3 is proved. $\square$

Let us now consider the frames.

Lemma 9.6. *For $m \geq 1$ we have a sub-frame of $\mathscr{S} = (S, I, R, \sigma, \sigma_1)$,*

$$\mathscr{S}_{<m>} = (S_{<m>}, I_{<m>}, R, \sigma, \sigma_1).$$

Proof. Necessarily $I_{<m>} = I \cap S_{<m>}$. We have to show that $\sigma : S \to S$ stabilises $S_{<m>}$ and that $\sigma_1 = p^{-1}\sigma : I \to S$ maps $I_{<m>}$ into $S_{<m>}$. We will show that $\sigma(S)$ and $\sigma_1(I)$ are contained in $S_{<1>}$. Namely, we have $\sigma(E) = px$ with $x \in \mathfrak{S}[E^p/p]$. Thus $\sigma_1(E^i/i!) = (p \cdot i!)^{-1}(px)^i$ lies in $\mathfrak{S}[E^p/p]$, since $1 + v_p(i!) \leq i$ for $i \geq 1$. Since I/p^nI is the kernel of $S/p^nS \to R/p^nR$, it is generated as an $\mathfrak{S}$-module by the elements $E^i/i!$ for $i \geq 1$. Thus the image of the map $I/p^{n+1}I \to S/p^nS$ induced by σ_1 lies in the image of $S_{<1>}$, and it follows that $\sigma_1(I) \subseteq S_{<1>}$. Since $S = \mathfrak{S} + I$ we get $\sigma(S) \subseteq S_{<1>}$. $\square$

Proposition 9.7. *For $m \geq 1$ the inclusion $\mathscr{S}_{<m>} \to \mathscr{S}$ is crystalline.*

Proof. This is a formal consequence of the relations $\sigma(S) \subseteq S_{<m>}$ and $\sigma_1(I) \subseteq S_{<m>}$ verified in the proof of Lemma 9.6.

Indeed, let $\mathscr{P} = (P, Q, F, F_1)$ be an $\mathscr{S}$-window. Choose a normal decomposition $P = L \oplus T$, and let $\Psi : L \oplus T \to P$ be the σ-linear isomorphism defined by F_1 on L and by F on T. Then $P_{<m>} := S_{<m>}\Psi(L \oplus T)$ is a free $S_{<m>}$-module with $S \otimes_{S_{<m>}} P_{<m>} = P$. Moreover $F_1(Q) \subseteq P_{<m>}$ and $F(P) \subseteq P_{<m>}$. We set $Q_{<m>} = Q \cap P_{<m>}$. Let $P_{<m>} = L_{<m>} \oplus T_{<m>}$ be a normal decomposition and let $\Psi_{<m>} : L_{<m>} \oplus T_{<m>} \to P_{<m>}$ be the σ-linear map defined by F_1 on $L_{<m>}$ and by F on $T_{<m>}$. In order that the quadruple $\mathscr{P}_{<m>} = (P_{<m>}, Q_{<m>}, F, F_1)$ is an $\mathscr{S}_{<m>}$-window with base change $\mathscr{P}$ we need that the determinant of $\Psi_{<m>}$ is invertible. But the determinant of $\Psi_{<m>}$ becomes invertible in S because $\mathscr{P}$ is a window; moreover $S_{<m>} \to S$ is a local homomorphism. Thus the base change functor from $\mathscr{S}_{<m>}$-windows to $\mathscr{S}$-windows is essentially surjective.

In order that the functor is fully faithful it suffices to show that it induces a bijection $\operatorname{End}(\mathscr{P}_{<m>}) \to \operatorname{End}(\mathscr{P})$. Clearly the map is injective. We have to show that every $h \in \operatorname{End}(\mathscr{P})$ stabilises $P_{<m>}$. But $h(F_1(Q)) = F_1(h(Q)) \subseteq F_1(Q) \subseteq P_{<m>}$, and $F_1(Q)$ generates $P_{<m>}$ as an $S_{<m>}$-module. This proves the proposition. $\square$

Proposition 9.8. *For $m \geq 1$ the composition $\mathscr{S}_{<m>} \to \mathscr{S} \xrightarrow{\varkappa_S} \mathscr{D}_R^+$ is crystalline.*

This is the main step in the proof of Theorem 8.2. The proof of Proposition 9.7 is a variant of the proof of Theorem 7.5.

Proof. We choose e such that $p \in \mathfrak{m}_R^e \setminus \mathfrak{m}_R^{e+1}$ and consider the index set $N = \{1, 2, \ldots\} \cup \{e^+\}$, ordered by the natural order of $\mathbb{Z}$ and $e < e^+ < e+1$. For $n \in N$ let $n^+ \in N$ be its successor. Let $\mathfrak{m}_R^{e^+} = \mathfrak{m}_R^{e+1} + pR$. For $n \in N$ let $R_n = R/\mathfrak{m}_R^n$. We equip the ideal $\mathfrak{m}_R^n/\mathfrak{m}_R^{n^+}$ of R_{n^+} with the trivial divided powers if $n \neq e^+$ and with the canonical divided powers of p if $n = e^+$; these are again trivial if p is odd. In all cases the divided powers are compatible with the canonical divided powers of p, and we obtain frames

$$\mathscr{D}^+_{R_{n^+}/R_n} = (\mathbb{W}^+(R_{n^+}), \mathbb{I}^+_{R_{n^+}/R_n}, R_n, f, \tilde{f}_1).$$

Let T_n be the image of $S_{<m>} \xrightarrow{\varkappa_S} \mathbb{W}^+(R) \to \mathbb{W}^+(R_n)$. Since $\varkappa_S \sigma = f \varkappa_S$, the ring T_n is stable under f. Let K_n be the kernel of $T_n \to R_n$ and let $\tilde{K}_n$ be the kernel of $T_{n^+} \to R_n$.

We claim that $\tilde{f}_1(\tilde{K}_n) \subseteq T_{n^+}$. If this is proved, we obtain frames

$$\mathscr{T}_n = (T_n, K_n, R_n, f, f_1)$$

$$\mathscr{T}_{n^+/n} = (T_{n^+}, \tilde{K}_n, R_n, f, \tilde{f}_1)$$

and a commutative diagram of frames with strict homomorphisms:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{T}_{n^+} \arrow[r, "\psi'"] \arrow[d, "\iota_{n^+}"'] & \mathscr{T}_{n^+/n} \arrow[r, "\pi'"] \arrow[d] & \mathscr{T}_n \arrow[d, "\iota_n"] \\
\mathscr{D}^+_{R_{n^+}} \arrow[r, "\psi"] & \mathscr{D}^+_{R_{n^+}/R_n} \arrow[r, "\pi"] & \mathscr{D}^+_{R_n}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here π is crystalline because the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied; see the proof of Corollary 2.9. Since the vertical arrows are injective, it follows that π' satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2 as well, thus π' is crystalline. Moreover lifts of windows under ψ and under ψ' correspond to lifts of the Hodge filtration from R_n to R_{n^+} in the same way. Since ι_1 is bijective, it follows that ι_n is crystalline for each n. Consider the limit frame

$$\mathscr{T} = \varprojlim_n \mathscr{T}_n = (T, K, R, f, f_1).$$

The inclusion $\iota : \mathscr{T} \to \mathscr{D}^+_R$ is the projective limit over n of ι_n and thus crystalline. Since $S_{<m>}$ is noetherian by Proposition 9.1, Lemma 9.2 implies that $T = \varprojlim_n T_n$ is the image of $\varkappa_S : S_{<m>} \to \mathbb{W}^+(R)$. If $\varkappa_S$ is injective, we get $\mathscr{S}_{<m>} = \mathscr{T}$, so $\mathscr{S}_{<m>} \to \mathscr{D}^+_R$ is crystalline as required.

Since we have not proved that $\varkappa_S$ is injective we need an extra argument. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be the kernel of $\varkappa_S : S_{<m>} \to \mathbb{W}^+(R)$ and let $\mathfrak{a}_n = \mathfrak{a} \cap J^n \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for $n \geq 1$; here we use that S is a subring of $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by Proposition 9.3. We have $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_1$. The ideals $\mathfrak{a}_n$ of $S_{<m>}$ are stable under σ, and they are also stable under σ_1 since $S_{<m>}/\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_n/\mathfrak{a}_{n+1}$ have no p-torsion. Thus we can define frames $\mathscr{S}_{<m>,n} = (S_{<m>}/\mathfrak{a}_n, I_{<m>}/\mathfrak{a}_n, R, \sigma, \sigma_1)$. We have $\mathscr{S}_{<m>,1} = \mathscr{T}$, and the projective limit over n of $\mathscr{S}_{<m>,n}$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{S}_{<m>}$ by Lemma 9.2 and Proposition 9.3. The ideal $\mathfrak{a}_n/\mathfrak{a}_{n+1}$ is a finitely generated $W(k)$-submodule of $(J^n/J^{n+1}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ that contains J^n/J^{n+1}. Since the conditions of Proposition 7.2 are satisfied, the endomorphism σ_1 of $\mathfrak{a}_n/\mathfrak{a}_{n+1}$ is p-adically nilpotent. Thus $\mathscr{S}_{<m>,n+1} \to \mathscr{S}_{<m>,n}$ is crystalline; see the proof of [La2, Theorem 9.3]. It follows that $\mathscr{S}_{<m>} \to \mathscr{T}$ is crystalline, so $\mathscr{S}_{<m>} \to \mathscr{D}^+_R$ is crystalline too.

To prove Proposition 9.8 it remains to show that $\tilde{f}_1(\tilde{K}_n)$ is contained in T_{n^+}. Let M_n be the kernel of $S_{<m>} \to R_n$, so $\tilde{K}_n$ is the image of $M_n \to \mathbb{W}^+(R_{n^+})$. Since $\varkappa_S \sigma = f \varkappa_S$ and since f_1 is f-linear it suffices to show that a set of generators x_i of the ideal M_n with images $\varkappa_S(x_i) = \bar{x}_i \in \tilde{K}_n$ satisfies $f_1(\bar{x}_i) \in T_{n^+}$. Since $\mathfrak{m}_R = JR$, for $n \neq e^+$ the ideal M_n is generated by $I_{<m>}$ and J^n, while M_{e^+} is generated by $I_{<m>}$ and J^{e+1} and p. We check these generators case by case.

First, for $x \in I_{<m>}$ we have $f_1(\bar{x}) \in T_{n^+}$ because $\mathscr{S}_{<m>} \to \mathscr{D}^+_{R_{n^+}}$ is a frame homomorphism.

Assume that $n \neq e^+$. The homomorphism $\delta : J^n/J^{n+1} \to W(J^n/J^{n+1})$ is given by $\delta(x) = (x, \sigma_1(x), (\sigma_1)^2(x), \ldots)$. Indeed, applying the Witt polynomial w_n to this equation gives $\sigma^n(x) = p^n(\sigma_1)^n(x)$, which is true. Since the divided powers on $\mathfrak{m}_R^n/\mathfrak{m}_R^{n^+}$ are trivial, the endomorphism $\tilde{f}_1$ of $W(\mathfrak{m}_R^n/\mathfrak{m}_R^{n^+})$ is given by a shift to the left. Thus the map $\varkappa_S : J^n/J^{n+1} \to W(\mathfrak{m}_R^n/\mathfrak{m}_R^{n^+})$ satisfies $\varkappa_S\sigma_1 = \tilde{f}_1\varkappa_S$, and we see that $f_1(\bar{x}) \in T_{n^+}$ for $x \in J^n$.

Assume now that $n = e^+$. Since J^{e+1} maps to zero in $W(R_{e+1})$ it remains to show that $\tilde{f}_1(p) \in T_{n^+}$. Now $\operatorname{Log}(p - v(1)) = [p, 0, 0, \ldots]$; cf. the proof of Lemma 1.9. Thus $\tilde{f}_1(p) = f_1(v(1)) = 1$. □

Theorem 8.2 follows from Propositions 9.7 and 9.8. □

Remark 9.9. Assume that $r = 1$, i.e. R is a discrete valuation ring. If $pR = \mathfrak{m}_R^e$, the ring S is the p-adic completion of $W(k)[[t]][\{t^{em}/m!\}_{m\geq 1}]$. It is easy to see that each quotient S/p^nS is admissible, so the p-adic ring S is an admissible topological ring. In particular, $\mathbb{W}^+(S)$ is defined. Since we assumed that the image of $\delta : \mathfrak{S} \to W(\mathfrak{S})$ lies in $\mathbb{W}(\mathfrak{S})$, the image of $\delta : S \to W(S)$ lies in $\mathbb{W}^+(S)$; here one uses that $\mathbb{W}^+(S) \to R$ is the projective limit of the divided power extensions $\mathbb{W}^+(S/p^nS) \to R/p^nR$ and that each $\mathbb{W}^+(S/p^nS)$ is p-adic. If $p \geq 3$ this means that $\mathscr{S}$ is a Dieudonné frame in the sense of [Zi3, Definition 3.1], and Theorem 8.2 becomes a special case of [Zi3, Theorem 3.2]. For $p = 2$ the proof of loc.cit. works as well. The starting point is the construction of an inverse functor of $\varkappa_{S*}$; it maps a $\mathscr{D}^+_R$-window $\mathscr{P}$ to the value of its crystal $\mathbb{D}^+(\mathscr{P})_{S/R}$, equipped with an appropriate $\mathscr{S}$-window structure.

If $r \geq 2$, the ring S is not admissible and thus the crystal of a $\mathscr{D}^+_R$-window can not be evaluated at S/R. However, one can define by hand a sub-frame $\mathscr{D}^+_{S/R}$ of $\mathscr{W}_{S/R}$ such that $\mathscr{D}^+_{S/R} \to \mathscr{D}^+_R$ is crystalline. This allows to evaluate the crystal at S/R and to define an inverse functor of $\varkappa_{S*}$ as before. The underlying ring of $\mathscr{D}^+_{S/R}$ is defined as follows. Let $S_{m,n}$ be the image of $S_{<m>} \to S/p^nS$ and let $I_{m,n}$ be the kernel of $S_{m,n} \to R/p^nR$. The divided Witt polynomials define an isomorphism $\operatorname{Log} : W(I/p^nI) \cong (I/p^nI)^\infty$, and our ring is $\varprojlim_n \varinjlim_m$ of the rings $\mathbb{W}^+(S_{0,n}) + \operatorname{Log}^{-1}((I_{m,n})^{<\infty>})$. If one takes $\varprojlim_n$ of these rings for fixed $m \geq 1$, one gets a frame $\mathscr{D}^+_{S_{<m>}/R}$ with a crystalline homomorphism to $\mathscr{D}^+_R$. This allows to construct the inverse functor from $\mathscr{D}^+_R$-windows to $\mathscr{S}_{<m>}$-windows. We leave out the details.

References

[BBM] P. Berthelot, L. Breen, W. Messing: Théorie de Dieudonné cristalline II. Lecture Notes in Math. **930**, Springer Verlag, 1982

[BO] P. Berthelot, A. Ogus: *Notes on crystalline cohomology.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1978

[Bou] N. Bourbaki: Éléments de mathématique, *Algèbre commutative*, Chap. 8 & 9. Masson, Paris, 1983

[Br] C. Breuil: Schemas en groupes et corps des normes, unpublished manuscript (1998)

[Ca] P. Cartier: Groupes formels associés aux anneaux de Witt généralisés. C. R. Acad. Sci. **265** (1976), 50–52

[Gr] A. Grothendieck: Groupes de Barsotti-Tate et Cristaux de Dieudonné. Université de Montréal, 1974

[Il] L. Illusie: Deformations de groupes de Barsotti-Tate (d'après A. Grothendieck). In *Seminar on arithmetic bundles: the Mordell conjecture*, Astérisque **127** (1985), 151–198

[Ki1] M. Kisin: Crystalline representations and F-crystals, *Algebraic geometry and number theory*, 459–496, Progr. Math., Vol. 253, Birkhäuser, 2006

[Ki2] M. Kisin: Modularity of 2-adic Barsotti-Tate representations. Invent. Math. **178** (2009), 587–634

[La1] E. Lau: A duality theorem for Dieudonné displays. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. (4) **42** (2009), 241–259

[La2] E. Lau: Frames and finite group schemes over complete regular local rings. Documenta Math. **15** (2010) 545–569

[La3] E. Lau: Smoothness of the truncated display functor. arXiv:1006.2723

[Me1] W. Messing: The crystals associated to Barsotti-Tate groups: with applications to abelian schemes. Lecture Notes in Math. **264**, Springer Verlag, 1972

[Me2] W. Messing: Travaux de Zink. Séminaire Bourbaki 2005/2006, exp. 964, Astérisque **311** (2007), 341–364

[VZ] A. Vasiu, Th. Zink: Breuil's classification of p-divisible groups over regular local rings of arbitrary dimension. arXiv:0808.2792. To appear in: Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, Proceeding of Algebraic and Arithmetic Structures of Moduli Spaces, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan, September 2007

[Zi1] Th. Zink: The display of a formal p-divisible group. Astérisque **278** (2002), 127–248

[Zi2] Th. Zink: A Dieudonné theory for p-divisible groups. Class field theory—its centenary and prospect, 139–160, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **30**, Math. Soc. Japan 2001

[Zi3] Th. Zink: Windows for displays of p-divisible groups. Moduli of abelian varieties, 491–518, Progr. Math. **195**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD, D-33501 BIELEFELD
E-mail address: `lau@math.uni-bielefeld.de`